would acknowledge it is difficult to assess objectively a child with a bruise who is on the register. Innocent accidents befall those on the register as well as those who are not. The corollary, the false negative, that because the child is not on the register excludes the injury from being non-accidental is well recognised. What other tests in clinical practice are so emotive. Being on the Child Protection Register in Cleveland has different connotations to being on the Child Protection Register in Dorset. Would the same be said of an abnormal full blood count?

As a test it is also time consuming and unwieldy and is analogous to former red telephone boxes—large, clumsy, and frequently broken! It would be a more useful resource if it was presented as a relational database—an upgradeable CD-ROM for example, or even online. A national database without regional boundaries. A database which not, only displayed those currently registered but those who have been on the register in the past two or so years would be useful; with surnames of both parents in cases of divorce/separation.

Having detailed its weaknesses can we drop this test from practice? Probably not. The Child Protection Register is so well known that if a child with non-accidental injury was discharged from an A&E department without referral to the register the media would have a field day.

Use of the register would flounder at the first hurdle it were to be subjected to "best evidence topic" appraisal, yet it is quoted in the most recent textbook of Emergency Medicine.

Finally, but the most important question: does the presence on or absence from the register affect practice? An experienced A&E department clinician is likely to be referring a child on criteria other than his or her status on the register. Research is needed to establish the Ottawa ankle rules of non-accidental injury—a validated scoring system that predicts/excludes non-accidental injury, which can be used both by A&E clinicians and paediatricians—who don't see 50 innocent injuries daily.

MARK F NICOL
ADRIAN HARRIS
Accident and Emergency Department, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital (Winford), Barrack Road, Exeter EX2 5DW

CD-ROM REVIEW

Mosby's Emergency Medicine CD-ROMs, volume 1 (adult) and volume 2 (child). Single user £250+VAT per volume (both volumes for £304+VAT). Mosby Yearbook Inc and Folio Corporation, 1995. (Available from Healthworks Ltd, 30-38 Dock Street, Leeds LS10 1JF, UK; e-mail: sales@d-access.denmark.co.uk).

Immediate access to a range of reference textbooks is essential in any accident and emergency (A&E) department but so often the most useful books tend to be unavailable having been "borrowed". These two CD-ROMs contain a remarkable collection of some of the best emergency medicine texts and papers and may well prove an invaluable source. An added advantage now more sophisticated technology is available in many A&E departments. The adult volume contains the entire text and illustrations from the Mosby titles: Emergency Medicine (Rosen), Diagnostic Radiology (Rosen), Clinical Dermatology (Habif), Emergency Medicine Review (Thomas), Annals of Emergency Medicine (Barkin), and Yearbook of Emergency Medicine (1990–94). The paediatric volume includes: Pediatric Emergency Medicine (Barkin), Pediatric Dermatology (Winston), Pediatric Radiology (Rushton) as well as Annals of Emergency Medicine (1994) and Yearbook of Emergency Medicine (1990–94).

The computer system requirements are a 386 PC (or a Macintosh) with 4 MB of RAM and both CDs were very easy to load and use. A short manual is included and after 10 minutes I was able to use various advanced searching modes and it proved surprisingly easy to locate information on various topics. The text was easy to follow and most illustrations including x rays were well reproduced on the screen, however some of the dermatology pictures were lacking in clarity. A major drawback was that in many chapters perusal had not been obtained to reproduce the original book illustrations; more than half of the x rays from a book chapter on cephalic spine injuries were simply absent, but there was no indication of this in the accompanying manual or promotional material. Also, some of the books contained on the CDs are now available in later editions in book form.

The inclusion of the Annals of Emergency Medicine and the Yearbook of Emergency Medicine is definitely a bonus in being able to search rapidly for the latest research in emergency medicine but more comprehensive dermatology atlases in both volumes would be useful as well as an advanced book on electrocardiograms. The self assessment reviews included are useful for educating junior staff and both the adult and the paediatric emergency medicine texts are leaders in their fields. So many illustrations are missing that I cannot yet recommend these CDs but if this problem could be overcome (and the latest editions of the relevant texts included) then I would regard them as absolutely essential for all A&E departments and certainly useful for higher trainees and consultants to have their own copies.

FRANCIS ANDREWS
Specialist Registrar, Accident and Emergency Medicine, Leeds