Phystostigmine as treatment for severe CNS anticholinergic toxicity

Editor,—We report the successful use of phystostigmine to treat central anticholinergic toxicity: a use described before but rarely seen.1 We treated a patient admitted after an overdose of amisulpride and procyclidine (not his own medication). He became extremely agitated and was treated with intravenous benzodiazepines (total quantity in 26 hours equivalent to 125 mg diazepam) but remained agitated. Because of the risks of serious injury if his agitation was untreated and of further benzodiazepine use outside a critical care area (the ward to which he was admitted has a patient:nurse ratio of 8:1), he was treated with 1 mg of physostigmine. This was immediately and dramatically followed by a period of complete lucidity lasting 90 minutes. He did not become agitated again and his confusion resolved fully after a further 16 hours. Referral to an ITU was considered but it was felt that the risks of paralysis, intubation and ventilation (and the possible need for interhospital transfer) outweighed those associated with phystostigmine treatment. This latter option had the advantage of therapeutic and diagnostic potential.

Procyclidine is an antimuscarinic drug with a half life of 8 to 16 hours. When taken in overdose it has the advantage of therapeutic and diagnostic potential. Procyclidine is an antimuscarinic drug with a very specific role in the treatment of CNS anticholinergic toxicity despite sedation with benzodiazepines.2 Phystostigmine is a tertiary ammonium compound that reverses anticholinergic effect via acetylcholinesterase inhibition. Uniquely, for this class, it crosses the blood-brain barrier. It has a rapid onset of effect and duration of action of one to two hours.3

We do not propose that phystostigmine be routinely used to treat changed mental status after poisoning. We do, believe, however, that it has a very specific role in the treatment of patients with persisting central anticholinergic toxicity despite sedation with benzodiazepines.
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Pain in young children attending the accident and emergency department

Editor,—We read with interest the article by Macarthy et al.1 We too have experienced difficulties assessing and scoring children’s pain in the accident and emergency (A&E) setting. We feel that while subjective assessment has been shown to be the gold standard of pain assessment in some settings, for example, postoperative pain, the unexpected nature and anxiety associated with the presence of the A&E department makes this type of scoring invalid.

We have been working on developing an observational tool for the assessment of pain in children presenting to the A&E department. We know from experience of auditing analgesic use in A&E that children who have a pain score allocated receive more analgesia in a more timely fashion than those who do not. Our pain score is solely based on both the TPPS and CHEOPS score and relies on observations of various parameters in five categories (1) cry/vocal expression, (2) colour, (3) facial expression, (4) posture, (5) movement. Each score receives a value of 0, 1 or 2 to give a maximum total of 10 (similar to the mechanism of a Apag score).

This score has been validated by medical students (Davis and Rostron) in the department and has shown to have good inter-rater reliability (Spearman’s rank correlation 0.82) and to have also significant constructive validity when compared with patients who presented with musculoskeletal presentations. We feel that this score can be extended from the age of one year right through the paediatric population and not be just restricted to under fives, as we have experienced problems with subjective pain scoring in all age groups presenting to the department.

We endorse the suggestion that exploration of such pain scores in the A&E department should be actively pursued and intend to further validate our Alder Hey score against the modified TPS score as the author suggests.

BRIAR STEWART
A&E Paediatric Pain Group, Alder Hey Children’s Hospital, Eaton Road, Liverpool L12 2AP UK (Briar.Stewart@RCCH.TR/WESTMERS.UK)

The role of non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department

EDITOR,—Anthony Cross highlighted the effectiveness of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in the emergency department. We have reappraised the evidence concerning the effectiveness of NIV in acute respiratory failure and found further evidence that both supports its use and highlights current controversies. Although Cross comments on individual studies he does not present a meta-analysis of trials using NIV in patients with acute respiratory failure. By combining the data in these trials the results achieve significance. Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) treated with NIV had reduced intubation rates with a number needed to treat (NNT) of three and reduced mortality with an NNT of five.

Further to this, the three trials of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for the treatment of left ventricular failure (LVF), quoted by Cross, have been the subject of a systematic review. This combined the data and found a significant reduction in intubation rates for those receiving CPAP (NNT=5) and a non-significant trend towards decreased mortality.

There are however issues that have not yet been resolved. The one trial comparing CPAP with bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) in patients with LVF was terminated early because of a high rate of myocardial infarction in the BiPAP group. The BiPAP group contained more patients with chest pain and known coronary artery disease. They also had higher mean creatinine kinase, and lower mean pH and PaO2 at enrollment. As Cross reports, these differences between the two groups did not achieve statistical significance, but there was a trend towards worse baseline variables in the BiPAP group. With only 27 patients in this study the possibility of a type 2 error also exists. Further trials are needed to determine the role of BiPAP in the treatment of LVF.

Two of the three studies of CPAP in LVF excluded hypotensive patients. CPAP may increase cardiac output in patients with cardiac failure, and further study is needed to confirm its safety in these patients.

In our department we treat patients with respiratory distress using CPAP for LVF and BiPAP for exacerbations of COPD. Patients who are unable to cooperate or require immediate intubation are excluded. With the use of fully portable equipment NIV is a simple technique, which has the potential to benefit many emergency department patients, and we welcome the attention drawn to this by the review article.
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trials in which the intubation rate for the controls was usually very high (up to 74% in studies of COAD patients) and up to 60% in those with patients presenting with acute pulmonary oedema). It is almost inevitable that NIV will reduce the intubation rate when the rate is already so high in the controls. In our audit in A&E departments in Leeds, only 11% of severe acute pulmonary oedema patients (respiratory rate >23/min and pH <7.35) were intubated after the usual therapy for this condition. It is much less likely that NIV, in the A&E department, would reduce this low intubation rate significantly.

