Continuous end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring for confirmation of endotracheal tube placement is neither widely available nor consistently applied by emergency physicians
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Endotracheal intubation is “a potential minefield for disaster”. Errors in its performance can be associated with high morbidity and mortality for the patient, and legal liability for the practitioner. Many methods exist for the verification of successful tube placement in the trachea, including watching the tube pass through the cords, pulse oximetry monitoring, and listening for breath sounds. However, none of these are theoretically as accurate as end-tidal carbon dioxide (CO₂) monitoring in the non-arrest patient, and to assess its use in academic and non-academic emergency departments.

Objectives: To determine the availability of end-tidal CO₂ measurement in confirmation of endotracheal tube placement in the non-arrest patient, and to assess its use in academic and non-academic emergency departments.

Methods: Emergency physicians in the USA were surveyed by mail in the beginning of the year 2000 regarding availability at their institution of both colorimetric/qualitative and quantitative end-tidal CO₂ capnography, frequency of use in their own practice, and descriptor of their hospital (academic, community teaching, and community non-teaching). Additionally, data were obtained from the National Emergency Airway Registry 97 series (NEAR) about how many intubations used this method of confirmation. NEAR site coordinators were surveyed as well.

Results: Of 1000 surveys, 550 were returned (55%). Colorimetric technology existed in 77% of respondents’ hospitals (n = 421); 25% of respondents (n = 138) had continuous monitoring capability. Physicians practising at academic hospitals were more likely to have continuous monitoring (36%; n = 196) than community teaching institutions (32%; n = 173) and non-teaching centres (18%; n = 100) (p < 0.001). Among physicians who had this technology available, only 14% (n = 19) “always” used it in non-arrest intubations; 57% “rarely” or “never” employed it (n = 75). Among NEAR centres (institutions committed to monitoring current airway practices) only 12% of 6009 (n = 716) intubations used continuous end-tidal CO₂ measurement. Of these practitioners, only 40% “always” used it (n = 6/15) [83% response rate (n = 29/35)].

Conclusions: Despite recommendations from national organisations that endorse continuous monitoring of end-tidal CO₂ for confirming endotracheal tube placement, it is neither widely available nor consistently applied.

Survey content and administration
A single mailing was carried out via US Mail. No incentives were offered for responding, though we provided a self-addressed stamped envelope for returning the completed survey. Participants were asked voluntarily for their help. Questions asked included whether the respondent’s primary hospital had colorimetric and/or quantitative end-tidal CO₂ measurement available, how often the physician used each of these modalities in confirming non-arrest intubations (always, often, rarely, never), and the type of hospital (academic, community teaching, or community non-teaching) at which the respondent practised. A multiple-choice format was used, and the name of the respondent’s hospital was elicited, though in a separate area of the questionnaire. Respondents were notified that this information would be removed before data analysis. The author’s email address was provided. See box 1 for the complete survey instrument.

Data analysis
Percentages were calculated for answers to questions 1, 2, 4, 5, and 8. Multivariate analysis was performed regarding the relation between type of hospital (question 8) and answers to
Box 1: Survey instrument

1. Is colorimetric ETCO2 measurement (e.g. Easy-Cap) available at your department?
   - Yes
   - No

2. If so, how often do you use this method to confirm ETT placement in non-arrest intubations?
   - Always
   - Often
   - Rarely
   - Never

3. If you have this modality available but choose not to always use it, why not? (May choose more than one)
   - Feel other methods (e.g. direct visualization, auscultation of breath sounds) are more effective
   - Cost
   - Difficulty of use
   - Use continuous monitoring instead
   - Other

4. Is continuous, quantitative ETCO2 monitoring available in your department?
   - Yes
   - No

5. If so, how often do you use this method to confirm ETT placement in non-arrest intubations?
   - Always
   - Often
   - Rarely
   - Never

6. If you have this modality available but choose not to always use it, why not?
   - Feel other methods (e.g. direct visualization, auscultation of breath sounds) are more effective
   - Cost
   - Difficulty of set-up
   - Other

7. If you have both colorimetric AND continuous monitoring available, describe how you use these.
   - Only use colorimetric method
   - Only use continuous method
   - First confirm with color change, then hook up quantitative monitor
   - Nurse already has continuous monitor set up so colorimetric version unnecessary
   - Other
   - Not applicable

8. Please select the best descriptor of your hospital.
   - Academic
   - Community teaching hospital
   - Community non-teaching hospital

ETCO2, end-tidal carbon dioxide; ETT, endotracheal tube

questions 1, 2, 4, and 5 (those assessing availability and use of modalities). Questions 3, 6, and 7 were excluded from the data analysis due to lack of usable data.

