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Abstract

Objective—To investigate the contribution of alcohol ingestion to the radiological workload of an inner city accident and emergency (A&E) department.

Methods—A prospective survey of patients presenting to A&E who required radiographs was performed over a seven day period. The A&E clinician questioned patients about alcohol intake during the six hours before the onset of the presenting complaint or injury, and made an objective assessment of signs of alcohol ingestion or intoxication. An assessment was made also of the relative contribution of alcohol as a cause of patients’ injuries.

Results—A total of 419 patients who had radiography fulfilled the inclusion criteria, and a questionnaire was completed for 351 (84%). Forty (11%) of 351 were found to have ingested alcohol. Thirty five (87%) of 40 patients who had ingested alcohol were radiographed for trauma, as compared with 171 (55%) of the 311 who had not (p<0.001). Alcohol was considered to have been causative of injury in 30% and a contributory factor in an additional 58%. Radiographs of the skull, face and jaw accounted for 18 (33%) of 55 radiographs from trauma patients who had ingested alcohol compared with 20 (9%) of 212 radiographs from those who had not (p<0.001). There was no significant difference in the proportion of abnormal radiographs between these two groups (27% of radiographs from trauma patients who had ingested alcohol compared with 23% of radiographs from those who had not, p>0.2).

Conclusion—Patients with alcohol related injuries requiring radiography have a significant impact on the radiological workload of an A&E department, although the prevalence of alcohol ingestion detected in this study was less than expected from previous studies.
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Table 1 The questionnaire used in alcohol and radiographs study, and number of positive responses to each question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Number of positive responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient admits to having drunk alcohol within 6 hours of onset of complaint/injury?</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breath smells of alcohol?</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient appears intoxicated?</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol considered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causative?</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributory?</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coincidental?</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total number of patients from whom positive responses were obtained was 40.

We found no other research on this subject on a Medline search.

Methods

We performed a prospective survey of adults who presented to the A&E department of an inner city teaching hospital during a one week period and required plain radiographs. We excluded patients under the age of 16, complex radiological investigations (including computed tomography and contrast studies), and radiographs requested by clinicians from outside the A&E department. Doctors recorded details of patients’ alcohol ingestion on a simple questionnaire (table 1) before requesting radiographs. This assessment comprised direct patient questioning about alcohol intake during the six hours before the onset of the presenting complaint or injury, and brief clinical examination for signs of alcohol ingestion (smell of alcohol on the patient’s breath) and signs of intoxication (such as dysarthria, incoordination). The A&E clinician also made a judgement based on the history of alcohol ingestion, degree of intoxication and circumstances of injury, whether alcohol ingestion was causative of, contributory or coincidental to injury.

We used χ² statistical tests, comparing the proportion of radiographs performed for trauma, and part of the body radiographed and proportion of abnormal radiographs among those of trauma patients who had ingested alcohol as compared with those who had not.

Results

A total of 419 patients had plain radiographs requested by A&E clinicians, resulting in 433 radiographs. A questionnaire was completed for 351 (84%). Of these 351, 40 (11%) admitted to alcohol ingestion or had signs of alcohol ingestion. Thirty five (87%) who had ingested alcohol were radiographed for trauma, compared with 171 (55%) of 311 patients who had not.
Table 2  Number of radiographs by anatomical site for trauma patients who had consumed alcohol as compared with those who had not (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical site</th>
<th>Radiographs for patients with alcohol (n=55)</th>
<th>Radiographs for patients without alcohol (n=212)</th>
<th>p Value (comparison of proportions between patients with and without alcohol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head (skull, face, jaw)</td>
<td>18 (33)</td>
<td>20 (9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine and pelvis</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>28 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limb</td>
<td>15 (27)</td>
<td>82 (39)</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>53 (25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue (for suspected foreign body)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>14 (7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>9 (16)</td>
<td>14 (7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1)</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of radiographs</td>
<td>55 (100)</td>
<td>212 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

not (p<0.001, χ² = 15.4). Alcohol consumption was considered to be causative of injury in 30%, contributory in 58% and coincidental in 12%. The number of radiographs by anatomical site is shown in table 2. Skull, face or jaw radiographs accounted for 18 (33%) of 55 radiographs from trauma patients who had ingested alcohol as compared with 20 (9%) of 212 from trauma patients who had not ingested alcohol (p<0.001). Fifteen (27%) of 55 radiographs from trauma patients who had ingested alcohol were reported as abnormal, compared with 48 (23%) of 212 from those who had not had p<0.2).

Discussion
Of all patients radiographed during the study period 11% gave a history of recent alcohol consumption or were noted to have signs of alcohol ingestion or intoxication. A significantly higher percentage (87% vs 13%) of the alcohol group were radiographed after injury, and in most of these alcohol was considered to be either causative or contributory. There was a significantly greater proportion of skull and facial radiographs among trauma patients who had consumed alcohol, which is consistent with the increased incidence of head injuries and violent assaults among intoxicated patients.7 It is recognised that the absolute number of radiographs of certain anatomical sites compared in table 2, was small. There was no significant difference in the incidence of radiological abnormality in trauma patients relative to alcohol ingestion.

Our results are consistent with the finding of a previous study that prior alcohol consumption was more likely in injured as compared with non-injured emergency department patients.6 North American studies of large number of trauma patients presenting to A&E found 25%–52% had ingested alcohol.1,4 Our study was confined to patients who had radiographs performed, and it may be that the prevalence of alcohol ingestion among those radiographed is less than in the total population A&E attenders.

Use of self reported alcohol consumption and clinical examination for signs of alcohol ingestion has the advantage of simplicity, and we achieved an 84% response rate from clinicians completing our questionnaire. However, reliance on self reporting and clinical signs may be subjective,7 and lack sensitivity.8 Questionnaire screening instruments have been shown to correlate with biological alcohol markers,9 and some have been reported to be more than 80% sensitive in identifying harmful drinking or alcohol dependence in emergency department patients.6 An alternative to screening instruments is the model based on demographic and clinical data, developed by Soderstrom et al in a trauma centre setting, to identify patients likely to have a blood alcohol concentration greater than or equal to 50 mg/dl.10 In a univariate analysis of an initial cohort of patients, the proportion with a blood alcohol concentration ≥ 50 mg/dl was significantly higher among men, white people, victims of intentional injury, and those injured at night or on a weekend day; there was also an association with age, the greatest proportion with a blood alcohol ≥ 50 mg/dl being among patients in their 20s and 30s. The model developed uses a scoring system.

If our study did underestimate the prevalence of alcohol ingestion, the effect might be expected to underestimate rather than overestimate the contribution of alcohol ingestion to radiological workload.

The findings of this study suggest that alcohol ingestion by patients attending A&E, particularly after trauma, has significant implications for emergency radiological workload. Possible reasons for this include both the association of alcohol ingestion with injury,1 and the fact that doctors may have a lower threshold for requesting radiographs for patients who are intoxicated because alcohol makes the clinical assessment of injury more difficult. Future research into the significance of alcohol ingestion for radiological workload might use one of the more sensitive screening instruments in a larger study.
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