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Simple measures to reduce the rate of contamination of blood cultures in Accident and Emergency
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Objectives: To reduce the contamination rate of blood cultures taken in the Accident and Emergency (A&E) department.

Methods: The standard blood culture sampling kit was supplemented with an instruction sheet on the optimal method for drawing blood cultures and a large 62% ethyl alcohol impregnated wipe.

Results: There was a statistically significant reduction in the number of contaminants (p = 0.03).

Conclusions: Simple measures to encourage skin disinfection and appropriate sampling technique will reduce the incidence of contamination of blood cultures in the A&E department.

The usefulness of blood cultures taken in the Accident and Emergency department (A&E) remains open to question.

In two A&E based studies only 1.6% of blood cultures taken in one study had an impact on the management of patients1 and in another only 0.52% potentially had their medical management affected by the positive blood culture results.2

Contamination of blood cultures is also a common problem and false positive results due to contaminants may lead to errors both in clinical interpretation and administration of inappropriate treatment. There are several problems in A&E which may contribute to high contamination rates of blood cultures—these include a rapid turnover of staff, lack of ongoing training, workload, and the nature of the presenting patients. Our study shows the effect that the provision of information on skin decontamination has on the contamination rate in A&E.

METHODS

The infection control team, in partnership with the A&E consultant staff, introduced a blood culture sampling kit. This contained BacT/ALERT (Biomerieux, Basingstoke, Hants, UK) sampling bottles but the bottles were placed in a polythene sleeve with enclosed pocket size instructions on how to take blood cultures (fig 1) and a large 62% ethyl alcohol impregnated wipe (Purell, Gojo Industries, Arkon, OH, USA) for cleaning the patient’s skin before venepuncture.

The medical and nursing staff in A&E were instructed on how to use the blood culture kits but no additional formal training was given on venepuncture. The interventions were timed to occur in the middle of the junior doctors’ rotation period to minimise the effect that staff changes may have had on the study.

The request form was marked in order to allow the laboratory to identify which blood culture samples had been collected using the kit method. Bacteria were identified using standard microbiological techniques. A blood culture contaminant was defined as a usual skin organism that was isolated from only one set of blood cultures in a patient with no evidence of an infection with that organism.

RESULTS

In the month before the intervention 50 sets of blood cultures were taken, 35 (70%) had no bacterial growth, three (6%) were judged to have significant growth, and 12 (24%) were judged to contain contaminants. In the month following the intervention 50 sets of blood cultures were also taken; 37 (74%) had no bacterial growth, nine (18%) were judged to have significant growth, and four (8%) were judged to contain contaminants. There was no statistically significant difference in overall bacterial growth following intervention, \( \chi^2_{(df = 2)} = 2.31, p = 0.13 \). There was however a statistically significant reduction in the number of contaminants, \( \chi^2_{(df = 2)} = 7.06, p = 0.03 \).

The results are summarised in table 1 and bacterial isolates listed in table 2.

DISCUSSION

The role of blood cultures in A&E remains open to question. However a reduction in the number of contaminants will optimise any relevance that a positive blood culture has to patient management. Most false positive blood cultures are caused by endogenous microbial skin flora so strict skin preparation and good venepuncture technique are important factors in reducing the rate of contamination.3 4 The most common bacterial contaminant identified was coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS); 12 CNS were identified in the month prior to the intervention but only three in the subsequent month.

Until the 1970s CNS were considered almost entirely to be contaminants arising from the skin flora.5 It is now recognised that CNS bacteraemia may be associated with the use of indwelling devices such as central venous or haemodialysis catheters or other prosthetic implants. In an earlier study when CNS were isolated in the first 48 hours of hospitalisation, an intravascular device was more frequently associated with episodes of true bacteraemia than in those considered as contamination (7 of 7 (100%) vs 10 of 57 (18%), respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)).6 In the absence of such devices we have considered CNS to be contaminants.

Other studies have attempted to identify ways of reducing blood culture contamination rates. A study in the USA associated the use of a dedicated phlebotomy service (\( p = 0.039 \)), use of tincture of iodine for skin disinfection (\( p = 0.036 \)), and application of an antiseptic to the top of the collection device before inoculation (\( p = 0.018 \)) with significantly lower contamination rates. Teaching institutions and a high rate of bed occupancy were demographic factors associated with higher blood culture contamination rates for inpatients. The type of blood culture method used,

Abbreviations: CNS, coagulase negative staphylococci.
specimen volume, or use of a double needle collection procedure did not significantly affect contamination rates.7

Studies of disinfectants used for skin preparation have shown conflicting results. One study showed that skin cleaning with chlorhexidine reduced the incidence of blood culture contamination more than povidone-iodine (1.4% compared with 3.3%, p = 0.004).8 But a more recent study comparing 10% povidone-iodine, 70% isopropyl alcohol, tincture of iodine, or povidone-iodine with 70% ethyl alcohol failed to show any significant differences in the blood culture contamination rates between these four antiseptics.9

It is likely that the choice of antiseptic makes little difference and the important factor is the use of any skin preparation. We have found that the inclusion of an appropriate skin wipe and instruction sheet has significantly reduced the rate of contamination of blood cultures taken in our Accident and Emergency department.

PREPARATION FOR TAKING BLOOD-CULTURES

EQUIPMENT
20 ml sterile syringe with 21 gauge needle
Blood-culture bottles (purple top = anaerobic and blue top = aerobic)
For babies a single paediatric bottle with a yellow top should be used.
Skin disinfectant
Sharps container
Sterile/Non-sterile gloves

PROCEDURE
• Select two Bact/Alert bottles (one purple and one blue)
• Label each bottle with patient’s name, DOB, unit number, date and time of collection — both on the specimen and on the laboratory request form.
• DO NOT PLACE LABELS ON BOTTLE
• Clean the skin by swabbing concentrically using enclosed alcohol wipe from the venepuncture site outwards for a minimum of 30 seconds — allow the site to dry completely before performing venepuncture (1–2 minutes)
• Do not touch prepared skin with unglove finger
Disinfect the tops of Bact/Alert bottles using 70% alcohol (do not use iodine)

PATIENTS CURRENTLY RECEIVING ANTIBIOTICS ONLY 5 ML OF BLOOD SHOULD BE INOCULATED PER BOTTLE.
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