LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Physostigmine as treatment for severe CNS anticholinergic toxicity

EDITOR,—We report the successful use of physostigmine to treat central anticholinergic toxicity: a use described before but rarely seen.1 We treated a patient admitted after an overdose of amisulpride and procyclidine (not his own medication). He became extremely agitated and was treated with intravenous benzodiazepines (total quantity in 26 hours equivalent to 125 mg diazepam) but remained agitated. Because of the risks of serious injury if his agitation was untreated and of further benzodiazepine use outside a critical care area (the ward to which he was admitted has a patient:nurse ratio of 8:1), he was treated with 1 mg of physostigmine. This was immediately and dramatically followed by a period of complete lucidity lasting 90 minutes. He did not become agitated again and his confusion resolved fully after a further 16 hours. Referral to an ITU was considered but it was felt that the risks of paralysis, intubation and ventilation (and the possible need for intrahospital transfer) outweighed those associated with physostigmine treatment. This latter option had the advantage of therapeutic and diagnostic potential.

Procyclidine is an antimuscarinic drug with a half life of 8 to 16 hours. When taken in overdose the features of anticholinergic toxicity may be delayed.2

We know from experience of auditing patients with persisting central anticholinergic toxicity despite sedation with benzodiazepines that this latter option has a very specific role in the treatment of such patients.3

Physostigmine treatment. This latter option is based on both the TPPS4 and CHEOPS5 score and relies on observations of various parameters in five categories (1) cry/vocal expression, (2) colour, (3) facial expression, (4) posture, (5) movement. Each score receives a value of 0, 1 or 2 to give a maximum total of 10 (similar to the mechanism of an Apgar score).

This score has been validated by medical students (Davis et al6) in the department and has shown to have good inter-rater reliability (Spearmann’s rank correlation 0.82) and to have also significant constructive validity when compared with patients who presented with similar presentations. We feel that this score can be extended from the age of one year right through the paediatric population and not be just restricted to under fives, as we have experienced problems with subjective pain scoring in all age groups presenting to the department.

We endorse the suggestion that exploration of such pain scores in the A&E department should be actively pursued and intend to further validate our Alder Hey score against the modified TPS score as the author suggests.

BRIAN STEWART
A&E Paediatric Pain Group, Alder Hey Children’s Hospital, Eaton Road, Liverpool L12 2AP, UK (Brian.Stewart@RLCH.TR.WESTNHS.UK)


Emergency medicine or accident and emergency?

EDITOR,—What is emergency medicine? Is it the specialty that provides “The care of emergency patients . . . by specially trained doctors who deliver a wide range of services for all patients presenting to a separate emergency department” including “The initial assessment and early treatment in resuscitation, trauma, medical and paediatric emergency” and “acute care of ‘medical’ in its widest context including medicine, surgery, anaesthesi- sia, paediatrics (and) psychiatry”? Or is it a subspecialty of general medicine responsible for the assessment and admission of acute adult medical conditions?

The Royal College of Physicians’ has named the latter “acute medicine”, yet confusion about the distinction remains. We have reviewed 10 successive editions of BMJ Classified (14 October 2000 to 16 December 2000) in order to identify all job advertisements for “emergency physicians” or “doctors to work in “emergency medicine”. Further study of the advertisements allowed us to establish which of these posts were to work in (accident and) emergency department and which to work in medical assessment/admission units and/or general medicine (table 1).

Table 1 Number of advertisements for “emergency physician” or “emergency medicine” by grade and specialty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Non-consultant career grade</th>
<th>Training grade</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of this study show that the majority of positions in “emergency medicine” (11 versus 6) are in fact to work in acute general medicine.

I believe that it is now time for our specialty to adopt the name emergency medicine, to bring us in line with our colleagues in the USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Far East. If we do not, we are in danger of losing the title altogether to a subspeciality of general medicine. If this were to happen, we would be stuck with “A&E” which, along with its predecessor “casualty”, belong firmly in the last millennium.

IAN K DUKES
Emergency Department, Russells Hall Hospital, Pensnett Road, Dudley, West Midlands DY1 2HQ, UK (Ian.Dukes@dudleygoh-tr.wmids.nhs.uk)

Intranasal dexamphetamine in adults

EDITOR,—We would like to describe a patient who benefited from intranasal dexamphetamine administration. This route has become an acceptable way of providing analgesia for children in severe pain. We believe it is also an acceptable and potentially important route for adults. It is rapidly absorbed from the venous plexi of the nasal mucosa and provides less variable pain relief than rectal or oral routes. Its aqueous solubility allows the use of small volumes.

We recently used intranasal dexamphetamine as pain relief in a 57 year old woman. This woman suffered from chronic renal failure, and underwent frequent haemodialysis. She had fallen onto her right hand. Her right elbow was tender, swollen and deformed. She was supporting this elbow with her uninjured forearm. This combined with an arteriovenous shunt in the left arm made venous access difficult. She was crying out in pain and severely distressed. To allow immobilisation and investigation she was given intranasal dexamphetamine, at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg. This gave immediate pain relief. Radiographs

Pain in young children attending the accident and emergency department

EDITOR,—We read with interest the article by Macarthy et al.1 We too have experienced difficulties assessing and scoring children’s pain in the accident and emergency (A&E) setting. We feel that while subjective assessment has been shown to be the gold standard of pain assessment in some settings, for example, postoperative pain, the unexpected nature and anxiety associated with attendance at the A&E department makes this type of scoring invalid.

