Nebulised magnesium in asthma

Report by Jonathan Costello, Specialist Registrar
Checked by Marten Howes, Specialist Registrar
doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.017939

Abstract
A short cut review was carried out to establish whether the addition of nebulised magnesium sulphate to β agonist therapy improves outcome in acute asthma. Altogether 69 papers were found using the reported search, of which five presented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study weaknesses of these best papers are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

Clinical scenario
A known asthmatic patient is brought into the emergency department with signs consistent with acute asthma. Little improvement is noted with nebulised β agonist therapy. You wonder if adjunctive nebulised magnesium sulphate would provide any benefit.

Three part question
In [an adult with asthma] is [nebulised β agonist with nebulised magnesium sulphate better than nebulised β agonist alone] at [improving airflow and reducing morbidity]?

Search strategy
Medline 1966-05/04 using the Ovid interface. [(Exp magnesium OR magnesium$.mp OR exp magnesium sulfate OR magnesium sul$.mp OR exp magnesium compounds OR magnesium compound$.mp) AND (nebulise$.mp OR nebulize$.mp OR vaporise$.mp OR vaporize$.mp OR inhal$.mp) AND (Exp asthma OR asthma$.mp OR exp bronchial spasm OR bronchial spasm.mp OR bronchospasm.mp)] Limit to human AND English language.

Search outcome
Altogether 69 articles found of which five were relevant to the original question (see table 1).

Comment(s)
Extensive evidence exists regarding efficacy of intravenous magnesium in bronchospasm reversal. Of the few studies that relate to nebulised magnesium in bronchospasm reversal, samples remain small and conflicting results regarding optimal dose magnesium and sole agent efficacy persist. It is empirically suggested such mode of magnesium delivery be considered in cases of severe asthma only.

Clinical bottom line
There is currently insufficient evidence to support the routine addition of nebulised magnesium to standard β agonist therapy in acute asthma exacerbation.

Role of flexion/extension radiography in neck injuries in adults

Report by Elspeth Pitt, Specialist Registrar
Checked by Shobhan Thakore, Consultant
doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.017947

Abstract

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether flexion-extension radiography is indicated in the investigation of a neurologically intact adult patient with midline neck tenderness and normal 3-view cervical spinal radiographs. Altogether 101 papers were found using the reported search, of which five presented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study weaknesses of these best papers are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

Clinical scenario

A man attends the emergency department having been involved in a high speed road traffic accident. He complains of neck pain and midline neck spinal tenderness but has no neurological signs or symptoms. Standard 3-view cervical spine radiology (lateral, anteroposterior, and odontoid views) shows no abnormality. You wonder if a flexion/extension radiograph would show any significant injury/instability.

Three part question

In a neurologically intact adult patient with neck pain following trauma but normal plain radiographs do [flexion/extension x-rays] aid [diagnosis of ligamentous or soft tissue injury with instability]?

Search strategy


Search outcome

Altogether 101 papers from Medline and 79 from Embase were found of which five were relevant (see table 2).

Comment(s)

