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Abstract
Objective—To develop an effective but practical screening questionnaire for use by accident and emergency (A&E) staff to detect alcohol misuse early on in its natural history, without unreasonably prolonging patient waiting times; and to integrate an alcohol health worker (AHW) into A&E to provide counselling for referred patients.

Methods—Two pilot studies for adult patients were undertaken to develop the 1 min Paddington alcohol test (PAT), which has only three compulsory questions for detecting alcohol misuse: two cover peak consumption and frequency of possible binge drinking, and the third asks whether in the patients’ view their attendance at A&E was alcohol related. The use of the PAT was validated in “appropriate” adult patients over a one year period. Patients found to be positive were invited to attend the A&E review clinic for counselling by the AHW.

Results—The development of the PAT resulted in a referral rate of one patient per 158 A&E adult attenders, facilitating a counselling rate of one patient per 263 A&E adult attenders by the AHW. This counselling rate is a 10-fold increase on the rate of one patient per 2610 adult attenders found in a study undertaken during 1988–90.

Conclusions—The use of the PAT is one practical method for A&E staff to detect the alcohol misusing patient for referral to a departmental alcohol health worker.
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The mortality and morbidity from alcohol misuse, with the resulting social and financial cost to society, have been well documented. The relative cheapness of alcohol because of fiscal policies of postwar United Kingdom governments serves only to exacerbate the problems, as does conflicting advice from the Department of Health. This advice has now been clarified by the Chief Medical Officer, and for safe regular consumption the limits remain 21 units per week for men and 14 units per week for women. Brief interventions with less severely affected misusers of alcohol are worthwhile. This is especially true for patients who have yet to develop physical problems. Overall the effect of brief interventions is estimated to be a 24% reduction in alcohol consumption. We have previously shown that almost half of those patients identified initially in accident and emergency (A&E) as having an alcohol problem kept an appointment to be screened in a review clinic and, if appropriate, to be given a “same week” appointment with a psychiatrist (table 1). This suggested that A&E departments are an appropriate place for detection and referral, and helped facilitate the creation of the post of an alcohol health worker—AHW.

In our original work we used a combination of the brief Michigan alcoholism screening test (MAST) and the CAGE questionnaire, since questioning patients is the most effective method of screening for alcohol misuse. However, neither of these questions daily alco-
hol intake or binge drinking. Barrett and Vaughan Williams, using the York Questionnaire— which combines questions on binge drinking with CAGE—found that 13.3% of male and 3.3% of female A&E attenders admitting to drinking more than 36 and 24 units of alcohol per week, respectively. On the other hand Yates et al considered the length of alcohol questionnaires to be too onerous to impose on the severely ill or injured patient in the unstructured environment of A&E. This, combined with pressures created by patient waiting times, has—as far as we know—prevented any of these questionnaires from being used at present in any A&E department in the United Kingdom.

Therefore with the appointment of the AHW we asked the question, how were we to proceed in order to improve on our initial low referral rate of 1 in 1205 (table 1)? How could we detect the alcohol misusing adult patient more effectively as part of our ongoing work practice, without unreasonably prolonging patient waiting times? This paper describes our two pilot studies and the development of our alcohol screening and counselling service.

Methods

Our work from 1988-1990 covered two inner city hospitals in London, St Mary’s, W2 and St Charles’, W10, and has been well documented.

At the start of this new work in 1994, carried out solely at St Mary’s, W2, two sequential pilot studies were undertaken to develop an effective but brief alcohol misuse questionnaire to be used by A&E staff for the subsequent study period of 12 months. During both pilot studies all A&E doctors were asked to complete a questionnaire for every adult attender (16 years of age and above) “if at all possible”. For the pilot and subsequent studies the AHW attended each daily A&E review clinic in order to carry out both screening and counselling of patients referred by A&E staff. Both the psychiatrist in the original work and the AHW wrote to all non-attenders offering a further appointment.

