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Legislation introduced in January 2001 has meant that progestogen only contraception is now available without prescription for women aged 16 years and over. This progestogen only regimen is now preferred because it is more effective than the Yuzpe regimen and causes less nausea and vomiting.2

In January 2001 legislation amended the Prescription Only Medicines Order resulting in progestogen only contraception now being available without prescription for women aged 16 years and over.1 This means that local pharmacies provide an additional point of access to emergency contraception for those women who can afford the £20 charge. The product that is available to buy is 0.75 mg levonorgestrel, which is taken twice, with the two doses separated by 12 hours and the first dose taken within 72 hours of intercourse. This progestogen only regimen is now preferred because it is more effective than the Yuzpe regimen and causes less nausea and vomiting.2

The changes described above have been welcomed by many emergency physicians who feel that they have led to fewer requests for emergency contraception at busy emergency departments. To discover if this had actually happened we tested the hypothesis that legislation changing emergency contraception availability from prescription only to over the counter has made no difference to the proportion of women attending emergency department(s) for emergency contraception.

METHODS

We retrospectively searched the electronic patient record systems (Footman-Walker and Remass) for patients requesting emergency contraception at two emergency departments in the South East Thames region (King’s College Hospital (KCH) in London and Bromley Hospital (BH) in Kent) between 1 April and 30 June in 2000 and 2001. In cases where the reason for attendance was unclear the written case notes were reviewed. Data were collected on patient’s age, GP registration, and whether or not the patient was prescribed emergency contraception after her request.

Possible confounding factors identified but found to be insignificant were a change in the proportion of patients registered with a GP, a change in the computer systems at the emergency departments over the duration of the study, a change in local pharmacy or family planning clinic opening hours in the areas, and an increase in waiting time to be seen by a doctor at either department in 2001 compared with 2000*.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the total number of attendances at both emergency departments for the study periods and the number accounted for by those women seeking emergency contraception. We have shown that there were a statistically greater proportion of attendances requesting emergency contraception in KCH and BH in 2000 compared with 2001 (p<0.001, α = 0.05). This reflects a 52% decrease in requests. Personal communication with the manufacturers of levonelle, Schering Health, showed that 33% of total emergency contraception sales in 2001 were accounted for by over the counter sales. In addition the total sales of emergency contraception in 2001 for the UK showed an increase of 20.7% compared with 2000 (personal communication, Schering Health, December 2002).

DISCUSSION

The change in legislation that resulted in re-classification of progestogen only contraception from prescription only to over the counter availability has led to a significant reduction in attendances at our emergency departments for emergency contraception. Our findings support a recent report in the BMJ that found that the number of women obtaining emergency contraception from family planning clinics decreased by 15% in 2001 compared with 2000.3

The results of our study suggest that despite an overall increase in sales of emergency contraception in 2001 compared with 2000 the change in legislation has resulted in a decrease in the burden of health care for emergency departments. However, some authors feel that the increased availability of emergency contraception will lead to a decrease in the use of barrier methods and subsequent higher rates of sexually transmitted diseases.4

Although the results of our study show that direct pharmacy provision leads to a 52% decrease in emergency contraception after a request from the authors.

### Table 1 Change in attendances at KCH and BH emergency departments from 2000 to 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Total Attendances at KCH and BH</th>
<th>Number Requesting EC at KCH &amp; BH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Apr–30 Jun 2000</td>
<td>36915</td>
<td>237 (KCH 72) (BH 165)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Apr–30 Jun 2001</td>
<td>35985</td>
<td>110 (25 KCH) (85 BH)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC, emergency contraception.
department attendances for emergency contraception, there remains room for further reduction. Tackling other potential barriers such as high cost, limited pharmacy opening hours, and lack of patient awareness is likely to be associated with an even greater decrease in the number of attendances.
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