Most studies are retrospective so the evidence base is limited. Flexion-extension cervical spine radiography (FECSR) is safe in the properly selected patient. If the patient has adequate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meral A et al, 1996, Turkey</td>
<td>40 paediatric patients (age 8–13) with asthmatic exacerbation randomised to nebulised salbutamol or nebulised magnesium sulphate</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>PEFR Respiratory distress score</td>
<td>Higher PEFR values in the β agonist group at 5 min (p = 0.01), 60 min (p = 0.05) and at 360 min (p = 0.01)</td>
<td>Small sample Unclear randomisation and blinding procedure Questionable outcome measure reliability and reproducibility Unknown exclusion/inclusion criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangat HS et al, 1998, India</td>
<td>33 patients (age 12–60) with asthma (new onset or exacerbation) randomised to nebulised salbutamol or nebulised magnesium sulphate</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>PEFR Fischl index admission rate</td>
<td>No statistical difference between both groups (PEFR increase p = 0.54; Fischl index improvement p = 0.76)</td>
<td>Small sample size No power calculation Pre-treatment with corticosteroids Uncertain randomisation and blinding procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nannini LJ, et al 2000, Argentina</td>
<td>35 patients (aged &gt;18, Av 40) with asthmatic exacerbation randomised to nebulised salbutamol/normal saline (placebo) or to nebulised salbutamol/magnesium sulphate</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>PEFR (relative change)</td>
<td>Percentage increase in PEFR 30% and 60% higher in magnesium treated group at 10min (p = 0.03) and 20min (p = 0.04) respectively</td>
<td>Small sample size Unclear blinding procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bessmertny O et al, 2002, USA</td>
<td>80 patients (age 18–65) with asthma exacerbation randomised to nebulised salbutamol/normal saline (placebo) or nebulised salbutamol/magnesium sulphate</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>No significant difference found between the groups</td>
<td>Sample group pre-treatment Selection bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes R et al, 2003, New Zealand</td>
<td>52 patients (age 16–65) with severe asthma exacerbation randomised to nebulised salbutamol/normal saline (placebo) or nebulised salbutamol/magnesium sulphate</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>Significant FEV1 improvement in magnesium treated group (p = 0.003)</td>
<td>Sample group pre-treatment Selection bias. Uncertain randomisation procedure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
movement FECSR rarely adds to investigation if standard cervical spine radiography (SCSR) is normal. FECSR after an abnormal SCSR is of limited value because of the possibility of inadequate studies (because of pain or muscle spasm) and the risk of false negatives.

**Clinical Bottom Line**

In the acute setting FECSR adds little if CT/MR can be used to seek fractures or ligamentous instability.

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type(level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lewis LM, et al, 1991, USA</td>
<td>141 consecutive adult trauma patients who had flexion extension cervical spine radiography (FECSR) after static cervical spine radiography (SCSR) series</td>
<td>Retrospective review</td>
<td>Radiological abnormality on SCSR</td>
<td>11 of 141 instability on FECSR of which four had normal SCSR. Four had equivocal SCSR and three had fractures on SCSR</td>
<td>Retrospective No comment on blinding or not of radiologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Instability on FECSR</td>
<td>FECSR (compared with SCSR alone) increased the sensitivity and specificity for recognising injury from 71% to 99% and 89% to 93% respectively but this was not statistically significant because of small numbers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurological sequelae from performing radiographs</td>
<td>No neurological complications from FECSR</td>
<td>Adequacy of radiography not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang JC, et al, 1999, USA</td>
<td>290 patients after trauma—with neck pain, alert, neurologically intact had FECSR</td>
<td>Retrospective review</td>
<td>Instability on FECSR</td>
<td>1 of 290 (0.34%) instability on FECSR</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adequacy of FECSR</td>
<td>97 of 290 (33.45%) FECSR were inadequate and could not be assessed for instability so evaluated clinically later</td>
<td>Assessment of adequacy—qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurological problems because of FECSR</td>
<td>No neurological changes during FECSR</td>
<td>SCSR not mentioned in study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady WJ, et al, 1999, USA</td>
<td>451 patients over age 18 years with blunt trauma undergoing SCSR and FECSR</td>
<td>Retrospective descriptive review</td>
<td>Abnormality on FECSR</td>
<td>372 (82.5%) normal SCSR of whom five (1.3%) had abnormal FECSR</td>
<td>Retrospective Convenience Sample—referral bias Clinical outcome of patients not really addressed No comment on adequacy of radiographs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complications of FECSR</td>
<td>Patients with blunt trauma and neck complaints and an abnormal SCSR are more likely to have abnormal FECSR showing cervical injury requiring stabilisation than if they had normal SCSR No complications from FECSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollack CV Jr, et al, 2001, USA</td>
<td>86 patients who had FECSR with radiographically demonstrated cervical spine injury from blunt trauma from NEXUS database (patients also had SCSR and CT/MR as deemed necessary)</td>
<td>Subgroup analysis on prospective observational database</td>
<td>Incidence of diagnostic FECSR in patients with normal SCSR</td>
<td>6 of 86 had normal SCSR but abnormal FECSR but none of these were deemed to be clinically significant</td>
<td>No statistics performed No comment on adequacy of radiographs Post hoc subgroup analysis CT/MR used—confounding results Small numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insko EK, et al, 2002, USA</td>
<td>106 cases aged 17–85, within 12 hours of blunt trauma, evaluated with FECSR—awake, had pain and normal SCSR</td>
<td>Retrospective review</td>
<td>Interpretation and adequacy of CSR radiographs, Clinical outcome on follow up</td>
<td>9 of 106 patients had cervical spine injury (CSI) on basis of radiograph, clinical diagnosis, and follow up. 74 of 106 (70%) had adequate FECSR of whom five had CSI (no false negatives). 32 (30%) had inadequate FECSR of whom four (12.5%) had CSI subsequently found on CT or MRI</td>
<td>Retrospective No statistics performed Not all patients had the same imaging—varied number and types of plain radiography and CT/MR Excluded 228 patients because of inadequate follow up or radiograph taken after 12 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