First Pilot Study

The first pilot questionnaire highlighted the importance of the initial assessment of the Glasgow coma score and whether the patient was orientated in time, place, and person. At the conclusion of the consultation, excluding those patients who denied drinking alcohol at all, questions concerning alcohol misuse were asked: two covered peak consumption and the frequency of possible binge drinking, one was based on CAGE (an acronym of Cut down, Annoy others, feel Guilty, Eye opener), and one on whether in the patients’ view their attendance at A&E was alcohol related. Patients who were judged to be experiencing difficulties with alcohol were then asked if they wished to see the AHW. Those patients who did not, but appeared to be at risk from alcohol misuse, were given an alcohol advice card in case they changed their mind (perhaps when sober) and wished to attend at a later date. The first pilot was run for the final week (25-31.7.94) of the six month term for a 12 member team of A&E senior house officers, and also for the department’s three middle grade staff and the two consultants, each A&E doctor having attended a briefing session on the use of the questionnaire. However, the staff estimated that this questionnaire took two minutes or more to complete in at least 50% of patients.

Second Pilot Study

The second pilot was run over a two week period (3-16.8.94) covering the start of the next team of 12 A&E senior house officers. The new team were given one hour of education covering alcohol misuse. Patients were now assessed by two different methods, depending on whether they were triaged by the nursing staff as “major” (needing to be initially managed on a trolley) or as “minor” (not in need of a trolley). Patients triaged as major were assessed by A&E doctors as for the first pilot except that the quantity of alcohol drunk was recorded in measures (home or pub) to be later converted to units by the AHW, and the CAGE questions were made optional. Patients who were triaged as minor were given an equivalent self completion questionnaire, including CAGE, by the reception staff which was then collected by the appropriate A&E doctor.

Definitive Study

The results of these two pilot studies (detailed below) led to the development of the final questionnaire: the one minute Paddington alcohol test (PAT), which was then used for 12 subsequent months with the object of detecting as many adult patients as possible for subsequent referral to the AHW. Alcohol advice cards recommending counselling with the AHW (or contacting Alcoholics Anonymous or Drinkline) were given to patients where possible if the PAT was positive but the patient refused initial referral. Negative PATs were not collected.

Table 1 Results of first study by Green et al, to two pilot studies, and further one year use of PAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Total No of adults attending A&amp;E</th>
<th>No referred (referral date) - patient accepting referral</th>
<th>Total No counselled (counselling rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.88-31.10.90</td>
<td>125 280 St Mary's and St Charles'</td>
<td>104 (0.08%) 1 in 1205 By psychiatrist “same week” 48 (0.04%) 1 in 2610</td>
<td>By AHW 5 (0.44%) 1 in 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilot 2 12-31.7.94</td>
<td>1124 St Mary's</td>
<td>10 (0.89%) 1 in 112 For “minor” condition 16</td>
<td>By AHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilot 1 3-16.8.94</td>
<td>“Major” 1504</td>
<td>For “minor” condition 3</td>
<td>For “major” condition 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 2093 St Mary's</td>
<td>Total 19 (0.91%) 1 in 110</td>
<td>Total 8 (0.38%) 1 in 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of PAT 1.9.94-31.8.95</td>
<td>53 090 St Mary's</td>
<td>335 (0.63%) 1 in 158</td>
<td>By AHW 202 (0.38%) 1 in 263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HW, alcohol health worker; PAT, Paddington alcohol test.
The One Minute PADDINGTON ALCOHOL TEST (P.A.T.)

TO BE KEPT IN MAJOR AND MINOR AREAS. PLEASE COMPLETE FOR ALL A&E PATIENTS WHERE THERE IS ANY SUSPICION OF ALCOHOL ABUSE e.g. falls, assaults, head injuries, gastrointestinal problems, "unwell", fits, blackouts, collapse, insomnia, sweating, palpitations, chest pain, gout, rashes, depression, overdoses and especially REPEAT ATTENDANCE perhaps with unexplained symptoms and DELAYED ATTENDANCE, perhaps as intoxicated at the time of incident.

Remember the ELDERLY presenting with: falls, confusion, incontinence and self-neglect.

DATE: __________________________

PATIENT IDENTIFICATION STICKER:

TIME:

1. "Quite a number of people have times when they drink more than usual; what is the most you will drink in any one day? NB Please note if home or pub measures. (Units, relating to pub measures, are shown in brackets).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF DRINK</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beer/lager/cider</td>
<td>__Pints (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong Beer/lager/cider</td>
<td>__Pints (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine</td>
<td>__Glasses (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortified Wine (Sherry, Martini)</td>
<td>__Glasses (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits (Gin, Whisky, Vodka)</td>
<td>__Singles (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. If this is 10 units for a man, or 6 units for a woman, or more: Does this happen: less than once a month? between once a month and once a week? once a week or more? (Please record only one YES)

3. "Do you feel your current attendance in A&E is related to alcohol?"