METHODS: PART II
Design/survey population, content, and administration

In part II of the study, the National Emergency Airway Registry (NEAR 97) was examined. This is a databank of (at the time) 35 hospitals (box 2) which provided information on all their intubations. Data were collected on how many intubations used either qualitative or quantitative end-tidal CO2 to confirm tube placement in non-arrest patients. This information was obtained by correspondence with the registry coordinators. Next, site coordinators of the 35 hospitals were mailed the survey from part I of the study. The NEAR study was approved by the institutional review board of each participating hospital.

Data analysis
Surveys in this part of the study were analysed as in part I.

RESULTS

In part I of the study, 550 of 1000 usable surveys were returned (55%). Ultimately, all surveys were analysed regardless of whether or not multiple physicians from a hospital responded. A total of 77% physicians reported that colorimetric/qualitative technology exists at their hospitals (n = 421). However, continuous monitoring was available at only 25% (n = 138) of respondents’ sites.

Regarding differences between types of hospital, academic practitioners were more likely to have continuous monitoring available (36%; n = 196) than either community teaching physicians (32%; n = 173) or non-teaching physicians (18%; n = 100) (p<0.001). Among the physicians who had this technology in their emergency departments (n = 138), 14% “always” used it in non-arrest settings (n = 19), whereas 57% “rarely” or “never” employed it (n = 75). The availability of qualitative technology varied by respondents’ hospital type, with 89% of academic hospitals, 83% of community teaching hospitals, and 70% of non-teaching hospitals having this capability.

Part II of the study provided endotracheal tube placement confirmation data in 6009 of the registry’s 6695 non-arrest intubations. Of these, 12% (n = 716) used quantitative end-tidal CO2 assessment, and 60% (n = 3608) were confirmed with qualitative methods. Thus, at least 28% of intubations used neither type of device.

Of 35 NEAR site coordinators, 29 responded to the survey (83%). In 52% (n = 15) of NEAR hospitals, continuous end-tidal CO2 monitoring was available; 86% of site coordinator respondents (n = 25) did practise at a site where colorimetric technology was available. Continuous detection was used “rarely” or “never” by 47% (n = 7) of responders from centres with this modality; 40% “always” used it (n = 6). Continuous monitoring was available in 4/6 NEAR community teaching hospitals (67%), 11/20 academic hospitals (55%), and 1/3 community non-teaching hospitals (p = not significant).

DISCUSSION

Multiple position statements have recently endorsed or mandated the use of end-tidal CO2 detectors in the confirmation of endotracheal tube placement. In March 2001, the Emergency Medicine Journal issued this declaration: Independent confirmation of correct tube placement by the use of devices that detect end-tidal CO2 is mandatory for every endotracheal intubation performed in the emergency department and as part of the assessment of all patients who arrive at the emergency department already intubated.
Box 2 Participating National Emergency Airway Registry 1997 hospitals

- Arizona Heart Hospital, Phoenix, AZ
- Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA
- Children’s Hospital Boston, Boston, MA
- Christiana Care Health Systems, Newark, DE
- Case Western Reserve University/Metrohealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH
- Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH
- Erie County Medical Center, Buffalo, NY
- George Washington University, Washington, DC
- Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC
- Hamilton Health Sciences Corporation, Hamilton, ON
- Highland General Hospital, Oakland, CA
- Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital, Camden, NJ
- Maricopa Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ
- Mount Auburn Hospital, Cambridge, MA
- National University Hospital, Singapore
- New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY
- Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, OR
- Singapore General Hospital, Singapore
- St. Francis Hospital and Medical Center, Hartford, CT
- St. Luke’s-Roosevelt Hospital Center, New York, NY
- St. Paul’s Hospital, Vancouver, BC
- Stanford University, Stanford, CA
- Sterling Health Group/Emory Peachtree, Atlanta, GA
- The Cambridge hospital, Cambridge, MA
- University of California Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, CA
- University of California at San Diego Medical Center, San Diego, CA
- University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ
- University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH
- University of Florida Health Science Center, Jacksonville, FL
- University of Louisville, Louisville, KY
- University of North Carolina Hospitals, Chapel Hill, NC
- Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

