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"I myself know nothing, except just a little, enough to extract an argument from another who is wise and to receive it fairly". Socrates (399–469 BC)

In this the second part of SOCRATES (synopsis of Cochrane reviews applicable to emergency services) the working party present the results of their search of the Cochrane Database of systematic reviews in the area of neurology. As previously noted, in the first article of this series, the work was undertaken to inform ourselves and our colleagues in emergency medicine about the reviews in the "Cochrane Database of systematic reviews" that are of relevance to emergency physicians. At the time this review was performed the 52 Collaborative review groups had produced 1750 articles of which we found 61 that were particularly relevant. This paper, as with SOCRATES, is aimed at disseminating a structured synopsis of the output of the Cochrane Database of systematic reviews of particular relevance to practising emergency physicians.

Methodology

The members of the 10 strong SOCRATES team were assigned Cochrane groups whose articles were of particular interest to them. Each reviewer then selected the articles from each of the Cochrane groups that they felt were relevant to emergency medicine. The reviewer then summarised the article using a format that had previously been agreed by the team. Each review was presented to the group. The full text of the article was then given to another group member and the accuracy of the synopsis assessed. Only completed reviews were considered for summarising as protocols (reviews in preparation could not be used to give a definitive answer.

The structure of each synopsis is as follows:

- Title
- Background—information about the condition and question addressed by the review.
- Results—number of studies, number of patients.
- SOCRATES says—the answer to the clinically relevant question where available.
- Authors of the Cochrane review

The summaries were then grouped under various headings.

PIRACETAM FOR ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE

Piracetam has neuroprotective and antithrombotic effects that may help to reduce death and disability in people with acute stroke. The objective of this review was to assess the effects of piracetam in acute presumed ischaemic stroke.

Results

Three trials with 1002 patients were included, with one trial contributing 97% of data.

Piracetam was associated with an increase in death, which was not statistically significant and the trend was not apparent after correction for stroke severity. Limited data showed no difference between treatment and control groups for functional outcome or dependency. One further trial of early piracetam use is ongoing (PASS II).

SOCRATES says

The data available do not support the use of piracetam in the treatment of patients with acute ischaemic stroke.


PENTOXIFYLLINE, PROPENTOXYLLINE, AND PENTIFYLLINE FOR ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE

Methylxanthine derivatives are vasodilators that also inhibit platelet aggregation and thromboxane A2 synthesis, decrease the release of free radicals, and may be neuroprotective. The objective of this review was to assess the effect of intravenous or oral methylxanthines in patients with acute ischaemic stroke.

Results

Five trials with 793 patients were included. There was no significant reduction in early death.

SOCRATES says

Pentoxifylline or propentofylline should not be used in the routine management of acute ischaemic stroke.


PROSTACYCLIN AND ANALOGUES FOR ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE

Prostacyclin is an agent with a number of effects on platelets, blood vessels, and nerve cells, which might improve outcome after acute ischaemic stroke. The objectives of this review were to assess the effect of prostacyclin or analogues on survival in people with acute ischaemic stroke.

Results

Five trials with 191 patients were included. There was no significant reduction in the odds of early death with treatment but numbers were too small and design sub-optimal to allow conclusions to be made.

SOCRATES says

Prostacyclin should not be used in the routine management of acute ischaemic stroke.

CAULKIANTANTAGONISTS FOR ANEURYSMAL SUBARACHNOID HAEMORRHAGE

Subarachnoid haemorrhage is an important form of stroke, particularly in younger patients. Death or disability occurs in 50%, secondary ischaemia is believed to play an important part. Vasospasm is partly caused by an influx of calcium ions into vascular smooth muscle, giving the rationale for the use of calcium antagonists.

Results

Eleven randomised controlled trials in a total of 2804 patients. For death or disability number needed to treat = 19 (95% CI 11 to 53). Death alone showed no statistically significant difference.

SOCRATES says

In a confirmed subarachnoid haemorrhage nimodipine reduces the proportion of patients suffering a poor outcome. The evidence for other calcium antagonists is inconclusive.


ANTIFIBRINOLYTIC THERAPY FOR ANEURYSMAL SUBARACHNOID HAEMORRHAGE

In subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH) rebleeding is an important cause of morbidity and mortality. It is precipitated by lysis of the clot within the aneurysm. Antifibrinolytics such as tranexamic acid cross the blood brain barrier readily after SAH and so may be of use in the prevention of rebleeding.

Results

Eight randomised controlled trials on CT scan or CSF verified SAHS, five of which were double blinded were identified. A total of 937 patients were involved, tranexamic acid was by far the most commonly used agent. The risk of rebleeding was significantly reduced (OR 0.59, 95% CI 0.42 to 0.81). All of the trials were over 10 years old. In that period the use of calcium antagonists has become widespread, these agents are believed to protect against cerebral ischaemia.

SOCRATES says

At present there is no indication to use antifibrinolytic treatment in SAH. However, this may change as further research becomes available.


CORTICOSTEROIDS FOR ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE

One of the major causes of death in ischaemic stroke is cerebral oedema. Corticosteroids may reduce this oedema, but have potentially serious side effects.

Results

Seven randomised controlled trials in 453 patients. There was no significant increase in mortality associated with corticosteroid use (OR 1.08 95% CI 0.68 to 1.72) and no change in the proportion of neurologically impaired survivors.

SOCRATES says

There is no indication to use corticosteroids in acute ischaemic stroke.


INTERVENTIONS FOR DELIBERATELY CHANGING BLOOD PRESSURE IN ACUTE STROKE

Acute stroke (both ischaemic and haemorrhagic) is associated with hypertension in 75% of cases. Current guidelines suggest that hypertension should not routinely be treated in the acute phase, however these guidelines are not evidence based.

Results

Three double blind randomised controlled trials on a total of 133 patients, largely using calcium antagonists. Only one trial looked at mortality and showed no significant reduction in mortality (OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.17 to 2.45) with nimodipine, hypertension in itself was not a prerequisite for inclusion in the trial.

SOCRATES says

There is insufficient evidence to support the use of antihypertensives in acute stroke.


CONCLUSION

The Cochrane Collaboration continues to provide excellent rigorous systematic reviews to help inform our day to day practice. The amount of information available is enormous. The intention of the SOCRATES group was to summarise that information of particular relevance to practising emergency physicians. We hope that our synopsis of the Cochrane reviews applicable to emergency services in the area of neurology will be of use to our colleagues in emergency medicine and serve to help disseminate the excellent work of the Cochrane Collaboration.
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