"Would you like to see our Health Worker?"

If YES, give Alcohol Advice Card and appointment for Review Clinic as per the alcohol referral guidelines

If YES, and patient is admitted, state ward: __________________________

DOCTORS SIGNATURE: __________________________

DOCTORS STAMP: __________________________

If NO to this offer of help, but you feel that the patient would benefit from referral, please give Alcohol Advice Card (patient may change mind later, and return)

Even if the patient does not want help now please if possible still complete the form and place in Alcohol box for information.

Please also inform the General Practitioner. Thank You.

The PAT omitted the Glasgow Coma Score as it was now to be used selectively for "appropriate adult patients" only, that is, those where there was a suspicion of alcohol misuse generated by presentations such as falls, assaults, head injuries, gastrointestinal problems, "unwell", fits, blackouts, collapse, insomnia, sweating, palpitations, chest pain, gout, rashes, depression, overdose, and especially repeated attendances, perhaps with unexplained symptoms and delayed attendance perhaps because intoxicated at the time of the incident.
CAGE was left as an optional extra on the PAT questionnaire.

Results

The original work in 1988-90, using the combination of brief MAST and CAGE, detected 104 patients, 48 of whom were referred to a psychiatrist (table 1). Given the A&E workload, these figures represent 0.08% and 0.04% respectively adult attenders, a minute proportion of potential alcohol misusers.

The results of the two pilot studies were remarkably similar (table 1). Year long use of the PAT detected 335 patients who accepted referral from 53 090 (0.63%) adult attenders (16 years of age and older), a referral rate of 1 in 158–a somewhat lower rate than in the pilots, but the final counselling rate of 1 in 263 was 10 times greater than it had been in 198890 (1 in 2610), that is, in the absence of an AHW. For the year long use of the PAT it was estimated by the majority of A&E doctors (self timing) that the PAT took approximately one minute or less to complete, excluding CAGE, for more than 50% of completed questionnaires.

The percentage of patients who reattended for counselling from the two triage categories of “majors” and “minors” was almost identical (table 2). Of the patients counselled by the AHW, 71% were referred by senior house officers compared to the smaller number of referrals made by other health workers (table 3), but the former comprised an even higher percentage (91%) of those that did not reattend (where the source of referral was known). The high percentage of referrals from senior house officers was probably because they were the focus for the education and briefing. The relative inexpertise and youth of the senior house officers—thereby conveying less authority—may explain the higher percentage their patients not attending for counselling.

The four optional CAGE questions were completed in 74% of 306 PATs (29 PATs were either not completed or were mislaid) for patients accepting referral. The CAGE was positive—for two or more stems—in all but 8% of the total. However, review of all of the completed PATs showed that the use of the optional CAGE questions did not detect any patient who had not already been detected as misusing alcohol by the first three questions of the PAT.

Twenty one of the 202 attendances for counselling were the result of 129 letters sent to patients who did not initially return. Such letters were not sent to 26 patients of no fixed abode and to two living more than 10 miles away. Three patients with a positive PAT who refused initial referral subsequently attended for counselling. The number of PAT positive patients not accepting referral who never returned was not recorded because the PATs were either not satisfactorily completed or not retained; these were not sent a further letter.

Discussion

The referral rate to the AHW for both our pilot studies was disappointingly low considering that staff were asked to complete a questionnaire for all adult patients seen "if at all possible". With the second pilot, where the patients with a minor triage category were asked to complete the questionnaire, non-completion was perhaps because of alcohol misuse itself.

Time spent by reception staff explaining to patients the need to complete the questionnaire was also a problem; many patients were multicultural, and were attending for a reason that had interrupted the progress of their day or night, with resulting stress to all concerned.

It therefore became apparent that it was not a feasible long term option for the patients to complete the questionnaire themselves.

The use of CAGE did not increase the referral rate to the AHW. Alcohol misuse was detected by the three questions covering quantity drunk, frequency of such drinking, and its relation to A&E attendance. CAGE was therefore not necessary for the detection of alcohol misuse in A&E. Barrison et al also found that CAGE on its own detected very few abnormal drinkers missed by consumption questions.

