Access block causes emergency department overcrowding and ambulance diversion in Perth, Western Australia
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Objective: Access block refers to the situation where patients in the emergency department (ED) requiring inpatient care are unable to gain access to appropriate hospital beds within a reasonable time frame. We systematically evaluated the relationship between access block, ED overcrowding, ambulance diversion, and ED activity.

Methods: This was a retrospective analysis of data from the Emergency Department Information System for the three major central metropolitan EDs in Perth, Western Australia, for the calendar years 2001–2. Bivariate analyses were performed in order to study the relationship between a range of emergency department workload variables, including access block (>8 hour total ED stay for admitted patients), ambulance diversion, ED overcrowding, and ED waiting times.

Results: We studied 259 580 ED attendances. Total diversion hours increased 74% from 3.39 hours/day in 2001 to 5.90 hours/day in 2002. ED overcrowding \( r = 0.96 \) (95% confidence interval CI 0.91 to 0.98), ambulance diversion \( r = 0.75 \) (95% CI 0.49 to 0.88), and ED waiting times for care \( r = 0.83 \) (95% CI 0.65 to 0.93) were strongly correlated with high levels of ED occupancy by access blocked patients.

Conclusion: Reducing access block should be the highest priority in allocating resources to reduce ED overcrowding. This would result in reduced overcrowding, reduced ambulance diversion, and improved ED waiting times. Improving hospital inpatient flow, which would directly reduce access block, is most likely to achieve this.

Methods

Perth’s population of 1.4 million is served by public EDs at four large central metropolitan hospitals (one exclusively paediatric), three smaller outer metropolitan hospitals, and two privately administered public hospitals. In addition, there is one private hospital ED. The capacity of the metropolitan public hospital system to accept ambulances is largely dependent upon the ability of the three main central tertiary hospitals to receive the majority of the ambulance presentations (this was 82% during the study period).

A retrospective analysis of data from EDIS and the Open Patient Administration System (TOPAS) for calendar years 2001 and 2002 was undertaken. EDIS (version 9) is software provided by Hospital Administration Software Solutions and is used in the public metropolitan EDs in Perth. TOPAS is the inpatient administration system used in all public metropolitan hospitals in Perth.

Bivariates and multivariate analyses were performed to illustrate the relationship between a range of variables likely to be correlated with ED overcrowding, access to emergency care, and ambulance diversion at the three main central tertiary hospitals (Fremantle Hospital, Royal Perth Hospital, and Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital). Each episode of ambulance diversion was recorded on a spreadsheet by the ambulance service at the time it occurred. Table 1 lists the definitions of the variables used for analysis. Analysis was conducted using SPSS (version 11.5; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Total ED attendances to the three tertiary hospitals remained stable between 2001 (129 011) and 2002 (130 569). Total

Abbreviations: ED, emergency department; EDIS, Emergency Department Information System; TOPAS, the Open Patient Administration System
admissions (mean 42%) increased slightly, from 54 678 in 2001 to 55 481 in 2002.

Total ambulance diversion hours increased 74% from 3.39 hours/day in 2001 to 5.90 hours/day in 2002 (fig 1). Triple diversion requests (when all three hospitals request ambulance diversion) grew from virtually non-existent in the first half of 2001 to every third day in 2002.

Total ED occupancy, an index of emergency department overcrowding, was shown to have an almost linear correlation with access block ED occupancy (r = 0.96, 95% CI 0.91 to 0.98, p<0.001; table 2). There was also a significant correlation with admissions and sea surface temperature. Given the dependency of total ED occupancy upon access block ED occupancy, access block ED occupancy was used in preference to total ED occupancy to assess the relationship between ED occupancy, ambulance diversion, and waiting time compliance.

Bivariate analysis indicated that access block ED occupancy has a strong positive correlation with ambulance diversion (r = 0.75, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.88, p<0.001; table 2). Fig 2 illustrates the correlation between the rise in access block ED occupancy and the total number of ambulance diversion hours between 2001 and 2002. Between 2001 and 2002, the proportion of access blocked patients increased from 11% to 16% (45% increase, p<0.001). Sea surface temperature was also shown to have a correlation with ambulance diversion. Total attendances, low acuity patient attendances, discharges, and admissions were not correlated with ambulance diversion (table 2).