---


Peripheral pulses to exclude thoracic aortic dissection

Report by Stewart Teece, Clinical Research Fellow
Checked by Kerstin Hogg, Clinical Research Fellow
doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.017954

Abstract

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether the absence of a clinical pulse deficit can be used to exclude dissecting thoracic aneurysm in patients with chest pain. Altogether 89 papers were found using the reported search, of which one was a previous systematic literature review. A further two papers published since the review were also found. These three papers presented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study weaknesses of these best papers are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

Clinical scenario

A 63 year old man presents to the emergency department with a one hour history of central chest pain of sudden onset. ECG shows ST elevation in his inferior leads. He has no obvious contraindications to thrombolysis in his history but you wish to ensure he has no evidence of a dissecting thoracic aneurysm before giving streptokinase. To keep your door to needle time below 20 minutes you wonder whether excluding a pulse deficit clinically is sensitive enough to avoid waiting for radiography.

Three part question

In [patients with acute chest pain] what is the sensitivity of abnormal peripheral pulses for [diagnosing acute dissection of the thoracic aorta]?

Search strategy


Search outcome

Altogether 89 papers found. One was a systematic review of the literature up to 2000. All relevant papers except two that post-dated it were included in this review. These three papers are summarised in the table 3.

Wound closure in animal bites

Report by Freya Garbutt, Specialist Registrar
Checked by Rachel Jenner, Specialist Registrar
doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.017962

Abstract

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether primary closure of animal bites increases wound infection rates. Altogether 74 papers were found using the reported search, of which one presented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study weaknesses of this best paper are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

Clinical scenario

A patient presents to the emergency department having been attacked by a dog. He has sustained lacerations to his hand and face. You provide oral analgesia, ensure he is covered for tetanus, and thoroughly clean and irrigate the wounds under local anaesthesia. The patient asks you to close the wounds and you wonder if there is any evidence that this would increase the rate of infection.

Three part question

In [adult patients with animal bites] does [wound closure] increase the [risk of infection]?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Author, date and country</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klompas M, 2000, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bossone E et al, 2002, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta RH et al, 2002, International</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Therapeutic hypothermia after out of hospital cardiac arrest

Report by Bernard A Foëx, Consultant
Checked by John Butler, Consultant
doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.017970

Abstract
A short cut review was carried out to establish whether therapeutic hypothermia improves outcome in comatose post cardiac arrest patients. Altogether 176 papers were found using the reported search, of which four presented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study weaknesses of these best papers are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

Clinical scenario
A 46 year old father of three collapses in the street with a cardiorespiratory arrest. He receives five minutes of bystander CPR. When the ambulance crew arrives he is in ventricular fibrillation. Return of spontaneous circulation is achieved after defibrillation. On arrival in the emergency department he is still in coma. You wonder if his chances of survival or of a good neurological outcome would be improved by therapeutic hypothermia?

Search strategy
Medline 1966-05/04 using the Ovid interface. [exp”bites and stings” OR bite.mp] AND [suture.mp OR exp sutures OR steristrip.mp OR exp adhesives OR glue.mp] LIMIT to human AND English language.

Search outcome
Altogether 74 papers were found of which one provided the best evidence to answer the clinical question (see table 4).

Comment(s)
Only one PRCT has been performed to directly investigate infection rates in animal bite wounds treated by primary closure compared with non-closure. No antibiotics were used in this study. It excludes puncture wounds, wounds infected at presentation, wounds with other structures involved, and those requiring plastic surgery. The study concludes that there is no significant difference in infection rates between the two groups except in those wounds occurring to the hands. Significantly more hand wounds became infected than wounds elsewhere, and of all hand wounds significantly more became infected in the group treated by closure. The study also noted that a delay to presentation of more than 10 hours was associated with an increased risk of infection but the relevant raw data are not presented.

