Cervical spine imaging in trauma patients: a simple scheme of rationalising arm traction using zonal divisions of the vertebral bodies

D Ohioorenaya, M Hilton, C D H Oakland, C A J McLauchlan, M Cobby, A O Hughes

Abstract

Objective—To evaluate the effectiveness of arm traction for cervical spine imaging in trauma patients and devise a scheme to predict the probability of visualising the C7/T1 level in trauma patients.

Methods—98 trauma patients were studied. Each vertebral body was divided into three equal horizontal zones, the disc space between vertebral bodies being equivalent to one zone. The fifth cervical vertebra was used as the starting level (zone 1). Zones obtained pre and post arm traction on the lateral cervical spine radiographs were recorded. Results were analysed to show the probability of imaging the lower cervical spine, including the cervico-thoracic junction.

Results—If the initial film showed less than zone 10 (mid-C7 vertebra), the probability of showing zone 13 (upper body of T1) with arm traction was only 7.7%, that is, one success in every 13 pulls; or conversely, 12 failures in every 13 pulls.

Conclusions—Unless an initial cervical spine radiograph includes the upper one third of the body of the C7 vertebra, the probability of attaining the C7/T1 level with arm traction is <15%. It is suggested that all initial radiographs of the lateral cervical spine in major trauma patients be done with arm traction, and where the upper one third of the body of C7 vertebra is not seen, then computerised tomography, swimmer's, or oblique views be considered.

(J Accid Emerg Med 1996;13:175-176)

Key terms: cervical spine radiography; trauma; arm-pull manoeuvre

Conventional radiographic imaging of the cervical spine including the first thoracic vertebra is an integral part of the initial assessment of patients who have sustained major trauma. A method employed to rule out injury to the cervical spine clearance in most accident and emergency (A&E) departments is arm traction. Although this method is widely used, the success rate in visualising the desired C7/T1 level has never been evaluated, and some patients may therefore be subjected to arm traction where there is little chance of viewing the cervico-thoracic junction. As most missed lesions of the cervical spine occur at C7/T1, it is essential that this junction is clearly visualised.

In this study we evaluate the effectiveness of the arm traction method, demonstrate its limitations, and devise a scheme to predict the probability of visualising the C7/T1 level in trauma patients.

Methods

From March 1993 to February 1994, 98 trauma patients who had lateral cervical spine radiographs before and after arm traction were included in the study.

We divided each vertebral body into three equal horizontal zones (figure), with the disc space between vertebral bodies equivalent to one zone. The fifth cervical vertebra was used as the starting level (zone 1) because no patient in our study showed less than five cervical vertebral bodies before and after traction. Factors such as upper limb and shoulder girdle fractures and the Glasgow coma score, which might affect the efficacy of arm traction, were noted. Pre and post-traction radiographs were compared and the appropriate zones reported (figure).

Zones obtained before and after arm traction on the lateral cervical spine radiographs were recorded (table 1).

Analysis of the results showed the probabilities of imaging the lower cervical spine, including the cervico-thoracic junction.

Results

If the view included zone 9 (upper C7 vertebra) or less, the probability of showing zone 13 (upper body of T1) with arm traction was only

Zonal divisions of vertebral bodies (C5 to T1).

Frenchay Hospital,
Bristol: Accident and
Emergency
Department

D Ohioorenaya
M Hilton
C D H Oakland
C A J McLauchlan

Department of
Radiology
M Cobby

Department of
Epidemiology,
University of Bristol,
Bristol
A O Hughes

Correspondence to:
Mr Dele Ohioorenaya,
Accident and Emergency
Department, Arrowe Park
Hospital, Arrowe Park Road,
Upton, Wirral, Merseyside
L49 5PE, United Kingdom.

Table 1 Zones obtained before and after arm traction on lateral cervical spine radiographs

Zone after pull	Zone on initial lateral film							Total
	6 or less	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Zone 6 or less	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	2
Zone 7	2	0	—	—	—	—	—	2
Zone 8	2	1	0	—	—	—	—	3
Zone 9	0	1	3	0	—	—	—	4
Zone 10	0	3	5	2	0	—	—	10
Zone 11	0	0	2	6	1	2	—	11
Zone 12	1	0	0	6	3	10	1	21
Zone 13 (C7/T1 junction)	0	0	1	2	10	9	10	21
Zone 14	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	8
Zone 15	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	5
Total	7	5	11	16	15	25	19	98

Probability of showing zone 13.