We have been working on developing an observational scoring system for the assessment of pain in children presenting to the A&E department. We know from experience of auditing patients with persisting central anticholinergic toxicity despite sedation with benzodiazepines that this latter option has a very specific role in the treatment of such patients.

We propose that physostigmine be used in the A&E department and not be just restricted to under fives, as we have experienced problems with subjective pain scoring in all age groups presenting to the department.

We endorse the suggestion that exploration of such pain scores in the A&E department should be actively pursued and intend to further validate our Alder Hey score against the modified TPS score as the author suggests.


revealed a displaced four part supracondylar fracture of the right humerus with an intra-articular component.

Although the oral or intravenous routes remain the most favoured for analgesia, it is our experience that they are not always available. The oral route may be inaccessible, for example, in a hard collar or may take longer to work because of delayed gastric emptying. Intravenous and intramuscular routes are alternatives but a patient may refuse such analgesia because of a dislike of injections. The intramuscular route also has delayed action. The rectal route can be embarrassing and uncomfortable. Rapid analgesia may be necessary and the intranasal route provides this when intravenous access cannot be secured or is not strictly necessary.

We have used intranasal diamorphine on several occasions. During its use we monitor vital signs. Pain scores or direct questioning measures its efficacy.1 We believe that a prospective study of its use would permit identification of potential side effects or complications. It is our experience that these do not occur. Our experience is insufficient to identify why nausea and vomiting would be a significant problem.

We feel it is an important adjunct in certain clinical situations and a valuable addition to pain management.

The role of non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department

EDITOR,—Anthony Cross highlighted the effectiveness of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in the emergency department in his review.1 He concluded, studies certainly indicate that this treatment is beneficial in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive airways disease—with regard to the need for intubation, length of hospital stay and mortality.

The evidence for the use of NIV in the treatment of acute pulmonary oedema also exists, and is stronger than Dr Cross indicated. In addition to the three randomised controlled trials comparing chronic positive airway pressure (CPAP) with standard treatment in pulmonary oedema identified in the review, there also exists an article by Takeda et al from Tokyo.2 If the results of this study are pooled with the three reviewed by Cross, the overall risk reduction for mortality in patients presenting to A&E in Leeds with acute pulmonary oedema, who were all acidotic on arrival (pH <7.35), 89% improved with CPAP. Although Cross comments on individual treatment failures, he does not mention the meta-analysis that NIV is “extremely useful in an emergency department.”

There are however issues that have not yet been resolved. The one trial comparing CPAP with double level positive airway pressure (BiPAP) in patients with LVF3 revealed a higher mean creatinine kinase, and lower mean pH and Pao, at enrolment. As Cross reports, these differences between the two groups did not achieve statistical significance, but there was a trend towards worse baseline variables in the BiPAP group. With only 27 patients in this study the possibility of a type 2 error also exists. Further trials are needed to determine the role of BiPAP in the treatment of LVF.

Two of the three studies of CPAP in LVF excluded hypotensive patients. CPAP may increase cardiac output in patients with cardiac failure,4 and further study is needed to confirm its safety in these patients.

In our department we admit patients with respiratory distress using CPAP for LVF and BiPAP for exacerbations of COPD. Patients who are unable to cooperate or require immediate intubation are excluded. With the use of fully portable equipment NIV is a simple technique, which has the potential to benefit many emergency department patients, and we welcome the attention drawn to this by the review article.
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trials in which the intubation rate for the controls is usually very high (up to 74% in studies of COAD patients and up to 60% in those with patients presenting with acute pulmonary oedema). It is almost inevitable that NIV will reduce the intubation rate when the rate is already so high in the controls. In our audit in A&E departments in Leeds, only 11% of severe acute pulmonary oedema patients (respiratory rate >23/min and pH <7.35) were intubated after the usual therapy for this conditions. It is much less likely that NIV, in the A&E department, would reduce this low intubation rate significantly.

Cross also suggests that “early intervention [with NIV] may avoid the risks and complications of endotracheal intubation.” There is no doubt that the complication rate has been shown to be reduced by NIV in published studies, but in others a non-significant trend towards increased mortality in those treated with NIV has been shown and attributed to delays in intubation. It is important, therefore, to point out that NIV is not a substitute for intubation but may delay or prevent it becoming necessary in a carefully selected group of patients.

Two other points not discussed in the review are also important. Firstly, like everything else in A&E practice, there are training issues arising when new or unfamiliar techniques, such as NIV, are used. Both doctors and nurses need to know when and how to use particular equipment and, perhaps more importantly, when not to. In particular they need to be fully trained in all the possible complications of NIV. A&E staff may not use the technique regularly and so skills will decay without proper training schemes in place.