Search strategy

Search outcome
Altogether 176 papers were found in Medline, only four described any sort of comparative study. Four papers were found in Cochrane, none of which were relevant to the three part question (see table 5).

Comment(s)
There are only four trials of mild hypothermia after cardiac arrest, and only two are randomised controlled trials. Treatment could not be blinded. All show a neurological benefit from mild hypothermia. Only two showed a survival benefit. The main inclusion criterion for these two trials was that patients had been in ventricular fibrillation. In study number 3 patients with a non-perfusing ventricular tachycardia were also included. There is no uniform protocol for how long hypothermia should be maintained, or the rate of rewarming.

> CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE
Bite wounds to the hand should be left open. Non-puncture wounds elsewhere may be safely treated by primary closure after thorough cleaning.


Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maimaris C and Quinton DN, 1988, UK</td>
<td>96 ED patients with 169 dog bite lacerations (punctures excluded) randomised to primary closure or leaving wound open</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Seven infections in sutured group (7.6%), compared with six in open group (7.7%) (not significant)</td>
<td>Randomisation method not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Significantly more infections in hand wounds in both groups than elsewhere (p&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>Uncertainty about the adherence to standard wound toilet in early stages of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 of 30 infections of hand wounds sutured (16%), 4 of 45 infections of hand wounds left open (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cosmesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cosmesis good or fair in both groups (scar width 2–6 mm in open compared with 1–5 mm in closed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

www.emjonline.com
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**Clinical scenario**

A 53 year old widow attends the emergency department having taken 20 aspirin and 20 ibuprofen 1.5 hours previously. You remember that NSAIDs slow gastric emptying and wonder whether gastric lavage would be of use in toxicity reduction.

**Three part question**

[In overdose with aspirin or other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs] is [gastric lavage better than activated charcoal] at [reducing toxicity]?

**Search strategy**

Medline 1966-05/04 using the Ovid interface. {[exp gastric lavage OR gastirc lavage.mp OR exp gastric emptying OR gastric emptying.mp OR exp irrigation OR lavage.mp OR empt$.mp OR irrigat$.af OR washout.af OR wash-out.af]} AND {exp poisoning OR exp overdose OR exp suicide OR exp Self-Injurious Behavior/ OR pois0$.af OR overdos$.af OR suicid$.af OR (deliberate adj5 self adj5 harm).af OR dsh.af} AND {exp aspirin OR exp anti-inflammatory agents, non-steroidal OR salic$.af OR nsaid.mp OR ketoprofen.af OR diclofenac.af OR aceclofenac.af OR acemetacin.af OR

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bernard SA et al, 1997, Australia</td>
<td>22 adults who remained unconscious after return of spontaneous circulation after out of hospital cardiac arrest</td>
<td>Prospective study with historical control group</td>
<td>Good neurological recovery (Glasgow outcome scale 1 or 2)</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 11/22 versus Normothermia gp 3/22, p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Prospective study with 22 historical controls rather than a randomised control trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan JP, Resuscitation et al.</td>
<td>Hypothermia group cooled to 33°C for 12 h and Rewarmed over 6 h to 36°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>Survival</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 12/22 versus Normothermia gp 5/22, p&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yanagawa Y et al, 1998, Japan</td>
<td>13 adults with out of hospital cardiac arrest and return of spontaneous circulation</td>
<td>Prospective study</td>
<td>Good neurological recovery (GOS 1)</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 3/13 versus Normothermia gp 1/15</td>
<td>Historical controls rather than randomised study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard SA et al, 2002, Australia</td>
<td>77 adults who remained unconscious after resuscitation from out of hospital cardiac arrest hypothermia to 33°C for 12 h versus normothermia</td>
<td>Randomised control trial</td>
<td>Good neurological recovery (GOS 1–2)</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 21/43 versus normothermia gp 9/34, p=0.046</td>
<td>Odd and even day prehospital randomisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard SA, Yanagawa Y, et al</td>
<td>Core temperature 33–34°C for 48 h. Rewarmed to 37°C at 1°C/day. Control group 15 patients treated before the hypothermia protocol was started</td>
<td></td>
<td>Survival</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 21/43 versus normothermia 11/34, p=0.145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study Group, 2002, Europe</td>
<td>275 adults with out of hospital cardiac arrest and return of spontaneous circulation Hypothermia to 32–34°C for 24 h then passive rewarming over 8 h versus normothermia</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trial with blinded assessment of outcome</td>
<td>Good neurological outcome at 6 months (GOS 1 or 2)</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 75/136 versus normothermia gp 54/137, p=0.009</td>
<td>Enrolment rate slower than expected. Study ended when funds ran out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yanagawa Y et al, 1998, Japan</td>
<td>Core temperature 33–34°C for 48 h. Rewarmed to 37°C at 1°C/day. Control group 15 patients treated before the hypothermia protocol was started</td>
<td></td>
<td>Survival</td>
<td>Hypothermia gp 75/136 versus normothermia gp 54/137, p=0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gastric lavage in aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug overdose**