The CAGE will therefore be omitted from future editions of the PAT (figure).

The main reason why doctors failed to complete the questionnaires was time constraint. Barrett and Vaughan Williams stated that the York questionnaire used at the Royal London Hospital took only two minutes to complete, similar to our first pilot. However, if every A&E attendant were to answer this questionnaire, with 150 adult attenders a day this would add about 35 hours work to each working week, almost enough to justify one additional senior house officer. The PAT had to be simpler than the York questionnaire in order to be completed within one minute in approximately 50% of patients questioned. While this completion speed was achieved, it was obvious that to complete a PAT for every patient was impossible because of the stress that resulted.

How then can A&E doctors be best educated to use the PAT selectively for "appropriate" patients only, without missing possible alcohol misuse? Doctors are bad at taking alcohol histories but improve with the aid of a simple questionnaire plus encouragement, education, and audit. Rowland et al suggest that it is not unfavourable attitudes towards

Table 2  Triage categorisation of the 338 patients referred to and/or seen by alcohol health worker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triage category</th>
<th>Counselling</th>
<th>Not counselled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Major” patients</td>
<td>192 (61%)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Minor” patients</td>
<td>146 (58%)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>202 (inc 3 not referred) 136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  The source of referral of the 335 patients referred to the alcohol health worker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health worker</th>
<th>Counselling</th>
<th>Not counselled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;E senior house officer</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;E middle grade doctor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;E consultant</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;E General practitioner</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other specialty doctor</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liaison psychiatric nurse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage nurse</td>
<td>7 (incl 3 not referred)</td>
<td>119 (14 not recorded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
alcohol but doctors' perception of their role which determines their treatment of problem drinkers; there seems to be an unspoken assumption that physicians should only concern themselves with the diseases caused by alcohol misuse rather than having an obligation to look after all patients who misuse alcohol.\textsuperscript{23} The importance of detection early on in the natural history of alcohol misuse is not generally appreciated.

Misuse may be obvious; for instance within our own department Williams et al.\textsuperscript{24} found that over 50\% of adult head injuries requiring admission were overtly intoxicated by alcohol. Certain situations, as delineated on the PAT questionnaire, must stimulate immediate clinical suspicion; this applies particularly to repeat attendance, where the potential for medicolegal pitfalls is well documented.\textsuperscript{25} Even so there will be many occasions where alcohol misuse is not detected.\textsuperscript{26} It is natural for inexperienced, junior A&E house officers to concentrate on the reason why a patient has come to A&E as opposed to considering such underlying pathology as alcohol misuse. To counter this we provided each new team of senior house officers with one hour of education on alcohol misuse, emphasising early detection in the course of its natural history, the use of the PAT, and the role of the AHW. Every senior house officer had to complete five PATs within the first week, even if the results did not warrant referral. The questionnaires were then reviewed by an A&E consultant and the AHW. Each month a league table of referrals was produced and the importance of detecting the alcohol misuser reiterated. The AHW attended each daily review clinic, and therefore had a high departmental profile for ongoing reinforcement and feedback to all A&E staff, nurses included.

In order for \textit{The health of the nation} targets for alcohol misuse to be met\textsuperscript{27} patient attendance at A&E must be included among the opportunities for detection, to that appropriate counselling care be given. Liaison with A&E department for patients with alcohol problems is an important component for purchasers to build into their contracts with mental health units.\textsuperscript{27} While it cannot be expected that A&E doctors and nurses will undertake counselling, only they are in a position to undertake the initial detection and referral. Liaison between different disciplines is also essential to improve the service for people whose health is at risk of being damaged by alcohol.\textsuperscript{28}

Our study shows that the PAT can provide a sufficient number of appropriate referrals (335) and subsequent attendances for counselling (202) from 53 090 new adult A&E patients a year to justify the employment of a part time AHW (27 hours per week). The PAT continues to be part of our general work practice, indicating that successive teams of A&E senior house officers (and other grades of A&E doctor) perceive that the benefits to patients outweigh the consequent time penalty (one minute per PAT). A&E staff become less frustrated by the problem of alcohol misuse as they are able to offer referral to the AHW, therefore becoming less judgmental. The necessity of attending A&E may bring home to a patient that they have a problem of alcohol misuse which otherwise they would deny.\textsuperscript{28}

The outcome for patients counselled by the AHW is now the subject of further study.
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