Status epilepticus is a medical emergency that demands immediate treatment. The purpose of this review is to analyse the different treatment options for drug therapy and determine the most appropriate choice for adults in the emergency department.

Status epilepticus is defined as a continuous seizure lasting more than 30 minutes or two or more seizures without full recovery of consciousness between any of them. The most common and dangerous type is generalised convulsive status epilepticus (GCSE), which is characterised by coma and convulsive movements. The incidence of GCSE in the UK has been said to be 180 to 280 cases per million. It is a medical emergency and demands immediate treatment as mortality rates of 10% to 15% have been reported.

The initial management of the patient in GCSE entails managing the airway, breathing and circulation. While maintaining a clear airway, high flow oxygen is administered. Intravenous access should be obtained and fluid therapy started as necessary. A high priority is the measurement of glucose using a bedside test (“BM”). If this is low, dextrose should be administered intravenously. If the patient has a history of malnutrition or alcoholism, administration of 100 mg thiamine intravenously should be considered.

The emergency physician should then decide which drug to administer as principal therapy to the patient who is still in GCSE. The purpose of this review is to analyse the different options for drug therapy advocated over the years and determine the most appropriate choice for GCSE in adults in the emergency department.

THERAPEUTIC ALTERNATIVES
Benzzodiazepines
This group of drugs has traditionally been the first line of treatment for the acute episodes of seizures. They exert their antiepileptic effect by preventing the spread of seizure rather than suppressing the seizure focus. They have a rapid onset of action due to their high lipid solubility and rapidly penetrate the brain.

Diazepam
Diazepam (Valium) was described by Gestaut et al in 1965 as being “the drug of first choice for the emergency treatment of all cases of status epilepticus”, and as such remained unrivalled until relatively recently. It has been reported to halt GCSE in approximately 80% of patients in a matter of minutes. A recognised side effect of intravenous administration of diazepam is venous thrombosis.

Diazepam is very lipid soluble and also highly lipid bound (up to 99%), resulting in a large volume of distribution. It has the longest elimination half life (30 hours) of the commonly used benzodiazepines. The drug is rapidly redistributed from the brain to peripheral fat stores despite rapid uptake into the brain, explaining its shorter duration of action (15–30 minutes). Subsequent bolus doses of diazepam for recurrent seizures can therefore lead to accumulation of the drug peripherally. As diazepam has such a long elimination half life, this increases the risks of side effects such as sudden hypotension and respiratory or circulatory collapse. In addition, diazepam is metabolised to desmethyldiazepam, which has a long half life.

It can be administered intravenously or rectally in the patient with GCSE. Rectal administration of diazepam solution results in peak concentrations within 5 to 30 minutes. Diazepam therefore continues to be used in the prehospital management of GCSE where intravenous access may be difficult or not an option.

Lorazepam
Lorazepam is a hydroxylated benzodiazepine, which was originally introduced in the United States as an anxiolytic agent in 1977. In comparison with diazepam, its redistribution is minimal resulting in therapeutic levels persisting in the brain for a longer period of time. Its anticonvulsant effect is therefore reported as lasting in excess of 24 hours. These differences are due to a higher percentage of unbound drug. In a study looking at elimination half lives and volumes of distribution in eight healthy volunteers, the apparent volume of distribution of diazepam was more than 10 times that of lorazepam. Walker looked at 25 patients treated with lorazepam. All of the nine patients who had GCSE had their seizures terminated within 10 minutes. An additional difference is that lorazepam has no active metabolites.

Lorazepam is not as reliable as diazepam when administered rectally because of slower absorption and variable bioavailability. It is unsuitable for use in prehospital management, as the intravenous solution needs to be stored in a fridge and there is no reliable rectal preparation. As with diazepam, lorazepam may also cause respiratory depression and hypotension. All benzodiazepines render the patient stuporous making subsequent neurological examination difficult. When compared with diazepam, there is apparently a lesser...
incidence of venous thrombosis after intravenous injection of lorazepam. 

Clonazepam

Clonazepam has also been used in the initial drug management of GCSE and has been compared in clinical trials with diazepam and lorazepam. An intravenous loading dose is used and it has an effect in comparable times. When compared with lorazepam, it was felt that although improvement in the EEG was quicker with lorazepam, clinical symptoms resolved more completely with clonazepam.

