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Objective: To investigate whether 10% dextrose given in 5 g (50 ml) aliquots is more effective than 50% dextrose given in 5 g (10 ml) aliquots in the treatment of out of hospital hypoglycaemia.

Design: Randomised controlled trial.

Setting: Out of hospital patients attended by paramedics from a large UK ambulance service.

Participants: 51 unresponsive adult patients with blood glucose levels < 4 mmol/l.

Intervention: 5 g (50 ml) intravenous aliquots of 10% dextrose or 5 g (10 ml) intravenous aliquots of 50% dextrose to a maximum dose of 25 g.

Main outcome measures: To compare for each dextrose concentration the time to achieve a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of 15, and the dose required to obtain a blood glucose level of ≥4.5 mmol/l.

Results: There were no statistically significant differences between the groups with regard to age or sex, median pretreatment GCS, pretreatment blood glucose level, or proportion of patients with insulin dependent diabetes. Following treatment, there were no statistically significant differences in median time to recovery (8 minutes), median post-treatment GCS, or number of subjects experiencing a further hypoglycaemic episode within 24 hours (four per group). The median total dose of dextrose administered was significantly less with the 10% concentration (10% = 10 g, 50% = 25 g, \( p < 0.001 \)) and median post-treatment blood sugar levels were also significantly lower (10% = 6.2 mmol/l and 50% = 9.4 mmol/l, \( p = 0.003 \)). There were no reports of extravasation injuries in either group.

Conclusions: Dextrose 10% delivered in 5 g (50 ml) aliquots is administered in smaller doses than dextrose 50% delivered in 5 g/10 ml aliquots, resulting in lower post-treatment blood glucose levels. We therefore recommend it as the intravenous treatment of choice for adult hypoglycaemia.

Before 1999, 50% dextrose and glucagon were the principal treatments available to UK emergency ambulance personnel caring for unconscious or unresponsive hypoglycaemic patients. Dextrose 50% is a hypertonic solution of glucose available in prefilled syringes containing 25 g glucose in 50 ml water (IMS mini-jet, International Medication Systems (UK), Leatherhead, Surrey, England). In south-east Wales, at the time of this study, it was administered intravenously in 5–10 g (10–20 ml) increments, titrated against effect after confirming hypoglycaemia by capillary or venous blood sugar level.

High concentrations of glucose can cause cerebral oedema and death in children. In 1999, a revised UK paramedic training syllabus and manual were introduced and in 2000, the Joint Royal Colleges Ambulance Liaison Committee (JRCALC) published its prehospital treatment guidelines. Both recommended 10% dextrose for the reversal of hypoglycaemia in children and pregnant women. Subsequently, 10% dextrose became available in most UK ambulances and its use was recommended for the reversal of all hypoglycaemic episodes.

A literature search retrieved a number of papers evaluating the use of 50% dextrose and glucagon. However, no research was found that evaluated 10% dextrose for the treatment of hypoglycaemia, although one paper reported that, as with any hypertonic solution, extravasation injury is possible.

This randomised controlled trial aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of 5 g aliquots of 10% and 50% dextrose in the out of hospital treatment of adult hypoglycaemic patients.

METHODS

Participants

We included hypoglycaemic patients aged 18 years or over from south-east Wales (UK), whose level of consciousness and ability to cooperate did not allow administration of oral carbohydrates, whose blood sugar was < 4 mmol/l, and in whom intravenous access had been gained in three or fewer attempts. We excluded conscious, cooperative patients, who were able to take oral carbohydrate or who were administered dextrose, glucagon, or Hypostop (Bio-diagnostics Ltd, Upton-on-Severn, Worcestershire, UK) before the arrival of the paramedics.

Interventions

To be consistent with the existing South-East Wales paramedic protocol for 50% dextrose, we randomised patients to receive either 10% or 50% dextrose in 5 g increments. This was considered appropriate as it allowed us to compare equivalent doses of the two solutions. To ensure that the dose of 10% dextrose was measured accurately, the paramedics used a syringe attached to a three-way tap to draw up 50 ml aliquots from a 500 ml infusion bag attached to a giving set. The 10 ml aliquots administered to the 50% dextrose group were measured using 5 ml calibration markings on the prefilled syringes. The paramedics were instructed to administer the 5 g bolus and wait for one minute before administering subsequent aliquots, until either the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score had returned to 15 or the maximum cumulative dose of 25 g of dextrose had been administered. Time taken to regain GCS 15 was calculated from the time the first incremental dose was administered.
Outcomes

Our key outcome measure for this study was intergroup comparison of the time taken to regain a GCS score of 15 following the administration of two concentrations of dextrose. Secondary outcome measures included post-treatment blood glucose levels, the total dose of dextrose given, and the time required to achieve a capillary blood sugar level of >4.5 mmol/l, measured using Roche Accu-Check “Advantage” blood glucose meters and test strips (Roche Diagnostics Ltd, Bell Lane, Lewes, East Sussex, UK). The paramedics were asked to record incidences of extravasation and to score the convenience of administering each concentration of dextrose using a Likert scale. The researchers followed up all patients to determine whether there had been a recurrent episode of hypoglycaemia within 24 hours of treatment.