Compliance with recommended waiting time thresholds for care deteriorated from 65% in January 2001 to 50% in December 2002 (15% decrease, p<0.001; fig 3). Access block ED occupancy was highly correlated with deterioration in compliance with waiting time thresholds (r = 0.83, 95% CI 0.65 to 0.93, p<0.001; table 4). Admissions and total attendances were also shown to have a correlation with waiting time compliance. Sea surface temperature may be weakly correlated with waiting time compliance; however, low acuity patient attendances and discharges were not correlated with waiting time compliance.

The use of stepwise regression to develop models for total ED occupancy, ambulance diversion, or waiting time compliance failed to identify a model including any additional variable independent of access block ED occupancy. All other variables tested in the model are those stated in table 2.

DISCUSSION

Our study clearly demonstrates that ambulance diversion and poor ED performance are related to poor inpatient flow. This manifests as the presence of admitted patients in the ED—what is, access block. Despite little change in ED attendances during the study period, we have demonstrated an almost linear relationship between access block ED occupancy and total ED occupancy. Similarly, there was a strong relationship between access block ED occupancy and both ambulance diversion and prolonged ED waiting times. A winter–spring exacerbation of access block ED occupancy associated with increased ED admissions was identified; however, our attempts at stepwise regression indicated that access block ED occupancy may be used as a single variable to predict the risk of ED overcrowding, ambulance diversion, and prolonged ED waiting times in metropolitan Perth central EDs, regardless of time of year or ED admissions.

Our results concur with other international reports that have found that the presence of inpatients in the ED is the primary reason for ED overcrowding. Schull also found that admitted patients contribute disproportionately to ambulance diversion. Ambulance diversion has gradually become standard operating procedure in many cities. Trzeciak also reports that the main cause of ED overcrowding is inadequate inpatient capacity. Thus, this capacity crisis is now an important public health issue. Furthermore, patients who
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**Table 1 Definitions of terms and variables examined**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total ED overcrowding</td>
<td>Overcrowding refers to the situation where ED function is impeded, primarily because the number of patients waiting to be seen, undergoing assessment and treatment, or waiting for departure exceeds the physical or staffing capacity of the ED. This includes patients in cubicles, corridors, and waiting rooms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access block</td>
<td>An admitted patient who spends more than 8 hours in the ED.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission</td>
<td>A patient who underwent the formal electronic hospital admission process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low acuity patient</td>
<td>A patient with a problem who, if seen by a general practitioner, would not have presented to an ED.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED capacity</td>
<td>The number of ED treatment areas, excluding observation wards and corridor spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access block ED occupancy</td>
<td>Proportion of available cubicle hours per month occupied by access blocked patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasian triage score</td>
<td>The ATS is a 1–5 urgency rating given to all patients presenting to the ED. The Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) defines recommended waiting times for each category. ATS 1 patients have life threatening critical illness that should be seen by a doctor immediately, whereas ATS 5 patients are non-urgent patients who can wait up to 2 hours for medical attention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients seen within threshold</td>
<td>The percentage of patients who were seen within their recommended time as defined by the ACEM. This is a good measure of ED performance; a poorly performing ED will be unable to see patients in a timely manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED performance</td>
<td>The performance of an ED is regarded to be how many patients were seen within recommended waiting time. A department is high performing if it sees most of its patients within recommended times, while a poorly performing department has prolonged waiting times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diversion time</td>
<td>The total number of minutes the hospitals were on ambulance diversion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple diversion requests</td>
<td>The number of times all three central hospitals requested ambulance diversion at the same time. When this occurs, all diversion requests are cancelled, and ambulances are directed to the nearest hospital or at the discretion of the ambulance shift coordinator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature</td>
<td>Average monthly sea surface temperature adjacent to Perth as reported by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATS, Australasian Triage Scale.

