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Abstract

Objective—To determine whether the care of asthma patients in accident and emergency Departments (A&E) is improved by clinical audit of asthma management.

Methods—The quality of care during continuous cycles of clinical audit was compared with that one year later, after an audit programme had ended and most of the medical staff had changed. This was done by a retrospective case notes review of all asthma cases (n = 79) in April and May 1993, compared to the same months (n = 93) in 1994.

Results—The quality of care was higher during the audit in a number of areas, as determined by conformity to the British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines. Comparing the management of episodes for the earlier to the later study periods, the significant differences were: the ability to complete a sentence in one breath was recorded in 63% and 33% of episodes; a nebuliser was given when indicated in 97% and 88%; when indicated a chest x ray was ordered in 73% and 43%; and arterial blood gases were measured in 73% and 33% of episodes.

Conclusions—The initial assessment and management of asthma was better when the audit was in progress. However, in both study periods some areas of care did not receive the attention recommended in the BTS guidelines, these were all areas which would have influenced asthma control after discharge.
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Clinical audit is one way of familiarising staff with treatment guidelines and protocols. One area for which we have important national treatment guidelines is in the care of asthma. This study was designed to see how effectively a clinical audit programme established these treatment guidelines, and in what areas important deficiencies remained.

The reason that such guidelines have been published for asthma is that attention to detail in managing asthma patients makes a difference. Many studies of asthma deaths have found deficiencies in medical management, and these same, avoidable, factors have been identified repeatedly over many years. So in order to try to overcome these problems, national guidelines of “best practice” were published in 1990, and after some revision, in 1993 (BTS guidelines).

As well as publishing these guidelines, it is also important to ensure that the guidelines are disseminated to those making decisions on managing asthmatic patients. This can be done by using the guidelines in an audit programme, using them as the standard against which the quality of care is compared. The objectives are both educational, to familiarise staff with the details of the guidelines, and clinical, to improve the care for asthma patients in the department.

This study was conducted to see whether the national guidelines were better followed during cycles of clinical audit, by comparing care during a period of continuous cycles of audit with that in a comparable period without audit.

Previous studies have shown improvements in asthma management in successive audits of inpatient care in individual units. Published audits of asthma care in A&E have concentrated on quality issues in particular areas of asthma management, and have not shown whether the audits themselves have led to improvements in care.

Methods

The quality of asthma care in April and May 1993 was compared with that in the same two months in 1994. This was done by a retrospective case note study, run quite separately from the departmental clinical audit programme.

The department conducted a clinical audit of asthma care between February 1992 and November 1993. A total of four cycles were completed. All members of the A&E department were involved. This analysed various aspects of the assessment and management of asthma, using questionnaires structured around the guidelines published by the British Thoracic Society and others (BTS guidelines). At departmental audit meetings the results were presented to the staff, weaknesses discussed, and methods for improvement considered. Asthma care was audited continually for a period of 21 months, interspersed by feedback meetings. As a result of these discussions a rubber stamp was introduced, as a reminder of the details of initial assessment of asthma. This was later replaced by a pro-forma insert to be added to the A&E record, covering all areas of asthma assessment and management. This has remained in use since.
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Table 1  Quality of initial assessment of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action recommended</th>
<th>1993 (n=71)</th>
<th>1994 (n=93)</th>
<th>Difference between proportions (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted PEFR recorded</td>
<td>36 (51%)</td>
<td>41 (44%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to complete a sentence in one breath recorded</td>
<td>45 (63%)</td>
<td>31 (33%)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.15 to 0.45)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse rate recorded</td>
<td>67 (94%)</td>
<td>88 (95%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate recorded</td>
<td>64 (90%)</td>
<td>85 (91%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PEFR recorded</td>
<td>67 (94%)</td>
<td>91 (98%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence intervals; PEFR, peak expiratory flow rate
* Statistically significant, P < 0.05.

Table 2  Quality of initial treatment of moderate and severe episodes of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action recommended</th>
<th>1993 (n=46)</th>
<th>1994 (n=73)</th>
<th>Difference between proportions (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Give nebuliser</td>
<td>64 (97%)</td>
<td>64 (88%)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.005 to 0.17)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give steroids</td>
<td>50 (76%)</td>
<td>55 (79%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence intervals.
* Statistically significant, P < 0.05.