Midazolam

Midazolam is a water soluble benzodiazepine with an elimination half life of approximately two hours. Its effects are similar to diazepam and it has been in common use for minor operative procedures since the mid-1980s because of its anxiolytic and amnesic properties. At body pH, it is highly lipophilic and rapidly enters the brain with a fast onset of action. It has a shorter duration of action than the other benzodiazepines, thus enabling an earlier accurate neurological assessment of the patient. It can subsequently be used as an intravenous infusion. It has been shown in a small study to be an effective and safe alternative to high dose barbiturates when conventional treatment has failed.

An alternative route of administration for midazolam is the buccal route. This has been shown to be effective in childhood and adolescence with 75% of episodes ending within 10 minutes. When compared with lorazepam, it was felt that although improvement in the EEG was quicker with lorazepam, clinical symptoms resolved more completely with clonazepam.

Phenytoin/fosphenytoin

Phenytoin was first synthesised in 1908 and its antiepileptic properties were first reported in the 1930s. It is said to control up to 91% of cases of GCSE and readily crosses in to the brain with a slower rate of redistribution than that of the benzodiazepines.

Its use in GCSE is limited by side effects at high infusion rates. At maximal infusion rates, hypotension occurs in 28% to 40% of patients and with underlying disease (for example, head injury and COPD) where diazepam induced respiratory depression would be inadvisable. It is not in common use however because of its side effect profile that can include convulsions.

Phenobarbitone

Phenobarbitone has been shown to be as effective as diazepam and phenytoin. Shaner et al described a randomised, non-blinded trial involving 36 adult patients presenting to an emergency department with GCSE. They were randomised to receive either diazepam or phenytoin or phenobarbitone. If the patient continued to convulse 10 minutes after starting phenobarbitone, a phenytoin infusion was started.

Outcomes measured included the cumulative convulsion time (total time spent in active convulsive movements) and the response latency (time from the start of treatment to the end of the last convulsion). The cumulative convulsion time was shorter for the phenobarbitone group (p<0.06), as was the response latency (p<0.10). It was concluded that the phenobarbitone regimen is rapidly effective, comparable in safety and is more practical than diazepam and phenytoin.

While this small study seems to suggest a benefit for phenobarbitone, it has been suggested that the depressant effects on respiratory drive, level of consciousness and blood pressure may be detrimental. It has therefore been suggested that it is only used when combination therapy with benzodiazepines and phenytoin fails.

Pentobarbital and thiopental

Pentobarbital is given at a loading dose of 5–12 mg/kg intravenously followed by an infusion in the range 1–10 mg/kg/h. Thiopental is the sulphur analogue of pentobarbital and it is administered at an initial intravenous dose of 4 mg/kg in order to produce general anaesthesia. The main disadvantages with thiopental are the resultant high risk of hypotension, the high lipid solubility and hence accumulation in fatty tissues, and the presence of an active metabolite (pentobarbital).
Others

Propofol is a phenol derivative, which was first used commercially as a general anaesthetic induction agent in 1986. It is highly lipid soluble and produces induction of anaesthesia at doses of 2–2.5 mg/kg. As with thiopental, it is liable to cause hypotension, but it may also cause profound respiratory depression as well. Concerns with regards to its potential proconvulsant effects seem to be misfounded with myoclonus after its use having previously been misinterpreted as seizure activity.11

Valproic acid can be administered via a nasogastric tube or rectally in GCSE.29 An intravenous preparation of valproic acid has recently been introduced and has been recommended as a possibility for GCSE partially resistant to diazepam and phenytoin and as a non-depressant alternative to phenobarbital and other CNS depressants.31 There has been one case report published32 describing severe hypotension when intravenous valproic acid was administered to an 11 year old girl. Further studies are recommended before its use can be fully endorsed.

Paraldehyde and chlormethiazole have also been used in GCSE. Paraldehyde was advocated as far back as 194949 as primary treatment for GCSE with initial intramuscular doses followed by intravenous administration. It has substantial toxicity and offers little in comparison to the other drugs available.

Chlormethiazole has gone out of favour because of a variety of side effects including respiratory depression, hypotension, heart block, thrombophlebitis, fever, and severe headache.50 55

Corticosteroids are indicated in status epilepticus attributable to mass lesions, arteritis, sickle cell disease or parasitic central nervous system infection.