---

**Figure 1** Total ambulance diversion time and triple diversion requests.
experience access block have a longer length of inpatient stay, thus exacerbating the problem, and this impairs access to emergency care.

A frequent response to this issue in the media is that it is the low acuity patients who are the cause of overcrowding. However, we have found that these “walk-in” patients do not cause overcrowding or ambulance diversion. This is also consistent with the international literature. One cubicle blocked by an admitted patient for 8 hours impairs the assessment of 24 low acuity patients (assuming 20 minute assessment times). Removing this admitted patient would improve patient flow within the ED. It is also noteworthy that we found a predictable seasonal increase in the admitted patient burden for hospitals, which should be considered in health planning policy.

It is interesting to note that compliance with waiting times was improving in 2001 against a stable background of access blocked patients, suggesting that EDs were striving to improve performance. However, in 2002 these efficiencies were lost because of the overwhelming effects of access block.

To improve ED performance and decrease ambulance diversion, steps need to be taken to improve patient flow and thereby reduce access block. Possible methods to achieve this include:

- Increasing the availability of acute care beds
- Better home care, and urgent nursing home placement of the elderly
- Better organised and active discharge planning
- Speedier assessments in the ED, for example, improved turnaround times for laboratories, radiology, and consultants
- Speedier transfer to wards if admitted
- Better management of chronic disease in the community to avoid ED attendance
- Prevention of illness leading to ED attendance.

Prevention strategies are frequently forgotten, such as fall prevention in the elderly. The elderly tend to be complex patients who are potentially long stay inpatients. Conversely, decreasing low acuity patient attendances will have minimal impact on decreasing ambulance diversion and ED overcrowding.

Other solutions include improvements to hospital flow of rehabilitation patients. For example, reducing the length of stay of one rehabilitation patient from 30 days to 15 days creates as many bed days as reducing the length of stay of 30 acute patients by half a day.

There are limitations to our study. We used data summed for whole months, whereas there is variability in the severity of ED overcrowding hour to hour. Other factors may have influenced ambulance diversion, such as the availability of staff in the ED; however, at least one study has shown this to be not significant. In addition, we have identified important overall trends, which could assist with strategies for a whole system approach.

A second possible limitation is that the emergency physicians at each site used different criteria for ambulance diversion. It is possible that their approach may not have been consistent over the study period. However, the staffing was stable, and a large number of episodes of ambulance diversion were studied, hence, we believe that any effect of this was minimal.

Another limitation is that we did not include the impact of the outer metropolitan EDs. However, they represent only 18% of total ambulance presentations and have a different casemix to the major teaching hospitals we studied. In

![Figure 2: Relationship between access blocked patient occupancy and ambulance diversion.](https://www.emjonline.com)

![Figure 3: Correlation between access block cubicle occupancy and compliance with waiting time thresholds. ATS 4 patients comprise approximately 40% of total patient attendances. They are categorised as semi-urgent and should be seen by a doctor within 1 hour. Generally, they are ambulant and many are able to wait in waiting rooms. Their admission rate is 20–30% and their waiting times are greatly affected by lack of ED cubicle availability. Ab cub occupancy, access blocked cubicle occupancy.](https://www.emjonline.com)
addition, this paper focuses on overcrowding, but other strategies may be useful to address waiting times.

In conclusion, we found that in Perth, reducing access block should be the highest priority in allocating resources to reduce ED overcrowding. This would result in reduced ambulance diversion and improved ED performance. Improving hospital inpatient flow is most likely to achieve this. These results are consistent with other studies.

