Core–peripheral temperature gradient as a diagnostic test in dyspnoea
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A patient presenting to an emergency department (ED) with dyspnoea is a common problem that requires rapid diagnosis and treatment, but may present a diagnostic dilemma. Dyspnoea may be the manifestation of a wide range of pathological conditions, most commonly lung or heart disease, that share similar risk factors and that often coexist. It may be difficult to obtain a history from a severely breathless patient, thus useful information such as other symptoms, previous episodes, and drug therapy may be unobtainable or missed. The breathless patient may have clinical features that do not easily differentiate the key causes.

The severity of illness is often such that treatment cannot be delayed pending the results of chest radiography or other investigations. This may result in treatment with any combination of bronchodilators, steroids, nitrates, diuretics, or antibiotics prior to a definitive diagnosis being made. This polypharmacy has the potential for adverse effects and adds to the cost of patient care.

Since the 1960s the measurement of changes in skin temperature and, more latterly, the core–peripheral temperature gradient, has been advocated as an indirect, non-invasive method of monitoring the treatment of shock;\(^4\,^5\) it has been used in the postoperative care of cardiothoracic surgical patients;\(^6\,^7\) and in neonatal intensive care medicine;\(^8\) and it has been advocated as an indication of haemodynamic dysfunction during cardiac exercise testing.\(^9\,\,^10\)

Reduced peripheral perfusion is an early feature of symptomatic cardiac disease, as opposed to respiratory failure, in which it develops late in the pathological process. The aim of this study was to evaluate the ability of the core–peripheral temperature gradient in helping to distinguish between cardiac and respiratory causes of dyspnoea.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The ED of South Manchester University Hospital sees approximately 65 000 new patients yearly, with shortness of breath being the primary presenting condition in approximately 5% of adult patients. The hospital receives tertiary referrals for both cardiology and respiratory medicine.

Ethics committee approval was granted for the study. Patients fulfilling the following inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study: (a) a primary presenting complaint of dyspnoea; (b) age >40 years; (c) respiratory rate >20 breaths/min; (d) hypoxia. The tympanic temperature and the temperature of the nasal tip were recorded, and the patient’s discharge data and chest x-ray results checked. Where there was discordance, arbitration was carried out by another researcher.

Results: Four patients were excluded, hence the final study sample was 46 patients. There was a statistically significant difference between the mean temperature gradients of the two study populations (\(p<0.001\)). A gradient of \(<8^\circ\text{C}\) was able to rule in a cardiovascular cause (92% specificity) whereas one of \(<5^\circ\text{C}\) could rule it out (100% sensitivity).

Conclusion: The test is safe, non-invasive and inexpensive. Although there were some limitations to the study, the test can still be commended as a useful adjunct to the emergency assessment of the acutely breathless patient.
personnel blinded to the core–peripheral temperature gradient. In patients who subsequently died, the postmortem diagnosis was sought.

A list of temperature gradients for each diagnosis (cardiac or pulmonary) was constructed, and the mean, median, and range for each list was calculated; Student’s t test was performed to ascertain the significance of the difference between the two study populations. The ability of the temperature gradient to diagnose cardiovascular disease was assessed by calculating the specificities, sensitivities, and likelihood ratios of a range of different temperature gradients by constructing a series of 4×4 tables as previously described; a receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve was derived from these results.

RESULTS
In total, 50 patients were entered in the study. These patients were recruited as a convenience sample, although patients were enrolled during both day and night. Four patients were excluded from the analysis: two did not fulfil the inclusion criteria and two were lost to follow up (no record could be found of one patient, while the other was transferred to another hospital and their chest x ray could not be located), thus the final study sample was 46 patients.

Overall, 21 patients (46%) had a diagnosis of cardiovascular disease (including two with angiographically confirmed pulmonary embolism), the remaining 26 (54%) having respiratory pathology. Table 1 contains the demographic characteristics and summary details of the temperature gradients of the two groups. There was a statistically significant difference between the mean temperature gradients of the two study populations (p <0.001). The sensitivities, specificities, and likelihood ratios for a range of values of temperature gradient are shown in table 2 and the ROC curve in fig 1.

DISCUSSION
Measuring the skin temperature provides an indirect, non-invasive guide to adequacy of fluid13781 5 and even isotropic therapy.35 This study demonstrates that the temperature gradient has focussed on its clinical applications in shock. Studies have indicated that changes in peripheral temperature have prognostic2 5 6 8 12–14 and diagnostic4 11–14 value in hypovolaemic and cardiogenic shock, and can be used as a non-invasive guide to adequacy of fluid1 3 5 8 11 and even isotropic therapy.1 5 This study demonstrates that the patients with cardiovascular causes for their breathlessness have significantly different test results (p <0.001) from those with respiratory causes (table 2).

At ΔT ≥8°C to diagnose a cardiovascular cause for breathlessness, the specificity was 92% (positive likelihood ratio 7.74). Using this level as a criterion for cardiac causes of breathlessness allows targeted treatment to be commenced while the results of further tests, including chest radiographs, are awaited. In this study, 33% of patients could be considered the “rule in” group—that is, a cardiac cause could be diagnosed. Sensitivity was maintained at 100% with ΔT ≤5°C (negative likelihood ratio 0). Cardiac causes of breathlessness were ruled out by ΔT ≤5°C; this is likely to be useful clinically. In this study, 39% of patients fell into this group.

The test was applied to unselected patients attending the ED. Using a ΔT ≤5°C cut off to rule out a cardiac cause for the dyspnoea and a ΔT ≥8°C cut off to rule in, almost three quarters of these patients could have their emergency treatment limited to appropriate agents while a chest x ray was performed and other information obtained.

A potential weakness of the study is the position of the sites of temperature measurement, which were chosen for their ease of use. All of the clinicians who enrolled patients to the study were trained in the use of the thermometer, which should have minimised variations in tympanic readings. However, temperature of the nasal tip could be influenced by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of the study subpopulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female ratio</td>
<td>7:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range)</td>
<td>74 (46 to 90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (˚C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
<td>8.67 (5.1 to 12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.16 (7.75 to 9.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TG, temperature gradient; SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Sensitivities, specificities, and likelihood ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core peripheral TG (˚C)</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TG, temperature gradient; LR+, likelihood ratio for a positive result; LR−, likelihood ratio for a negative result.
What is already known on this topic

- The core–peripheral temperature gradient has been used as a non-invasive assessment of haemodynamic status.
- Clinical examination does not always readily distinguish between cardiac and respiratory causes of dyspnoea, and therefore appropriate treatment can be delayed.

What this paper adds

- Measurement of the difference between tympanic and nasal tip temperatures can be used to distinguish between cardiac and respiratory causes of dyspnoea.
- This study proposes a novel use for the measurement of the core–peripheral temperature gradient: a gradient of $>8^\circ C$ can be used to rule in a cardiovascular cause (92% specificity) whereas one of $<5^\circ C$ can rule it out (100% sensitivity).

The test is safe, non-invasive and inexpensive. We did not assess the effect on outcomes of applying this test result to directing patient treatment but the test can still be commended as a useful adjunct to the emergency assessment of the acutely breathless patient.
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