Letters to the editor

Do ambulance crews triage patients

Sir

I have read with interest the article on paramedic care (Rouse, 1991) and the conclusion that ambulance crews do not triage patients properly and that pre-hospital care by paramedics may be jeopardizing patient care. Twenty-four per cent of the patients were ‘very seriously injured: they had multiple other injuries, vascular compromise, shock and amputations’. It is clear that most of the patients required an intravenous infusion as early as possible, particularly as the mean transit time to hospital was 20 min. Intravenous infusion, of course, follows the primary assessment where priority must be given to airway care the maintenance of breathing and arrest of external haemorrhage. Airway care and spinal immobilization are all important time consuming factors and even if a scoop and run policy is adopted the neck must be protected and a clear airway established and maintained. It has been shown in this unit that delayed resuscitation in patients with compound fractures in isolation increases the incidence of complications particularly fat embolism, and I would advocate early oxygen therapy and intravenous infusion.

Clearly there must be accepted protocols for the time it takes to set up an intravenous infusion and an analysis in the West Midlands recently of 100 trauma cases (excluding entrapment) in which intravenous infusion was part of stabilizing care revealed a mean on-scene time of 17 min. Doctors with experience of pre-hospital care will appreciate the time it takes to satisfactorily stabilize a patient and I would include this time as being part of effective treatment within the ‘golden hour’. For instance, a patient with a compound fractured femur needs a full assessment and treatment based on the usual priorities of care and for his fractured femur appropriate dressings, splintage and pain relief, all of which takes time and if the accident is some distance from the hospital and intravenous infusion is necessary.

I have never seen a paramedic undertake these measures to the detriment of attending to the greater priorities in care, in particular, airway care, neck care, breathing and circulation and on many occasions I have received patients where minimal treatment, if any, has been given for there limb fractures because of life threatening priorities elsewhere.

Based on the clinical information in this paper it would not be unreasonable to come to the conclusions that pre-hospital management by paramedics is enhancing the care as there are no data in this paper to suggest that paramedic intervention is jeopardizing patient survival. Prospective patient audit using more acceptable techniques such as TRISS (Boyd et al., 1987) need to be undertaken before pre-hospital management by paramedics can be fully evaluated and, in particular, before suggesting that they are jeopardizing patient care.

K. PORTER
Birmingham Accident Hospital
Birmingham
Should wearing of cycle helmets become compulsory?

Sir

As a mild to moderate cyclist, (65 miles per week, mostly with a helmet!) I would like to question M. W. Cooke’s suggestion that cycle helmet wearing should be compulsory.

Firstly, consider accident cause. In a series of 394 cycle injuries presenting to an A&E department 63% were caused by the cyclist’s inability to control the bike — no vehicle or other factors were involved. We need to educate cyclists to ride properly, particularly the children.

Secondly, in the above study only 26% were involved in a collision with a motor vehicle. In a separate study of head injuries to cyclists (Worrell, 1987) 38% were caused by collision with a motor vehicle, but 58% just fell off. Agreed, a collision will produce a more serious injury, but we must educate motorists to look out for cyclists, and also to enforce existing speed limits ($E = 1 \times 2 MV^{-2}$). Why should cyclists be compelled to protect themselves from the illegal acts of others?

Thirdly, this law would be totally unenforceable, a fact recognized by a recent meeting of the House of Commons Select Committee on Transport, and surprisingly backed by the Department of Transport!

Fourthly, he may not be aware that the BS for helmets is designed in such a way that the helmet must withstand an impact on an angled edge, which makes the helmet hot and heavy to wear. The ‘comfort factor’ is one of the most important points in helmet use; those which are poorly ventilated and heavy will not be worn. Even serious racers who agree helmets are needed do not always wear them, viz: on the mountain stages of the last Tour de France, where extremes of effort and heat are encountered.

Yes, a helmet will help protect your head, but we should proceed by education rather than legislation.

J. WORRELL
Basingstoke District Hospital
Basingstoke

REFERENCES

Do ambulance crews triage patients.

K Porter

*Arch Emerg Med* 1993 10: 61-62
doi: 10.1136/emj.10.1.61

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://emj.bmj.com/content/10/1/61.citation

These include:

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/