Consent

Due to the confused or unconscious state of hypoglycaemic patients it was not possible to obtain informed consent from the participants prior to recruitment into the trial. Instead, when they were recovered and oriented after treatment, the paramedics informed them that they had been recruited for a study that was comparing the efficacy of two different concentrations of dextrose. Each participant was given an information pack describing the trial with a form and preaddressed envelope so that participants could withdraw their data from the study at any time. Ethical approval was obtained from the Bro Taf Health Authority Local Research Ethics Committee.

Sample size

Following a pilot study, it was established that a total sample size of 50 was required (25 subjects per group) to detect a three minute difference between the groups in a return to full consciousness (GCS 15), with power of 0.85 and α of 0.05.

Randomisation

A total of 240 study forms (120 per group) identifying the concentration of dextrose to be administered were pre-randomised for order using SPSS (version 10.0.5) and assigned a unique sequential number. Each form was put in an opaque envelope and packed in consecutively numbered groups of 10, which were then placed in participating ambulance service vehicles. Following confirmation that a subject met the inclusion criteria, paramedics responsible for their care opened the lowest numbered envelope remaining in the vehicle’s pack and administered the dextrose concentration detailed on the study form inside. The randomisation sequence was concealed and held by one of the chief investigators until recruitment was completed.

Blinding

Due to the differences in appearance of the two formulations of dextrose it was not possible to blind the paramedics to the concentration of dextrose given.
Statistical methods
We used SPSS to conduct the Mann–Whitney U test for intergroup comparisons of age, pretreatment and post-treatment GCS and blood sugar, total dose of dextrose administered, time to recovery, time on scene, and ease of administration. StatsDirect (version 2.2.78, CamCode, Ashwell, UK) was used to calculate p values and 95% confidence intervals for intergroup differences in proportions with regard to sex, insulin dependent diabetes, and post-treatment recurrent hypoglycaemia.

RESULTS
Demographics and recruitment
We collected the data for this study from 28 October 2002 to 25 October 2003. See fig 1 for details of patient recruitment. A total of four randomisation envelopes were opened out of sequence. In two cases this did not alter the dextrose concentration that should have been administered had the correct envelope been selected. No evidence of a deliberate attempt to break the randomisation sequence was identified. We analysed the data on an intention to treat basis. We are aware of one protocol violation. One of the participants in the 10% group received a total dose of 30 g rather than 25 g. This was a result of a paramedic failing to use a three-way tap and setting up a free running drip instead.

There were no significant differences between the groups in age or sex characteristics or the number of patients with insulin dependent diabetes. Pretreatment GCS and blood glucose levels were also similar in both groups (table 1).

Outcome data
We analysed the data on an intention to treat basis to recovery of normal consciousness. There were no significant differences between the groups in time to recovery to maximum consciousness (GCS of 15), median post-treatment GCS, or the proportion of participants experiencing a further maximum consciousness (GCS of 15), median post-treatment GCS of 15). There were no significant differences between the groups in time to recovery to maximum consciousness (GCS of 15), median post-treatment GCS of 15) or the proportion of patients with insulin dependent diabetes. Pretreatment GCS and blood glucose levels were also similar in both groups (table 1).

DISCUSSION
We did not find any difference in the efficacy of treatment between the two groups in the present study. However, despite both cohorts having similar GCS and blood sugar levels before treatment, subjects in the 50% group received a median of 15 g more glucose than those in the 10% group, and subsequently had post-treatment blood glucose levels that were a median of 3.2 mmol/l higher. Patients...
administered 50% dextrose were more likely to have received the maximum dose of 25 g than those given the 10% concentration. The reasons for this are unclear, but may be related to the presentation of the 50% solution or to usual practice before the trial. It is also possible that the pharmacokinetics of the two solutions are different, and that 50% dextrose delivered in 5 g (10 ml) boluses has a slower onset of action, encouraging the administration of additional increments before initial aliquots have taken effect.

Two of the subjects contacted by the researchers after treatment reported that before the study they had often had difficulty bringing their blood glucose back to their expected usual level after being treated by paramedics using 50% dextrose. This might imply that the lower cumulative doses administered with the 5 g (50 ml) aliquots of 10% dextrose could assist patients in controlling their post-treatment blood sugar levels. Evidence suggests that administration of dextrose can have a detrimental effect on patients at risk of cerebral ischaemia, such as victims of stroke, cardiac arrest, or head trauma. Avoidance of hyperglycaemia has a neuroprotective effect and reduces mortality and morbidity in the critically ill. The relatively lower post-treatment blood sugar levels associated with the use of 10% dextrose administered in 5 g (50 ml) aliquots may, therefore, offer a safer option for the treatment of hypoglycaemia in these categories of patient.

Limitations of the study

Follow up data were not available for three patients in the 10% group and four in the 50% group, but these missing data would not have significantly changed this study’s primary finding. The total number of patients who presented with hypoglycaemia in the study area during the trial period was not available. Although this did not influence the randomisation process, it is possible that the outcome of those patients not recruited for the trial could have altered its results had they been included.

CONCLUSIONS

Dextrose 10% administered in 5 g (50 ml) aliquots was found to be as effective and safe as 50% dextrose delivered in 5 g (10 ml) aliquots. Patients in the 10% dextrose group received a median of 15 g less glucose than those in the 50% group, were less likely to receive the maximum permitted dose, and consequently had post-treatment blood glucose levels that were 3.2 mmol/l lower on average. We therefore recommend 10% dextrose administered in 5 g (50 ml) aliquots as the first choice intravenous therapy for the treatment of hypoglycaemia in adults.
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