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Abstract

Objectives—To describe outcome after treatment of severe head injury within an integrated trauma system.

Methods—A retrospective analysis of all patients with severe head injury admitted to the Royal London Hospital by the Helicopter Emergency Medical Service (HEMS) between 1991 and 1994. Type of injury was defined on initial computed tomography of the head and outcomes assessed 12 months after injury using the Glasgow outcome score.

Results—6.5% of HEMS patients had long term severe disability (severe disability or persistent vegetative state on the outcome scores); 34.5% made a good recovery.

Conclusions—The concern that a large number of severely disabled long term survivors might result as a consequence of this system of trauma management is not confirmed. The case mix of severity of extracranial injuries in these patients makes comparison with other published series difficult, but these data fit the hypothesis that pre-hospital correction of hypoxia and hypotension after head injury improves outcome.


Keywords: emergency medical systems; head injury; outcomes

Patients with significant head injury are adversely affected by both abnormal physiology and delay between injury and specialist intervention. These patients have anatomical injuries that can be accurately defined on the basis of appearances on computed tomography of the head, and present a measurable range of disability after rehabilitation. This gives a clear indication of the effect of a system of trauma management.

The Royal London Helicopter Emergency Medical Service (HEMS) is an integrated trauma system that provides early resuscitation, early specialist intervention, prevention of secondary insults, and early definitive neurosurgical intervention. This system has been shown to be associated with a trend towards an increase in survival of severely injured patients. The effect on disability in the head injured patient has not been assessed.

There is some concern that early intensive treatment after injury saves lives, but at the expense of increased severe disability or persistent vegetative state. Such long term disability would have a profoundly negative effect on any cost-benefit analysis. This study was performed to assess disability in patients treated by the HEMS after severe head injury.

Methods

All patients with severe head injuries admitted to the Royal London Hospital by the HEMS between 1 October 1991 and 31 December 1994 were included in the study. Severe head injury was defined as an initial Glasgow coma score (GCS) of ≤8 in the first 24 hours after injury with an abbreviated injury score in the “head” area of ≥3. Patients who did not have computed tomography of the head and pre-school children (in whom outcome is difficult to categorise) were excluded.

Pre-hospital data was collected on the day of admission and recorded on paper (1991 and 1992) or directly into a computerised database (after 1992). Age, sex, and initial GCS were recorded. On discharge the patient’s hospital notes were examined and data extracted about the in-hospital phase. The abbreviated injury score for each body area was recorded and the injury severity score calculated. Computed tomograms of the head were assessed by a neuroradiologist and classified according to the system derived from the Traumatic Coma Data Bank as shown in table 1.

The outcomes of all patients were assessed by a research physiotherapist trained in disability scoring, using the Glasgow outcome score. The score was determined using the patient’s notes and telephone follow up. Wherever possible both the patient and a carer were interviewed.

Results

Two hundred and sixteen patients were included in the study. The median age was 31 years with interquartile range of 20–62 years (fig 1). Most were severely injured with a median injury severity score of 26, interquartile range 20–36 (fig 2). The distribution of lowest GCS in the first 24 hours is shown in fig 3. The distributions of extracranial injuries are shown in fig 4. One hundred and ninety seven (91%) of the patients were given an anaesthetic, intubated, and ventilated as part of pre-hospital resuscitation.

Table 1: Classification of computed tomography appearance in patients with a Glasgow coma score of ≤8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Injury</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse I</td>
<td>No visible intracranial pathology on computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse II</td>
<td>Cisterns present; midline shift 0 mm to 5 mm; no mass &gt;25 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse III</td>
<td>Cisterns compressed/absent; shift &lt;5 mm; no mass &gt;25 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse IV</td>
<td>Midline shift &gt;5 mm; no mass &gt;25 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass lesion</td>
<td>Any haematoma &gt;25 ml (subdivided into evacuated or non-evacuated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1 Age distribution of patients

Figure 2 Distribution of injury severity scores

Figure 3 Distribution of Glasgow coma score

As expected this severely injured group had a high mortality, with 108 (50%) deaths. Nineteen of the survivors were lost to follow up (resident outside UK, moved, or declined), so 197 (91%) patients could be classified on the Glasgow outcome scale (table 2). Follow up was performed at a median of 12 months after injury in survivors, with 14 patients being followed up for less than one year. Forty four (20.4%) patients made a good recovery (resuming normal work and social activities), 31 (14.0%) were left with moderate disability (independent but disabled), 13 (6.0%) were severely disabled (conscious but disabled), and one (0.5%) was in a persistent vegetative state (no evidence of meaningful response).

Information was available to classify 155 (72%) of the patients on the basis of their computed tomograms. The outcomes in head injury subgroups are shown in table 3. A good outcome was defined as either good recovery or a moderate disability as most of these patients return to productive social and economic lives, and a poor outcome as severe disability or persistent vegetative state.

Discussion

This study defines the amount of long term disability after early intensive pre-hospital treatment and early specialist intervention in an integrated trauma system. Previous studies have shown larger amounts of severe disability in similar patients. The most extensive set of head injury outcome data is that accumulated in the Traumatic Coma Data Bank. The overall outcomes from this database are shown in table 1. The Traumatic Coma Data Bank is not derived from an integrated trauma system, outcome data being submitted by neurosurgical tertiary referral centres. Patients who do not survive long enough to undergo an interhospital transfer are therefore excluded from the data bank but are included in this study of the HEMS system. The case mix of severity of extracranial injury is therefore likely to be different in these databases.

In the present series there may seem to be a large number of deaths compared with previous data. However the HEMS system is designed to select patients with severe multiple injuries (as shown by the distribution of injury severity scores) who would be expected to have a high mortality. A previous study showed that, within wide confidence intervals, the HEMS trauma system was associated with 13 additional survivors per year in patients with major injury. The same study found no increase in mortality in head injured patients once adjust-
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Hypotension and hypoxia. Prevention of secondary insults and early definitive treatment ought to lead to better outcomes. The data presented fit with, but cannot prove, this hypothesis.

Although further comparison with other datasets is required, there is no increase in disability with advanced pre-hospital treatment and there appears to be less disability in patients treated in this way. The moral and economic questions that would be raised by saving lives, but producing severe long term disability, are therefore avoided.

Long term severe disability has profound personal, social, and economic costs. Leaving aside personal costs, the amount of disability after head injury has a profound impact on the economic analysis of trauma systems. Each severely disabled survivor costs almost £1 000 000 for a lifetime of care (assuming a life expectancy of 25 to 30 years and a cost of approximately £30 000 per year). For both humanitarian and economic reasons prevention of disability becomes an important objective. It also follows that any analysis of the benefit of a trauma system that does not include measures of disability is fatally flawed, as the cost of a single survivor with long term disability is approximately equal to the extra annual cost of the whole HEMS system to the health service.

An integrated trauma system combines pre-hospital and specialist in-hospital management, providing a seamless continuum of care. The addition of helicopter transport to such a system allows triage from the incident scene to the most appropriate hospital. When compared with the established medical literature the HEMS system does not seem to have an excess of severe disability, in fact the amount of disability seems to be lower than expected.

Conclusion
The integrated trauma system provided by the HEMS does not produce large numbers of severely disabled survivors. In comparison with previous series there seems to be a low rate of long term severe disability. As disability after injury has such a profound effect on health costs there is an urgent need for comparative studies to examine the effect of integration of advanced pre-hospital care with early definitive intervention on outcome after head injury.
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