Report by Stewart Teece, Clinical Research Fellow

Checked by Ian Crawford, Clinical Research Fellow

doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.017988

**Abstract**

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether gastric lavage was better than activated charcoal alone at reducing toxicity after aspirin or other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) overdose. Altogether 72 papers were found using the reported search, of which one presented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. A further relevant paper was found on scanning the references of papers identified. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study weaknesses of these best papers are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

**Search strategy**

Medline 1966-05/04 using the Ovid interface. {[exp gastric lavage OR gastirc lavage.mp OR exp gastric emptying OR gastric emptying.mp OR exp irrigation OR lavage.mp OR empt$.mp OR irrigat$.af OR washout.af OR wash-out.af]} AND {exp poisoning OR exp overdose OR exp suicide OR exp Self-Injurious Behavior/ OR pois0$.af OR overdos$.af OR suicid$.af OR (deliberate adj5 self adj5 harm).af OR dsh.af} AND {exp aspirin OR exp anti-inflammatory agents, non-steroidal OR salic$.af OR nsaid.mp OR ketoprofen.af OR diclofenac.af OR aceclofenac.af OR acemetacin.af OR...
azapropazone.af OR celecoxib.af OR dexketoprofen.af OR diflunisal.af OR etodolac.af OR fenbufen.af OR fencprofen.af OR flurbiprofen.af OR indomethacin.af OR indometacin.af OR ketoprofen.af OR ketoprofenaf OR mafenamic acid.af OR meloxicam.af OR nabumetone.af OR naproxen.af OR phenylbutazone.af OR piroxicam.mp OR exp piroxicam OR rofecoxib.af OR sulindac.af OR tenoxicam.af OR tiaprofenic acid.af} LIMIT to human AND English language.

Search outcome
Altogether 72 papers were found 71 of which failed to answer the three part question. A further reference was found after scanning of paper references. The two papers are shown in the table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danel V et al, 1988, UK</td>
<td>12 healthy volunteers given 1.5 g aspirin acting as own controls treated with nothing, charcoal and lavage</td>
<td>Prospective controlled study</td>
<td>Salicylate recovered in urine over 24 h</td>
<td>Control 13.3% lavage 8.8% charcoal 7.0%</td>
<td>Statistical significance not assessed Dose fairly small Number of patients small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapatto-Reiniluoto O et al, 1999, Finland</td>
<td>Nine healthy volunteers as own controls given 400 mg ibuprofen. Treated with water (control), charcoal or charcoal followed by lavage</td>
<td>Prospective controlled trial</td>
<td>AUC plasma ibuprofen as % of control</td>
<td>Control 100% charcoal alone 70% (p&lt;0.05) charcoal + lavage 51% (p&lt;0.05) No statistical significance between control groups</td>
<td>Small numbers Therapeutic ibuprofen dose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment(s)
There are no large scale trials performed in this area, however those that exist show that at best lavage is no better if not slightly worse than charcoal at reducing salicylate toxicity. Lavage although better than nothing has an element of risk involved in its practice and charcoal must therefore be treatment of choice.

► CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE
Gastic lavage is no better than charcoal alone at reducing toxicity after aspirin or NSAID overdose.
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