In October 2001, the ACEP published the following recommendations:

During intubation, direct visualization of the endotracheal tube passing through the vocal cords into the trachea constitutes firm evidence of correct tube placement, but should be verified with additional techniques.

End-tidal CO₂ detection, either qualitative, quantitative, or continuous, is the most accurate and easily available method to monitor correct endotracheal tube position in patients who have adequate tissue perfusion.

The National Association of EMS Physicians (NAEMSP) echoes this sentiment in its position statement:

In the patient with a perfusing rhythm, end-tidal CO₂ detection is the best method for verification.

End-tidal CO₂ detection has also appeared in the 2002 American Heart Association (AHA) protocols for Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS):

Expired CO₂ detectors are very reliable in patients with perfusing rhythms and are recommended to confirm tube position in these patients (Class IIa).

Finally, the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland and the American Society for Anesthesiologists (ASA) embrace the use of end-tidal CO₂ detection in their guidelines:

[Capnography is] essential to the safe conduct of anaesthesia.

Continual monitoring for the presence of expired carbon dioxide shall be performed unless invalidated by the nature of the patient, procedure or equipment.

The present study found that even in the light of strong position statements supporting the use of end-tidal CO₂ monitoring in the confirmation of intubations by many professional societies in emergency medicine, anaesthesia, and prehospital care, emergency departments are not universally endorsing this modality. The vast majority of physicians did not have quantitative monitoring at their disposal at the time of the study. Though many more do have the use of qualitative methods such as colorimetric devices, even this number is not 100%. The type of hospital influences the likelihood of available technology. Even the NEAR sites, ostensibly centres committed to monitoring and researching airway practices, report similarly low rates of availability and use of this modality.

More disheartening is the fact that physicians have not embraced this practice even if it is available in their practice settings. Though not officially analysed in the study results because of a lack of valid responses, the survey instrument did include a space for respondents to write in reasons they might have felt such monitoring was not necessary. Reasons cited for this included the belief that other methods, such as colorimetric monitoring, pulse oximetry, visualising tube condensation, or chest radiography were just as reliable, and a perceived difficulty of set-up. Unfortunately, literature does not support the adequacy of alternative methods. In the presence of a continuous waveform, quantitative assessment of end-tidal CO₂ should be the gold standard for intubation confirmation. Pulse oximetry may remain in the normal range for up to five minutes after the cessation of lung ventilation. Colorimetric or qualitative assays have reported sensitivities of as low as 72% in one prospective study of 106 patients for detecting esophageal intubation. Another study found a sensitivity of 98% but a specificity of only 78%. A recent Best Evidence Topic Report in this journal concluded that the colourimetric CO₂ detector is as accurate as IR capnography at detecting tracheal intubation, but is potentially less accurate at detecting esophageal intubation.

End-tidal CO₂ detection devices have a reported accuracy of as low as 50%. Clearly, these alternative methods are not enough, though the study respondents may not be aware of these numbers.

Limitations
This study does have limitations that open the way for future research in this area. The response rate of 55%, although good
for a survey, does leave the practices of almost one half of the target population unknowable. Data from the study population of ACEP members may not be generalisable to emergency physicians as a whole, especially those in other countries. The nature of a survey study makes the possibility of reporting bias inevitable. Finally, capnography is a new, rapidly expanding technology that may be more available today than at the time of the study. Still, it would seem that those who responded to the survey would be over-representative of physicians who use this, suggesting that real life numbers would actually be even lower.

CONCLUSIONS
This study shows that despite strong calls for the practice of confirming endotracheal tube placement with end-tidal CO2 measurement, our specialty’s practitioners are not in compliance. Physicians and operational managers in emergency departments need to be further educated so that this technology becomes more widely available and more consistently used.
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