Secondly, many of the NIV may be in place currently on the market will not work within a battery pack, and this may present difficulties when transfer to the ward or intensive care is required by a patient who has been started on NIV in the A&E department. Some patients (particularly those with COAD) will have a prolonged requirement for NIV and it is, therefore, important to consider investing in an NIV machine that can run from a battery. In summary, most patients presenting to A&E with respiratory distress do not need ventilatory support. For those that do, endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation remains the gold standard. For a small group of patients with chronic lung disease needing urgent ventilatory support, NIV may be first line treatment. Chronic positive airway pressure undoubtedly has a role in patients with acute pulmonary oedema.

S D CRANE
A J GRAY
Consultant Respiratory Physician,
St James’ University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TB, UK

W ELLIOTT
Consultant Emergency Physician,
St James’ University Hospital

BOOK REVIEWS


Seventy five years ago an American advertising executive wrote that “one picture is worth ten thousand words”. The aphorism holds particularly true for busy junior doctors preparing for examinations. This little book offers 150 pictures; clinical images, radiographs, tables of monitored data and diagrams to explain basic principles. The book is aimed at medical students, critical care trainees and surgeons in training. However, the topics covered are not exclusive to intensive care medicine and many conditions relevant to the emergency physician are included. Trauma, toxicology and resuscitation topics are among the 272 cases contributed by an international group of 50 intensivists.

The authors set out to assist the reader in understanding what they call the “science and Gestalt of critical care medicine”. I take this to mean that the clinical formulation should be determined by interrogation of the data in the appropriate context, taking account of any technical and other confounding variables. These aims are equally appropriate to emergency medicine but I’m not sure that they are achievable in a book of this size and type.

The format is a familiar one; questions are posed on one side of the page with answers and explanations overleaf. The quality of the images is above average and the subject matter is not overly esoteric. Answers are commendably brief and informative, allowing a wide range of material to be covered fairly quickly, though not in great depth.

Accessible is the best way to describe this book, light reading in quiet moments before postgraduate examinations in critical care, anaesthesia or accident and emergency medicine. When junior doctors wore white coats it would have been crammed into my examin- ing pocket. Nowadays it will reside instead in numerous clinical areas or languish on a bedside table in the on call room. I recommend that if you come across it you should pick it up and have a read.

PATRICK A NEE
Consultant in Emergency Medicine and Critical Care Medicine, Whiston Hospital, Warrington Road, Prescot, Merseyside L35 5DR


When I picked up Trauma care I was expecting another run of the mill text on “how to resuscitate the multiply injured”. This expectation was shaken when the book fell open on the chapter “nutrition”. Now I know what I was thinking in A&E and am sure no one was advocating taking the breakfast trolley into “resus”! All was revealed in this first chapter. Considering the book takes a much wider view of trauma care than is normally experienced by those of us who work in A&E. It is not designed for the nurse wanting to know how to resuscitate the multiply injured, but instead is a text outlining the delivery of optimum trauma care from the moment of injury to the re-integration of the patient into the community. The approach is fresh and is enhanced by the use of case studies to illustrate the points being made. The most compelling thing is that a large amount of the book seems to be written from the perspective of the patient—the patient’s experience, the patient’s needs. The chapters that focus on the psychological effects of trauma are perhaps the most sobering, but other elements of care that are important to the patient are also covered—elimination, tissue viability, availability of data and mouth care to name but a few. But don’t be fooled into thinking that this is purely a nursing textbook—the contributors come from all disciplines involved in the care and rehabilitation of the trauma patient, with many team members writing their own chapters in the book. The book is made complete with considerations in the final section of the actual service delivery and the staff that work within the service.

www.emjonline.com
This book has succeeded in making me rethink my often narrow approach to trauma care, and I have set myself the task of recommending it to all the areas within the hospital that have contact with “trauma patients”. I hope that if I ever have the misfortune to be seriously injured I am looked after by people who have a similar patient orientated approach.

CAROLINE WILLIAMS
Senior Sister, Accident and Emergency, West Wales General Hospital, Carmarthen, UK

CORRECTION

Mr R A Simpson should have been acknowledged as a scan coordinator for the Journal scan that appeared in the January 2001 issue of the journal (2001;18:71–3).

NOTICES

15th Annual Trauma Anesthesia and Critical Care Symposium
23–25 May 2002, Stavanger, Norway
Further details: e-mail: congress-secretary@traumacare2002.com, web site: www.traumacare2002.com, tel: +47 51 74 91 02, fax: +47 51 74 70 02.

18th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine, incorporating the 22nd Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australasian Society for Emergency Medicine
30 September to 4 October 2001, Hobart, Tasmania
Further details: the programme of the meeting and details of how to register are at the web site www.cdesign.com.au/acem2001

World Congress on Drowning
26–28 June 2002, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
Further details: Congress Secretariat World Congress on Drowning 2002, Consumer Safety Institute, PO Box 75 169, 1070 Amsterdam, the Netherlands (tel: +31 20 511 45 14, fax: +31 20 511 45 10, e-mail: Secretariat@drowning.nl, web site: www.drowning.nl).
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