Authors’ affiliations
D M Fatovich, University of Western Australia, Australia
Y Nagree, Armadale Health Service, Fremantle Hospital, Australia
P Sprivulis, Acute Demand Management Unit, State Health Emergency Services, Department of Health, Western Australia, Australia

Competing interests: none declared

REFERENCES
14 Emergency Care Research Group at University of Warwick. Reducing attendance and waits in A&E departments: A review and survey of present innovations. www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/med/healthcom/emergencycare/research/waits/.
PostScript

A load of hot air

Despite the widely held belief that air powered weapons are "toys" there are numerous reports in the popular press and medical literature that their use is associated with significant risk of injury. This is highlighted by the case of a 16 year old female who presented to the emergency department following being shot in the neck by an air powered rifle. She displayed no signs of upper airway obstruction and was haemodynamically stable but complained of increasing tightness around her neck. Examination revealed extensive surgical emphysema and a 5 mm entry wound overlying her cricoid cartilage that "whistled" on respiration; there was no exit wound. x Ray revealed a metallic foreign body at the level of C6 in the prevertebral soft tissue.

Urgent ear, nose, and throat (ENT), and anaesthetic opinion were sought and the airway was secured following rapid sequence induction. The patient was then transferred to the intensive care unit (ITU). Panendoscopy on day 3 found the cords to be normal with granulation over the entry wound. The patient was extubated and discharged on day 5 with ENT follow up.

There may be as many as four million air powered weapons in the UK and there is use certain to impact on emergency health services—for example, the number of criminal offences involving air weapons is increasing from 7568 in 1995 to 10 103 in 2000, which accounted for 60% of all firearm offences in 2000. There is a corresponding increase in number of associated injuries (1410 in 1995 v 1977 in 2000) and in fact 20% of air weapon offences cause injury.1

Severity of injury depends on the site of the wound, shape of missile, degree of fragmentation, and the extent of cavitation. This in turn is proportional to surface area of impact, tissue density, and the velocity of the missile. Air powered weapons are capable of producing muzzle velocities of 900 ft/sec, which is comparable to many handguns. Wounding is due to explosive propulsion of the missile) (hunting pellets, "dieseling" (oiling the barrel and causing muzzle velocities of 900 ft/sec, which is comparable to many hand guns. Wounding capability may be increased by the use of hunting pellets, "dieseling" (oiling the barrel to cause explosive propulsion of the missile), and "piggybacking" (firing two pellets together). Velocities required to penetrate tissues vary but are well within the limits of air powered weapons—for example, skin at 245 ft/sec, bone at 350 ft/sec, and ocular penetration at only 130 ft/sec.2 The risk of injury is well supported by numerous reports of ocular, cranial, vascular, thoracic, and abdominal injuries, some of which are associated with fatalities. Therefore air weapon injuries should be treated as low velocity gun shot wounds depending on the site of injury and any subsequent migration of the missile following appropriate resuscitation.3

In light of recent high profile firearm incidents gun laws are set to tighten, including measures to limit the use of air weapons. Despite this it is important that health workers in the emergency setting are aware of the risks posed by the use of air powered weapons.
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Unusual complication of an organophosphate poisoning

A 30 year old female patient presented to the emergency room (ER) approximately 5 h after ingestion of an insecticide. She displayed no signs of upper airway obstruction and was haemodynamically stable but complained of increasing tightness around her neck. She recovered completely following amantadine treatment.1

In the 81 year old woman described by Arima et al (2003), the diagnosis of parkinsonism was made on day 9 but the extrapyramidal manifestations were noticed on day 6.2 This patient too suffered a severe acute cholinergic syndrome, which required treatment with large doses of atropine and 2-pyridine aldoxime methiodide. This patient responded well to biperidene (5 mg/day) and recovery was complete in 8 days.3

This case report reiterates the likelihood of symptoms and signs of parkinsonism developing following organophosphate poisoning. In our patient, the signs were noted following a severe acute cholinergic phase but prior to the development of the intermediate syndrome and recovery was complete when mechanical ventilation was stopped on day 24. It is necessary to observe patients for parkinsonian signs, particularly following recovery from a severe cholinergic phase.

A J Tafur, I Gonzalez, L A Idrado, A Tafur
Hospital Luis Vernaza, Guayaquil, Ecuador; altosno_tafurat@hotmail.com
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Fit for the road?

