Use of A&E wards

EDITOR—I am not surprised that the physicians and surgeons at the Royal Liverpool University Hospital do not have to be convinced of the benefits of Dr AM T Good' organising the acute management of DVTs, ureteric colic, and acute gastrointestinal bleeds. This system, while appearing efficient, quite clearly loads a lot of their work onto the A&E department.

A typical management of these acute surgical and medical admissions should not become the remit of A&E. A&E wards already take cases such as intoxicated head injuries and drug overdoses that other specialties are not interested in. Short stay wards can easily backfire unless strict control over admissions and patient disposal are enforced. A typical example of this is illustrated by Rafter et al., who showed that only 84% of their patients were discharged within 48 hours of admission and three of their patients had been inpatients for more than a year! If the medical and surgical specialties are not prepared to, or able to, organise their acute service in a proper and efficient manner, then it should not be the place of the A&E consultant to pick up the pieces. Senior A&E staff are already struggling to supervise and teach their junior staff without being burdened with other specialties' work. Dr Good's acute service may be perceived as being an exciting development for A&E, but I do not think it is one which should be encouraged.

AIDAN GLIESEN
Department of Accident and Emergency Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh

Gamma hydroxybutyrate poisoning

EDITOR—I read with interest the case series of gamma hydroxybutyrate (GHB) poisoning reported by Ryan and Stell. We have recently also seen a patient who presented with coma of unknown origin who later admitted to GHB ingestion.

A 24 year old woman was brought to the accident and emergency department having been found unresponsive by her boyfriend. She thought she may have ingested some GHB powder up to 90 minutes earlier. On arrival she was maintaining her airway but had very shallow respirations at a rate of 6/min. She had a Glasgow coma score (GCS) of 3/15, with pinpoint pupils. She was hypotensive with a blood pressure of 88/58 mm Hg. Her respiration was supported by bag-valve-mask ventilation and she was given 400 μg of naloxone intravenously and a further 400 μg intramuscularly, following which her respiratory rate improved to 16/min. Her GCS remained 3. In view of the unreliable history and possibility of ingestion of other substances it was decided to intubate her and perform gastric lavage. Shortly afterwards her conscious level improved and she opened her eyes and began to move all four limbs in a random fashion. Within three hours of presentation she had regained full consciousness and within eight hours she took her own discharge after admitting to taking a combination of GHB and amphetamines.

Although GHB abuse has long been recognised in the USA, until recently it has not been common in the United Kingdom. Luby et al looked at a group of self described GHB users identified through gymnasiums. Their reasons for using the drug included body building (55%), to induce sleep (27%), to lose weight (14%), and to achieve a euphoric effect (5%). In view of its increasing prevalence GHB poisoning must be considered in patients presenting with coma of unknown cause. The index of suspicion should be particularly high if the patient is known to be a bodybuilder.

CAROLE LIBETTA
Accident and Emergency Departments, Northern General Hospital, Sheffield S7 1AU


Return of the bot fly

EDITOR—We read with interest the three case reports of tropical myiasis which appeared previously in this journal. We too have encountered the larvae of the human bot fly (Dermatobia hominis)—in a soldier who had been training in Belize. He presented with three large red lumps on his back, each of which had a central punctum. The respiratory tubes of the larvae were visible intermittently as they protruded through these central apertures.

However, in our (admittedly limited) experience, as soon as the lignoncaine was injected around and under the lesions, the bot fly larvae emerged from their bunkers in great haste. This method of removal was only described in Kitching's report.2 It is not clear whether the lignoncaine has a toxic or irritant effect or simply acts by increasing the tissue pressure to levels which the larvae cannot tolerate. Although some larvae may be less willing to leave their bunkers than our three, this simple method of extraction is surely worth attempting as it is quick, relatively painless, and gives a good cosmetic result.

CHRISS MOUTLON
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BOOK REVIEWS


At last, increasing attention is being paid to the causes and treatment of paediatric injuries—although the focus is still mainly on the relatively rare major injury rather than the routine, though equally frequent, minor injury. Inevitably, training and textbooks lag behind trends, but this book makes a good attempt to fill the vacancy for a reference work on all types of injuries affecting children. It is a comprehensive guide to the diagnosis and treatment of major and minor injuries, with a considerable emphasis on the detection of child abuse; although opinions may vary as to the importance of the latter, the authors' balance is probably appropriate at this stage in the development of treatment of paediatric trauma.

When the review copy arrived I was in the middle of preparing lectures on the treatment of minor injuries, to give to our trainee nurse practitioners. I found the well laid out information and tables for assessment and management of bruising, abrasions, and lacerations saved me a lot of time—and an fair amount of original thought. These minor though common problems are likely to be the prime concern of nurse practitioners, yet are usually omitted from conventional textbooks. The section on classification and description of fractures is clear and should help a junior A&E and paediatric nurse to communicate with the orthopaedic staff; at worst, the registrar will think the house officer knows what they are talking about! Bony injuries are discussed by anatomical area and give good