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Abstract

Objectives—To improve the time taken for children arriving to the accident and emergency (A&E) department in pain to receive analgesia. Delivery within 30 minutes of triage was taken as an achievable goal.

Methods—262 children who had received analgesia in the “minor injuries” area of West Middlesex University Hospital A&E department were studied over a four month period. Current practice was indicated over the first two months by retrospectively looking at data from 129 children’s A&E cards. A Paediatric Pain Protocol was then introduced and another 133 children’s cards studied to see if this had made an improvement. The protocol for those children aged over 4 years differed to that for children aged 4 years and under.

Results—For children aged 4 years and over, the introduction of the protocol significantly increased the number that received analgesia within 30 minutes of triage: 55.3% (n=54) post-protocol versus 34.0% (n=33) pre-protocol (p=0.003). However, for children aged 4 years and under there was no change in the proportion that received analgesia within 30 minutes of triage: 56.7% (n=17) post-protocol versus 59.4% (n=19) pre-protocol (p=0.829).

Conclusions—The introduction of a simple Paediatric Pain Protocol has improved the time taken to deliver analgesia to children arriving in this A&E department.
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Although pain is a common reason for patients to attend the accident and emergency (A&E) department, several studies have shown that pain is poorly treated in children particularly in A&E. Pain assessment may be difficult, yet well validated pain assessment tools are available and are in use in paediatric oncology and surgical practice. We undertook a study to measure the improvement in delivery of analgesia to children after the introduction of a pain assessment scoring system combined with staff education.

Method

We studied children under 16 presenting with painful injuries to the “minor injuries” area of the A&E department of West Middlesex University Hospital, a district general hospital seeing both adults and children. Throughout the study the time between the child being triaged and the delivery of an analgesic was taken as an indicator of the quality of care; ideally pain relief should be given as soon as possible. The choice of analgesia or the use of other methods of reducing pain, for example, splintage, were not considered in this study. We recognise that the time of arrival at the A&E department and time of triage are different.

The study consisted of two parts. The first part was a retrospective review of consecutive paediatric A&E cards over a two month period (during May to June 1998) to obtain the time between triage and delivery of analgesia. It was assumed that where no painkiller had been prescribed at any time during a child’s attendance he or she had not been in pain. After this review had been completed a Paediatric Pain Protocol was introduced into the department.

The protocol had three elements:

1 An education programme was introduced for medical and nursing staff, and reinforced by posters in the department. The educational programme consisted of teaching by registrars and consultants to the senior house officers (SHOs) and nurses, particularly those less familiar with paediatric analgesia.

Advice from the pain specialists and our two paediatric A&E sisters was sought. In particular we wished to dispel the myth that stronger forms of analgesia (for example, opioids) should not be given to children. As long as adequate monitoring and resuscitation facilities are available the use of these analgesics should be encouraged where indicated.

2 All children 4 years and over in pain were reviewed on arrival using the Wong-Baker faces scale.

3 When a child was found to be in significant pain an A&E doctor was asked to make an immediate assessment and prescribe appropriately. At the time of the study nurse
prescribing for children was not the practice in this A&E department.

The Wong-Baker faces scale (fig 1) has been validated for children of 4 years and over and was already in use on our paediatric wards. The scale was not used in children under 4 years in our study because of unreliability. In this subgroup the protocol consisted of points 1 and 3 only. Triage nurses were instructed that a pain score of 3, 4 or 5 should lead to immediate medical review. After the protocol had been introduced a further two months records during July and August 1998 were examined.

STATISTICS
After verifying distribution assumptions, the difference between the pre-intervention and post-intervention groups in age was tested using the t test for two independent samples (test statistic denoted by $t$). Differences between the groups in categorised age, sex and diagnosis was tested using the $\chi^2$ test (test statistic denoted by $\chi^2$). Kaplin-Meier survival curves were derived to display the cumulative probability of time until administration of analgesia; differences between the groups in time until administration was tested using the log rank test. The level of significance was set at 5%.

Results
Groups were labelled “pre-intervention” and “post-intervention”. The notes of 262 paediatric patients with painful injuries were examined in total. The characteristics of each group are shown (table 1). Although there was a difference between the groups in diagnoses this was not thought to be clinically significant.