If lateral film:	7 or less	probability = 0%
	8	= 9%
	9	= 12.5%
	10	= 73%
	11 or more	= 70%

Table 2 Glasgow coma score (GCS) and arm traction

- 82/98 patients had GCS of 15
- 7/98 patients had GCS of 14
- 9/98 patients had GCS of < 14
(2 were intubated and ventilated)
- Only 45/98 showed ≥ 13

7.7%, that is, one success in every 13 pulls; or conversely, 12 failures in every 13 pulls. No correlation was found between the Glasgow coma score and the ease of applying arm traction (table 2).

Discussion

Manual arm traction remains the most popular means of demonstrating the lower cervical spine in most A&E departments in the United Kingdom. Our study has shown that there is very little chance of success in achieving this objective if the initial film shows less than zone 10 (mid-C7 vertebra). This would also apply to patients who have arm traction routinely as part of the initial cervical spine x rays. There would be no need to repeat the traction if zone 10 is not attained with the initial pull.

As most missed lesions of the cervical spine occur at the cervico-thoracic junction,^{1,2} that is, zones 12/13, there is clearly a need to visualise this region by other imaging techniques such as swimmer's or oblique views, or a computerised tomography (CT) scan. The range of accuracy of a cross table lateral cervical spine x ray has been estimated at between 70% and 90% by various investi-

gators.^{1,3} One needs to correlate clinical and radiological findings in the initial management of these patients and protect the cervical spine appropriately.

Manual arm traction in most A&E departments is a single operator manoeuvre. Various other methods have been described to clear the cervical spine.^{4,5} These include the use of traction devices and shoulder harness.⁵⁻⁷ The advantages these devices might have over manual arm traction are negated by the fact that they are impractical to use while active resuscitation is in progress.

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Arm traction is still the most widely used method for clearing the cervical spine in major trauma.

(2) Unless an initial cervical spine radiograph includes the upper one third of the body of C7 vertebra, the probability of viewing C7/T1 level with arm traction is < 15%.

(3) We advocate that all initial radiographs of the lateral cervical spine in major trauma patients be done with arm traction, and where the upper one third of the body of C7 vertebra is not seen, then a CT scan, swimmer's, or oblique views should be considered. (Only 20% of initial films without traction showed the C7/T1 level in our study.)

(4) In cases with upper limb fractures where arm traction may be difficult, and initial lateral cervical spine films inadequate, a CT scan may be required to show the lower cervical spine.

(5) Even with good quality lateral cervical spine films, 10% to 20% of soft tissue and bony abnormalities could still be missed.

We appreciate the assistance of Mrs Marlene Soden and Mr Phil Smith of the Accident and Emergency Radiology Department, Frenchay Hospital, and Mrs Jennie Beddoe for secretarial help.

- 1 Driscoll PA, Ross R, Nicholson DA. Cervical spine 1. *BMJ* 1993;ii:785-9.
- 2 Evans DK. Dislocations at the cervico-thoracic junction. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1983;65B:124-7.
- 3 Hudgins PA, Hudgins RJ. Radiology of cervical spine trauma. *Clin Neurosurg* 1991;37:571-95.
- 4 Boger DC. Traction device to improve CT imaging of lower cervical spine. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1986;7:719-21.
- 5 Liebault B, Namour A, Cronier P, Talha A, Toulemande JL. How to visualise one additional vertebra on profile radiographs of the cervical spine. *J Radiol* 1989;70:507-9.
- 6 Gaylor AL, Sacksteder SP. A simple method of applying arm traction. *Radiol Technol* 1982;54:125-6.
- 7 Norris CS, Silva WE, Dodson TF, Darrow J. Shoulder harness traction for roentgenographic assessment of the cervical spine. *Arch Surg* 1986;121:452-5.