DRUG TREATMENT OF THE ADULT PATIENT IN GCSE

A treatment algorithm is suggested (fig 1) for the emergency department management of the adult patient in GCSE. This approach is comparable with that recommended in popular texts.20 26 In contrast, the fourth edition of Clinical Medicine by Kumar and Clark56 makes brief mention of the management of GCSE. Diazepam is recommended as the first line drug, followed by phenytoin, and finally general anaesthesia if convulsions persist in excess of 90 minutes. It is hoped that the emergency physician would consider this latter intervention at a much earlier stage if other interventions have been unsuccessful.

Prehospital

Although this article is not primarily concerned with this area, it would be remiss not to mention prehospital management, as this can affect the emergency department management.

Currently, the mainstay of therapy is the use of diazepam, either rectally by carers and/or ambulance personnel, or intravenously by ambulance personnel. The requirement for lorazepam to be refrigerated makes its use impractical in the prehospital setting.

Studies looking at the use of buccal and intranasal midazolam in the paediatric population10 13 look promising. In addition, the use of intramuscular midazolam has been explored.7 Drugs administered by these routes would be aesthetically more acceptable if their efficacy is shown to be comparable.

Emergency department

Choice of initial drug therapy

The choice of first line drug therapy is realistically limited to a combination of benzodiazepines and phenytoin/phenobarbitone. Among the benzodiazepines, diazepam and lorazepam have been the subject of two randomised controlled trials.48 49

Management of airway, breathing, and circulation

High flow oxygen + IV access

Give glucose if blood sugar is low

Lorazepam 4 mg IV over 2 minutes

If seizures persist after 10 minutes

Phenytoin 1.5 mg per kg IV (rate 50 mg/min)

or

Fosphenytoin 1.5 mg phenytoin equivalent (PE) per kg IV (rate 100 mg PE/min)

If seizures persist after 20 minutes

Consider phenobarbitone 10 mg/kg

(Beware hypotension)

General anaesthesia

Figure 1 Treatment algorithm for the emergency department treatment of the adult patients with GCSE.

The landmark study is probably the Veterans Affairs study,7 which describes a five year, randomised, double blind, multicentre trial looking at four regimens (diazepam/phenytoin, lorazepam, phenobarbitone, and phenytoin). Altogether 570 adult patients were enrolled into the study and 518 of them had verified generalised GCSE (no mention is made as to the diagnosis of the other 52 patients). The patients were inpatients at one of 16 Veterans Affairs medical centres or six affiliated university hospitals between 1 July 1990 and 30 June 1995.

A successful outcome was defined by cessation of both clinical and electrical evidence of seizure activity within 20 minutes and no recurrence by an hour after onset of treatment. The success rates for the four regimens were as follows: diazepam/phenytoin (55.8%), lorazepam (64.9%), phenobarbitone (58.2%) and phenytoin (43.6%). The only significant difference was between the groups who received lorazepam and phenytoin (p=0.002). It was concluded that lorazepam is more effective than phenytoin. Although no more efficacious than the other groups, it was felt that lorazepam is easier to use. Leppik et al70 published a double blind, randomised, controlled trial involving 78 adult patients with 81 episodes of GCSE from three participating centres. A successful outcome was defined by cessation of clinical evidence of seizure activity. The success rates for the two regimens were as follows: lorazepam (one dose 78%, two doses 89%), diazepam (one dose 58%, two doses 76%). The difference between the two groups was not felt to be significant. The authors were unable to comment on the duration of action of the two study drugs because of the fact that phenytoin had been administered concurrently to most of the patients. It was concluded that lorazepam is at least as effective as diazepam in the treatment of GCSE.
In both studies, the randomisation processes were either open to question or not entirely clear. In the study by Treiman, treatment kits were placed at four locations within each hospital and the patient was allocated the lowest numbered kit at the site nearest to their location. In the study by Leppik, the manufacturer randomised the assignment of drugs to the kits. There are no details of how the assignment of the kits to the patients was randomised. The other limitation with Leppik’s study was that most but not all patients received phenytoin as well. Apart from these issues, both papers stand up well to scrutiny.

In another study published in abstract form, Andermann compared lorazepam with diazepam in 59 patients with GCSE. Seizure activity was terminated in 82% of patients after a first dose of 4 mg and 91% after a second dose in those who had not responded after 10–15 minutes (54% and 84% with diazepam).