Age was categorised into under 4 years, and 4 years plus as intervention differed between these subgroups; namely the use of the Wong-Baker Faces Scale. Although the data are not presented, the pre-intervention and post-intervention groups within each age group had similar numbers with comparable sex and diagnoses distribution.

For those children under 4 years, the plots of the cumulative proportion for each of the pre-intervention and post-intervention groups are displayed (fig 2). The two curves are very similar and there is no evidence of a difference between the two groups in the time taken to receive analgesia ($\chi^2=0.18$, df=1, $p=0.668$). After approximately two hours the curves are difficult to interpret because the number of children remaining is

---

**Recommended for children age 4 years and older**

Figure 1  Wong-Baker Faces Pain Scale. Adapted from Whaley L, Wong DL. Nursing care of infants and children. 3rd ed. St Louis: The CV Mosby Company, 1987. With permission.

**Table 1 Presenting characteristics of the pre-intervention and post-intervention groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-intervention (n=129)</th>
<th>Post-intervention (n=133)</th>
<th>Test statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>mean=7.9, SD=4.14</td>
<td>mean=8.20, SD=4.24</td>
<td>$t=0.63$, df=200, $p=0.53$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≤4</td>
<td>32 (24.8)</td>
<td>30 (22.6)</td>
<td>$\chi^2=0.18$, df=1, $p=0.668$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;4</td>
<td>97 (75.2)</td>
<td>103 (77.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>52 (40.3)</td>
<td>55 (41.4)</td>
<td>$\chi^2=0.03$, df=1, $p=0.864$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>77 (59.7)</td>
<td>78 (58.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue</td>
<td>62 (48.1)</td>
<td>56 (42.1)</td>
<td>$\chi^2=8.39$, df=3, $p=0.039$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture/dislocation</td>
<td>54 (41.9)</td>
<td>52 (39.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>4 (3.1)</td>
<td>17 (12.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn</td>
<td>9 (7.0)</td>
<td>8 (6.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages shown in parentheses.

---

Figure 2  For the under 4 year olds, plot of cumulative proportion of group having received analgesia against time (min) for the pre-intervention (n = 32) and post-intervention (n = 39) groups separately.
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Explain to the child that each face is for a person who feels happy because he has no pain (hurt) or sad because he has some or a lot of pain.

Face 0 is very happy because he doesn’t hurt at all.
Face 1 hurts just a little bit.
Face 2 hurts just a little bit more.
Face 3 hurts even more.
Face 4 hurts a whole lot.
Face 5 hurts as much as you can imagine, although you don’t have to be crying to feel this.
Pre-intervention

Post-intervention

Cumulative percentage seen

Time until administration of analgesia (min)

Figure 3 For the over 4 year olds, plot of cumulative proportion of group having received analgesia against time (min) for the pre-intervention (n = 97) and post-intervention (n = 103) groups separately.

Discussion

Ideally all children in pain should receive effective analgesia immediately on arrival to A&E. In practice this is difficult to achieve in a busy department with many other demands being made on the staff. We have found that the introduction of a simple Paediatric Pain Protocol based on the Wong-Baker faces scale has reduced the time for children in pain to receive analgesia. In our study almost 56% received pain killers within half an hour of triage after the intervention. It is of interest that there was no improvement in the delivery of pain relief to children under 4 years who cannot be assessed using the Wong-Baker Faces pain scale. This presumably reflects the difficulty still faced when assessing this age group for pain.

Because of the timing of the study there may have been unintentional bias introduced. Clearly the experience and the confidence of the A&E SHOs is different between May to June and July to August. In addition there is a seasonality to children’s injuries both related to time of the year (summer versus spring) and school attendance (school term versus school holiday). For future studies we would hope to compare the same time period and subsequent years. It would also be helpful to repeat the study with larger numbers at a greater number of centres to standardise the results.

It was assumed in the study that the pain score prompted the appropriate analgesia to be prescribed. This was not assessed separately and clearly warrants further attention.

Nurses prescribing at triage to children in pain would undoubtedly improve the situation and this should be considered in the near future. It has been implemented widely and successfully for adults in many A&E departments. It is to be hoped that it would help to avoid any long waits for analgesia that children may suffer.
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