PostScript

LETTERS

If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in *EMJ*, why not make use of our "rapid response" option?

Log on to our web site (www.emjonline.com), find the paper that interests you, and send your response via email by clicking on the "eLetters" option in the box at the top right hand corner.

Providing it isn't libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on "Read eLetters" on our homepage.

The editors will decide as before whether to also publish it in a future print issue.

Dizziness and yield of emergency head CT scan: Is it cost effective?

Dizziness is a common cause of emergency room (ER) visits.¹ The yield of various diagnostic tests in patients with dizziness has been evaluated in outpatient or ambulatory care settings but no data are available regarding cost effectiveness of CT in these patients. We prospectively evaluated the diagnostic yield of head CT in the evaluation of dizziness presenting in an ER setting.

All consecutive patients with a chief complaint of acute dizziness or vertigo in the ER, who underwent head CT were enrolled in study (n = 344) at two hospitals (Millard Fillmore Gates Circle Hospital and Millard Fillmore Suburban Hospital, Buffalo, NY) from September 1998 to July 1999. Vertigo was defined as sense of self rotation or environmental spin while dizziness was defined as sensation of unsteadiness or imbalance without rotation. Patients were excluded for a history of head and neck trauma, headache, altered mental status, recent head and neck surgery, or a new onset focal neurological deficit (n = 144). A total of 200 patients were included in the study.

Of the 200 patients included in the study, 79 (40%) were men. Age range was 10–93 years (mean 68 years). CT showed normal findings (n = 105; 52%), diffuse brain atrophy (n = 27; 13%), non-specific periventricular white matter changes (n = 42; 21%), chronic large vessel distribution infarction (n = 21; 10%), chronic lacunar infarction (n = 31; 15%), chronic brain stem or cerebellar infarction (n = 8; 4%), chronic subdural fluid collections (n = 6; 3%), chronic post operative changes (n = 5; 3%), chronic watershed infarction (n = 1) and the presence of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt (n = 1). No

acute abnormalities including infarction, hemorrhage, hydrocephalus, mass effect, or midline shift were identified. No scan showed a lesion that could explain patient's symptoms of dizziness or vertigo. The average reimbursement for a non-contrast CT scan of the head was \$300 during this time; thus the expense for the total cost was approximately \$60,000 and the diagnostic yield for the evaluation of dizziness was zero.

Up to 30% of people over the age of 65 complain of dizziness.² It is a common cause of ER visits in the USA. Studies have shown that the majority of these patients have peripheral vestibular dysfunction.³ We conclude that incidence of acute intracranial lesions on head CT scan is extremely low in patients with dizziness with a normal neurological examination and no other significant neurological symptoms. The diagnostic yield of emergency head CT is low among these patients and does not appear to be cost effective.

Acknowledgements

This study was presented in preliminary form at the American College of Emergency Physician's research forum, Las Vegas, NV in October 1999. This study was supported in part by research grants to R Bakshi from the National Institutes of Health (NIH-NINDS 1 K23 NS42379-01) and National Science Foundation (DBI-0234895).

M Wasay*

Department of Neurology, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

N Dubey, R Bakshi

Department of Neurology, Buffalo Neuroimaging Analysis Center, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, USA

Correspondence to: Mohammad Wasay, MD, Department of Neurology, The Aga Khan university, Stadium Road, Karachi 74800, Pakistan; mohammad.wasay@aku.edu

*Current affiliation: Center for Neurological Imaging, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

doi: 10.1136/emj.2003.012765

References

- 1 Kroenke K, Hoffman RM, Einstadter D. How common are various causes of dizziness? A critical review. *South Med J* 2000;**93**:160–167.
- 2 Colledge N, Lewis S, Mead G, et al. Magnetic resonance brain imaging in people with dizziness: a comparison with non dizzy people. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;**72**:587–589.

- 3 Kroenke K, Lucas CA, Rosenberg ML, et al. Causes of persistent dizziness. A prospective study of 100 patients in ambulatory care. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;**117**:898–904.

Difficult adherent nail bed dressings: An escape route

Post-operative management of nail bed injuries is an especially annoying problem for both medical personnel and patients.¹ This is due to two main reasons. Firstly, the nail bed is an extremely sensitive organ especially in the acute stage. Secondly, some dressings like JelonetTM tend to adhere to them causing distress to the patient. In children, this can sometimes mean either a local block or even general anaesthesia, which is an unnecessary risk.

In our practice, we use a simple technique to remove adherent nail bed dressings. The injured finger is dipped into 20 ml of an undiluted 1% solution of plain lignocaine for approximately 20 minutes. We find that these dressings can then be easily removed from the nail bed through the topical effect of lignocaine. There were no instances of systemic lignocaine toxicity in our experience. This process is facilitated by macerated periungual skin and the good vascularity of the nail bed.

It must be mentioned that the gold standard dressing in nail bed surgery is non-adherent dressings like MepetalTM. However, in clinical practice, one occasionally comes across adherent dressings, typically in the follow-up clinics. In such situations, topical application of readily available local anaesthetics averts the crisis of having an unnecessary general anaesthetic and the allows pain-free removal of dressings, irrespective of the type used.² For medical personnel particularly in the casualty setting, it would save time and money as well as minimise psychological stress.

M S Ul Hassan, R Y Kannan, N Rehman, A J Platt

Department of Plastic Surgery, Castle Hill Hospital, Hull, UK

Correspondence to: Mr Ruben Y Kannan, Research Fellow, Department of Academic Surgery, Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street, London NW3 2QG, UK; ykruben@yahoo.com

doi: 10.1136/emj.2003.012914

References

- 1 King B. Suitable dressings for toenail bed wounds: a literature review. *J Wound Care* 2003;**12**(7):276–280.
- 2 Sykes P, Openshaw M, Sutherland D. Surgical dressing after nail surgery. *Nurs Times* 1987;**83**(5):45.