Table 3  Quality of some aspects of management of severe episodes of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action recommended</th>
<th>1993 (n=45)</th>
<th>1994 (n=42)</th>
<th>Difference between proportions (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordering CXR</td>
<td>33 (73%)</td>
<td>18 (43%)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.10 to 0.50)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking blood for arterial blood gases</td>
<td>33 (73%)</td>
<td>14 (33%)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.21 to 0.59)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requesting, or organising admission</td>
<td>33 (73%)</td>
<td>28 (67%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence intervals.
* Statistically significant, P < 0.05.

Information was obtained on age, sex, asthma assessment, management, disposal, and follow up from all sets of A&E records, and hospital notes where relevant. Each episode of asthma was categorised as mild, moderate, severe, or with life threatening features, according to the BTS guidelines. The subsequent management was compared with that recommended for the severity category. The quality of asthma care was gauged by how closely it conformed to the BTS guidelines, rather than by looking at overall outcomes, readmissions, and so on. This approach has been widely used, relying on the general acceptance that these guidelines represent the best standards of care.

For each area analysed, the proportions where the recommended action was taken were compared between the two study periods by calculation of the confidence interval for the difference between two unpaired proportions.

Results

There were 79 episodes of asthma in the study months in 1993, and 93 in 1994. The 79 episodes in 1993 were suffered by 66 individual patients, of whom 37 were females, with an overall mean age of 36.5; for 1994 the 93 episodes were suffered by 70 patients, of whom 43 were females, and with an overall mean age of 34.4.

Information was incomplete for nine episodes in 1993 and two episodes in 1994.

The comparison of quality of initial assessment of asthma is shown in table 1.

All episodes graded as moderate or worse should have been treated with a nebuliser and with oral or parenteral steroids, according to the guidelines. The comparison for this area for the two study periods is shown in table 2.

All episodes graded as severe or with life threatening features should have a chest x-ray taken, arterial blood gas measurements, and arrangements made for the patient to be admitted, according to the guidelines. The comparison for this area is shown in table 3. In this table the numerators in 1993 are identical, but they relate to a different 33 episodes in each case.

The comparison between the two periods for those aspects of management related to the ongoing care of the patients asthma is shown in table 4.

Table 4  Quality of management in those areas relating to the control of the patient’s asthma after discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action recommended</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Difference between proportions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Episodes</td>
<td>Total where this action was taken</td>
<td>Episodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaler technique checked</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9 (13%)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether patient has a peak flow meter at home</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6 (8%)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether steroid tablets were given on discharge, when recommended, for those not admitted</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19 (63%)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether adequate follow up arrangements were made, for those not admitted</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13 (35%)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether regular treatment was stepped up, for those not admitted</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not statistically significant.
Discussion
The main finding of this study was that there was a decline in the quality of acute asthma care after regular audit cycles were discontinued. An additional finding was that in both years, areas of asthma management which could be said to be related to the ongoing care of the patients’ asthma after discharge were poor when compared to the national guidelines.

In a retrospective casenote review such as this, the results are probably underestimate in some areas, because of underrecording of clinical information in the notes; however, this would be unlikely to affect one year more than the other.

Even during the audit in the earlier study period, there were areas where there were important deficiencies. Although predicted peak flow was only recorded in 51% of episodes, it may have been considered, mentally, when the management was being planned, and be an example of underrecording. However, the fact that only 73% of severe episodes of asthma resulted in admission is striking. Two factors may account for this. Many patients improve considerably after a nebuliser and are reluctant to stay in hospital, and for the same reason admitting teams, concerned about bed usage, may be reluctant to admit them. One other factor which may have diluted the impact of the audit in the earlier study period is that many of the patients were managed by members of the admitting teams, who were not directly exposed to the audit.

The BTS guidelines recommend several steps be taken before discharge, which relate to the continuing care of the patient’s asthma, such as checking inhaler technique and arranging follow up. These steps were often not taken in both years. However, underrecording may partly explain this. The large proportion not given steroids, but who should have been, is a concern. Possible causes for this include a failure to categorise the severity correctly, a reluctance to give steroids to patients who may feel very well after nebuliser treatment, and a reluctance of some patients to take steroids. The underuse of oral steroids has been a repeated finding in patients discharged from A&E.
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