We read with interest the study by Frampton of emergency physicians’ knowledge of DVLA guidelines.4 Following a national questionnaire survey of lead clinicians in UK Accident and Emergency departments in 2003 (41% return), we found results consistent with those in Frampton’s study: correct driving advice was given in 97% post seizure, 75% post transient ischaemic attack (TIA), and 65% post unstable supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) in our study.

We also looked at musculoskeletal injury, for which no national guidance is available,5 and found there is little consistency in advice

www.emjonline.com

A Hudson
Anaesthetic Department, Princess of Wales Hospital, Bridgend, CF31 1RQ, UK; anthonyhudson@doctors.org.uk
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Symptoms and signs of substance misuse


This is a very handy booklet, intended to provide concise and readily accessible facts about symptoms and signs of commonly used drugs. As mentioned in the introduction, its targeted audience is not the experienced A&E doctor or nurse, but healthcare professionals working in other environments, as well as public sector workers who often come into contact with persons under the influence of drugs. The booklet is also of particular use for partners, parents, or friends of people suspected of taking drugs.

The sections of the booklet are concise and helpful in establishing sequentially the various important aspects to consider in substance misuse. It starts with methods of administration and aspects of harm minimisation and risk reduction, and describes the various legal statutes and requirements. It gives an accurate table of the medical and health complications of substance misuse. One serious potential complication that can lead to death is not mentioned—that is, rhabdomyolysis, where an opiate user falls asleep after an overdose and remains unconscious in the same position, leading to muscle necrosis and potential death. The section on substance detection would be useful for enforcement agencies in monitoring drug profiles for potential prosecutions or healthcare professionals in monitoring detoxification programmes.

The booklet then goes on systematically to describe individual drug groups. The sections on management of some of the drugs are scant, but as mentioned above, this booklet is not targeted at medical doctors working daily in this field. The section on barbiturates does not cover the treatment of the acute intoxication.

The sections at the back of the booklet describing the Glasgow Coma Scale is helpful, but it would be more valuable if the authors had included the scores at which concern should be raised and the individual user is at risk.

C Perez Avila
R Hardern

Medicine in remote areas

Course run by ex-med UK Ltd; http://www.ex-med.co.uk.

I enjoy my creature comforts at least as much as the next man (or woman). Why, then, had I ended up in the woods in the cold and dark, lugging someone on a stretcher (that we had to make ourselves) over ditches and between trees? Two reasons: firstly, I wondered if I might learn something on this course that would be useful if called out as part of a mobile medical team at a major incident, and secondly, I enjoy walking and felt I should have some preparation in case I came across someone injured on the hills.

Did I regret going? Only when I saw the state of the local nightclubs. I have previously been told that the single most important thing for effective teaching is to have credible teachers. On this criterion, the instructors on this course could not score any more highly. They have treated casualties in some of the most inhospitable environments there are; their knowledge is not derived from reading but has been gained the hard way. They are also good at teaching and at maintaining the balance between having fun and learning.

There is some didactic teaching (in specially refurbished accommodation) but I found the most useful sessions were the practical ones. Although I have previously (successfully) taken two different prehospital trauma courses, I learned a lot on this course. This was not just useful for work as part of a mobile medical team or for prehospital work; I learned things I have since used in the A&E department (and when I have shown others, they really have said “wow!”).

I would recommend this course to anyone working in A&E provided they do not mind the cold or the rain too much. For people who have an interest in expedition medicine or in outdoors pursuits (and those who cannot find a good excuse for getting out of being part of a mobile medical team) this is a first rate course. If you go on this course I can confidently tell you that you will return with extra skills and knowledge and have fun acquiring them.

COURSE REVIEW

BOOK REVIEW

CORRECTION

do: 10.1136/emj.2004.18002corr1

In the paper titled Access block causes emergency department overcrowding and ambulance diversion in Perth, Western Australia (Emerg Med J 2005;22:351-354) an error has been spotted on page 353. In table 2 the 95% CI under ED occupancy, for sea surface temperature should read: -0.79 to -0.19. The journal apologises for this error.