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Abstract

Avoidable deaths from asthma continue. Some of these result from the difficulty in determining the severity of an acute asthma attack at the initial assessment. This study evaluated the relation of pulse oximetry with other markers of severity in 46 patients attending an accident and emergency (A&E) department with acute asthma. Neither oxygen saturation nor peak flow correlated with length of admission or with other “retrospective” markers of severity. Attempts to collect follow up data (for example, peak flow charts) from patients discharged from the A&E department failed. It proved impossible to determine whether pulse oximetry predicts which adults can be discharged.
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Asthma mortality is increasing.1-3 Avoidable deaths continue, even in hospital.4 Current methods of evaluation do not accurately identify patients at risk of sudden death or respiratory arrest: “Physicians practising in emergency departments have great difficulty in predicting whether a given patient should be admitted or whether he or she can be treated and discharged from the emergency department without early relapse”.5

Current guidelines on the management of acute asthma are based on the peak flow measurements and on clinical features (heart rate and respiratory rate).7-9 Oxygen saturation on presentation helps predict the need for admission of children with asthma.10 The aims of this study (at its outset) were to assess whether pulse oximetry is as helpful in adults, and to determine whether oxygen saturation is related to other variables.

Methods

Forty six consecutive patients attending an accident and emergency (A&E) department with acute asthma of sufficient severity to warrant treatment with nebulised drugs were prospectively enrolled into the study on presentation. Exclusion criteria were age less than 16 years and breathlessness from other causes (such as chronic obstructive lung disease).

On arrival the peak flow and oxygen saturation were determined. A Wright mini-peak flow meter was used to determine the peak flow rate (taking the highest of three attempts). The arterial oxygen saturation was measured using a Dalx pulse oximeter and finger probe (with the patient breathing air); the value was noted when stable and when the heart rate shown on the oximeter was the same as the palpable pulse rate. The patient then received nebulised treatment. The delay in providing treatment was minimal. Measurements were repeated 30 min after drug delivery was completed. The predicted peak flow of each patient was estimated from their age, height, and sex.11

It was difficult to identify “inpatient” outcome measures that reflect the severity of episodes of acute asthma. Death and the need for ventilation are the “hardest” measures, but are too infrequent to be useful in studies of this size. The alternatives chosen were: length of admission, duration of treatment with nebulised bronchodilators, time taken for the peak flow to reach 80% of the predicted value, and time taken for the diurnal variation in peak flow to fall below 20%. Although these all depend, at least in part, on peak flow measurements, superior outcomes could not be identified. These data were extracted from the hospital case notes of patients who had been admitted.

The study was approved by the hospital ethics committee. Correlations were determined using Pearson product-moment coefficients (r).

Results

Data were obtained from 46 patients, of whom 27 (59%) were admitted. Baseline peak expiratory flow rate and oxygen saturation are shown in tables 1 and 2. The mean heart rate was 102 beats min⁻¹ and the mean respiratory rate 27 min⁻¹. As one would expect if guidelines current at that time had been followed, there was little overlap in peak flow between patients discharged from A&E and those admitted. From oxygen saturation measurements, the distinction between these groups of patients was not clear.

Patients with oxygen saturation of 91% or less (the cut off value used by Geelhoed et al10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Percentage of predicted peak expiratory flow rate; mean (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Oxygen saturation (FiO₂ = 0.21); mean (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Correlations between clinical findings, percentage of predicted peak expiratory flow rate, and oxygen saturation; r (P value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heart rate</th>
<th>Respiratory rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment saturation</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>+0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.225)</td>
<td>(0.570)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment saturation</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>+0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.732)</td>
<td>(0.733)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment % PPF</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>+0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.732)</td>
<td>(0.733)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment % PPF</td>
<td>-0.160</td>
<td>+0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.732)</td>
<td>(0.733)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% PPF = percent of predicted peak flow.

were not significantly less likely to be discharged [two of eight patients in this group were discharged: 95% confidence interval (CI) 3.2–65.1%] than those with higher values [11 of 33; 95% CI 18.0 to 51.8%]. The initial oxygen saturation of five patients, one of whom was admitted, was not recorded. It was not clear whether two patients, both with an initial oxygen saturation >91%, were admitted or discharged.

The mean initial heart rate was 108 beats-min\(^{-1}\) among patients with initial oxygen saturation of 91% or less, and 102 in the other patients (NS). The mean values of respiratory rate and of the “retrospective variables” were higher (suggesting more severe asthma) in the group with an oxygen saturation of 91% and below (all between group comparisons were non-significant).

No correlation was found between oxygen saturation and heart rate or respiratory rate. There was a significant correlation between initial heart rate and peak flow (before and after treatment, \(P = 0.007\) and \(P = 0.002\) respectively) (table 3).

Table 4 shows the correlation between the “presentation variables” (heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and per cent predicted peak flow rate) and “retrospective variables” (length of hospital admission, duration of nebuliser treatment, time taken for the peak flow to reach 80% of the expected peak flow, and time taken for the diurnal variation in peak flow to fall below 20%). The clinical finding at presentation most strongly associated with length of admission was the respiratory rate, though this did not reach statistical significance (\(P = 0.140\)). The initial respiratory rate was the variable most strongly associated (again non-significantly) with the duration of nebuliser treatment, with the time taken for the peak flow to reach 80% of the expected value, and with the time taken for the diurnal variation in peak flow to fall below 20%. Neither peak flow values nor oxygen saturation values were correlated significantly with any of the retrospective variables.

**Discussion**

These data provide new information about the relations between oxygen saturation and other variables in adults with acute asthma. Unfortunately they do not help to predict which patients can be discharged. Because it proved impossible to collect follow up data from patients discharged from A&E, it was not possible to assess the performance of pulse oximetry at predicting which patients required admission and which could safely continue treatment at home.

The patients in this study were comparable (as assessed by peak flow) with those attending A&E departments elsewhere in the United Kingdom. A study with aims similar to ours has recently been reported. This found a non-significant trend for lower oxygen saturation to be associated with the need for hospital admission. It was not clear what criteria were used to decide if admission were needed (only 14 of 276 were admitted). Discharged patients were not followed up. One cannot justify a change in clinical practice with these data.

The ideal tool to aid in deciding whether to admit a patient would not only be accurate but would also use indices that can be quickly and easily measured (if not it would not be practical for A&E use). An index has been devised which uses clinical findings, but this was unreliable when prospectively evaluated.

Peak flow is thought to be more accurate than clinical assessment in determining the severity of acute asthma (unless there are signs of life threatening asthma such as cyanosis; symptoms and signs disappear despite continuing sputum and respiratory distress, mainly the result of small airway pathology or ventilation/perfusion mismatch, cannot be inferred from the degree of impairment in air flow rates, which reflect large airway calibre. Arterial oxygen saturation determination by pulse oximetry is easily carried out, comfortable, and non-invasive. When used to monitor patients with respiratory distress, a close correlation between oximetric and measured saturation was found. Further studies examining the role of oximetry may yield useful results. One potential avenue is the evaluation of the role of serial assessments of oxygen saturation.

Reliable “retrospective” measures of severity are needed. Death or the need for ventilation are too rare to be used in single centre studies; other measures are needed as “surrogates” in such studies.

The decision whether to admit a patient with asthma is one that all A&E staff have to make often. Improving the accuracy of these decisions should remain a priority of emergency medicine research; inadequacy may lead to unnecessary admission or unnecessary death.
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