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Best evidence topic reports (BETs) summarise the evidence pertaining to particular clinical questions. They are not systematic reviews, but rather contain the best (highest level) evidence that can be practically obtained by busy practising clinicians. The search strategies used to find the best evidence are reported in detail in order to allow clinicians to update searches whenever necessary.

The BETs published below were first reported at the Critical Appraisal Journal Club at the Manchester Royal Infirmary. Each BET has been constructed in the four stages that have been described elsewhere.

The four topics covered in this issue of the journal are:

• Needle aspiration or chest drain for spontaneous pneumothorax
• Oral or intravenous steroids in acute severe asthma
• The role of therapeutic needle aspiration in radial head fractures
• The role of diagnostic needle aspiration in olecranon bursitis

Needle aspiration or chest drain for spontaneous pneumothorax

Report by Ashes Mukerjee, Research Fellow
Search checked by Kevin Mackway-Jones, Consultant

Clinical scenario
A 25 year old patient with no history of respiratory disease attends the emergency department with acute onset of shortness of breath. A chest radiograph reveals a left sided pneumothorax of approximately 50% the volume of the left lung; there is no tension. You wonder whether needle aspiration or chest drain insertion is the treatment of choice.

Three part question
In [a young patient with a spontaneous pneumothorax without tension] is [needle aspiration or chest drainage] better at [reinflating the lung, reducing hospital stay and preventing recurrence]?

Search strategy
Medline 1966 to 3/99 using the OVID interface. (exp pneumothorax OR pneumothorax$) AND (exp aspiration OR aspiration.mp OR thoracocentesis.mp) AND (exp chest tubes OR drainage OR exp thoracostomy OR chest drain$.mp)) LIMIT to human and English language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvey and Prescott, 1995, UK</td>
<td>73 patients with spontaneous pneumothorax Needle aspiration (35) v intercostal drain (38)</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Success rate</td>
<td>80% v 100%</td>
<td>Small numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andriez et al, 1995, France</td>
<td>61 patients with first or second episode of spontaneous pneumothorax Needle aspiration (33) v thoracic drainage (28)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Success rate</td>
<td>61% v 93% (p&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>Small numbers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(P)RCT = (prospective) randomised controlled trial.
Search outcome
Eighty three papers were found of which 81 were irrelevant; the remaining papers are shown in table 1.

Comment
In these flawed, small studies needle aspiration is shown to be useful in some cases. However the failure rate is high and aspiration cannot therefore be thought of as a single complete treatment for this condition. Further studies are required to confirm the decrease in length of stay in the aspiration group.

Oral or intravenous steroids in acute severe asthma
Report by Martin Smith, Specialist Registrar
Search checked by Terry Gilpin, Specialist Registrar

Clinical scenario
A 24 year old male with asthma presents to the emergency department with severe wheeze and dyspnoea. While nebulised bronchodilators are being administered you wonder whether it is better to prescribe oral or intravenous steroids.

Three part question
In [patients with acute severe asthma] are [oral prednisolone as good as intravenous hydrocortisone] in [improving respiratory symptoms]?

Search strategy
Medline 1966 to 3/99 using the OVID interface. {exp asthma OR asthma.mp} AND {exp steroids OR steroid$.mp OR exp prednisolone OR prednisolone.mp} AND {exp administration, oral OR oral.mp} AND {exp steroids or steroid$.mp OR hydrocortisone.mp} AND {exp infusions, intravenous OR exp injections, intravenous OR intrave-
nous.mp}) LIMIT to human and English language.

Clinical bottom line
Needle aspiration may be carried out as the first treatment of spontaneous pneumothoraces without tension. Patients must then be observed as subsequent intercostal drainage may be necessary.


Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rowe et al, 1992, Canada¹</td>
<td>30 PRCTs of which 6 addressed the issue of oral v intravenous therapy</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>Effectiveness in acute exacerbations</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnett et al, 1997, USA²</td>
<td>49 children with moderate to severe asthma 2 mg/kg oral methylprednisolone (23) v 2 mg/kg intravenous prednisolone (26)</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Respiratory rate, FEV₁, oxygen saturation Admission rate</td>
<td>No significant difference No significant difference</td>
<td>Follow up only 4 hours No power study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; PRCT = prospective randomised controlled trial.