A published abstract by Treiman et al. described a prospective, randomised, unblinded study of 48 cases. Lorazepam was successful in 76.9% of the 26 patients receiving it as the first drug. Phenytoin was successful in 54.5% of patients receiving it as the first drug (p<0.05).

There are more than 45 papers investigating the issue of first line drug management of GCSE in the form of open, non-randomised, retrospective trials. Treiman has analysed the 45 papers published up to 1990. He stated that control of GCSE was achieved in 79% of the 1346 patients included with similar results for diazepam, lorazepam and clonazepam (data not published as a review article).

Finally, a frequent misconception is that the side effect profile differs between diazepam and lorazepam. Both drugs have been proven in animal models to reduce the respiratory drive although no significant difference has been demonstrated in the incidence or severity of this side effect in human studies. Hypotension has been noted with diazepam, although significant cases seem to have been associated with associated use of barbiturates. Lorazepam has been safely used in high dose (up to 9 mg/h) for the treatment of refractory GCSE. The perceived increased side effects witnessed with diazepam are more likely to be a result of the repeated doses needed to treat GCSE.

In a retrospective study of 1200 adult patients presenting to United Kingdom accident and emergency departments after a seizure published in 1998, Ryan et al. found that in the 59 patients with GCSE the majority (78%) received diazepam as first line treatment. The evidence suggests that although diazepam is as efficacious as lorazepam at terminating their seizure, we should be considering lorazepam as the first line agent because of its longer duration of action.

Patients with seizures refractory to initial loading with benzodiazepines should be given a loading dose of phenytoin or fosphenytoin. The use of one of these agents should be considered at an early stage, as the anticonvulsant effects will be longer lasting than the benzodiazepines.

**Ongoing management**

If the patient is already receiving adequate phenytoin therapy or if phenytoin has no effect, consideration should be given to administering phenobarbitone. The loading dose is 10 mg/kg (diluted to one in ten with water for injection) and should not exceed a rate of 100 mg/minute. It should be used with caution, as the risks of cardiovascular collapse are higher with the concurrent use of benzodiazepines. It should therefore only be used in a critical care environment.

If the above measures fail to terminate seizure activity within 40 minutes (see fig 1), then induction of general anaesthesia with an appropriate agent and endotracheal intubation should be considered. The discussion relating to the agent used is beyond the scope of this article, but alternatives include barbiturates (for example, thiopental), propofol, and high dose benzodiazepines (for example, midazolam). As all of these agents can result in cardiovascular compromise, the patient should be transferred to an intensive care unit enabling appropriate monitoring and nursing care. Continuous EEG monitoring is beneficial as it can enable subclinical seizure activity to be identified.

At all stages, the diagnosis of pseudostatus should be considered in the patient with refractory seizures. Diagnostic features include convulsive episodes lasting longer than 90 seconds, closed eyes during a “tonic-clonic” episode, retained pupillary responses, resistance to eye opening, multiple previous episodes of “status”, and a history of other unexplained illnesses and deliberate self harm.

**CONCLUSION**

In the United Kingdom, patients brought to the emergency department by the ambulance services may have had diazepam administered either intravenously or rectally. Further bolus doses of diazepam will increase levels in the fatty tissues leading to an increased risk of respiratory and CNS depression.

Once intravenous access has been secured, the agent of choice in the emergency department should be lorazepam. The reason for this is because of its prolonged half life and resultant longer duration of action. If lorazepam is ineffective then a loading dose of phentoyin should be started. The newer agent fosphenytoin has recently been advocated as a safe and effective alternative in the emergency initiation and maintenance of anticonvulsive treatment. With its faster infusion rates and shorter onset of action, it has been suggested that it will superecede phenytoin as a complement to benzodiazepines for the early control of seizures. However current reports of adverse events may dent its popularity.

Failure to control convulsions with these measures indicates the need for barbiturates, propofol, or high dose benzodiazepines. Because of the increased risk of cardiovascular instability with these agents, the ideal setting for the ongoing treatment of the patient is in the intensive care unit.

Funding: none.

Conflicts of interest: none.

REFERENCES

Emergency department drug therapy for status epilepticus in adults

A S Lockey

Emerg Med J 2002 19: 96-100
doi: 10.1136/emj.19.2.96

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://emj.bmj.com/content/19/2/96.1

These include:

References
This article cites 37 articles, 9 of which you can access for free at:
http://emj.bmj.com/content/19/2/96.1#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/