Cross also suggests that “early intervention [with NIV] may avoid the risks and complications of endotracheal intubation.” There is no doubt that the complication rate has been shown to be reduced by NIV in published studies, but in others a non-significant trend towards increased mortality in those treated with NIV has been shown and attributed to delays in intubation. It is important, therefore, to point out that NIV is not a substitute for intubation but may delay or prevent it becoming necessary in a carefully selected group of patients.

Two other points not discussed in the review are also important. Firstly, like everything else in A&E practice, there are training issues to consider when new or unfamiliar techniques such as NIV, are used. Both doctors and nurses need to know when and how to use particular equipment and, perhaps more importantly, when not to. In particular they need to be fully trained in all the possible complications of NIV. A&E staff may not use the technique regularly and so skills will decay without proper training schemes in place.

Secondly, many of the NIV machines currently on the market do not come with a battery pack, and this may present difficulties when transfer to the ward or intensive care is required by a patient who has been started on NIV in the Accident and Emergency Department. Some patients (particularly those with COAD) will have a prolonged requirement for NIV and it is, therefore, important to consider investing in an NIV machine that can run from a battery. In summary, most patients presenting to A&E with respiratory distress do not need ventilatory support. For those that do, endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation remains the gold standard. For a small group of patients with chronic lung disease needing urgent ventilatory support, NIV may be first line treatment. Chronic positive airway pressure undoubtedly has a role in patients with acute pulmonary oedema.
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You can’t anaesthetise patients—you are not employed as an anaesthetist

Eviron, I would like to highlight an example of “specialty specific problem” and how the problem may be tackled. Although I was an anaesthetist for over three years and possess the anaesthetic fellowship, I have come into criticism from anaesthetists for intubating patients using anaesthetic drugs in my role as Specialist Registrar in Emergency Medicine (year 5). The first time it happened I ignored the criticism, but it has occurred since in different English hospitals. There are several issues arising out of this.

(1) There is a need to inform anaesthetic colleagues that not only is anaesthesia a core secondment but specialist registrars are keen to put the skills into practice.

(2) There is a need for individual clinicians to audit their practice of intubating patients in the emergency department. My personal logbook (kept on Microsoft Access) covers patient name, date, indication for intubation, drugs and anaesthetics used (if any), morbidity and mortality. It thus covers not only the “flat spot” patients or “coza” patients, but also patients who arrive in cardiac arrest unintubated.

(3) There is a risk management need, as a specialty, to nationally audit morbidity and mortality in relation to patients being intubated by emergency department staff. In addition to the criteria above, timeliness and appropriateness of intubation should be considered.

So what if we do not audit this particular area of activity? When the inevitable disaster happens the clinical governance committee of the hospital may judge rapid sequence induction and intubation to be a procedure for the elite few doctors who satisfy the following criteria: confident, competent, qualified and employed as anaesthetists.

MARK F NICOL
Correspondence to: Dr Nicol, 7 Burchill Close, Clayton, Brossol BS9 5P R (marknicol@hotmail.com)
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Seventy five years ago an American advertising executive wrote that “one picture is worth ten thousand words”. The aphorism holds particularly true for busy junior doctors preparing for examinations. This little book offers 150 pictures; clinical images, radiographs, tables of monitored data and diagrams to explain basic principles. The book is aimed at medical students, critical care trainees and medical practitioners. When junior doctors wear white coats it is almost inevitable that NIV is not a substitute for intubation but may delay or prevent it becoming necessary in a carefully selected group of patients.

MARK F NICOL
Correspondence to: Dr Nicol, 7 Burchill Close, Clayton, Brossol BS9 5P R (marknicol@hotmail.com)

The format is a familiar one; questions are posed on one side of the page with answers and explanations overleaf. The quality of the images is above average and the subject matter is not overly esoteric. Answers are commendably brief and informative, allowing a wide range of material to be covered fairly quickly, though not in great depth. Accessible is the best way to describe this book, light reading in quiet moments before postgraduate examinations in critical care, anaesthesia or accident and emergency medicine. When junior doctors wore white coats it would have been crammed into a small pocket. Nowadays it will reside instead in numerous clinical areas or languish on a bedside table in the on call room. I recommend that if you come across it you should pick it up and have a read.

PATRICK A NEE
Consultant in Emergency Medicine and Critical Care Medicine, Whiston Hospital, Warrington Road, Prescot, Merseyside L35 5DR


When I picked up Trauma care I was expecting another run of the mill text on “how to resuscitate the multiply injured”. This expectation was shaken when the book fell open on the chapter “nutrition”. Now I know waiting times in A&E are long, but surely no one was advocating taking the breakfast trolley into “resus”? All was revealed however when I read on. The book takes a much wider view of trauma care than is normally experienced by those of us who work in A&E. It is not designed for the nurse wanting to know how to resuscitate the multiply injured, but instead is a text outlining the delivery of optimum trauma care from the moment of injury to the re-integration of the patient into the community. The approach is fresh and is enhanced by the use of case studies to illustrate the points being made. The most compelling thing is that a large amount of the book seems to be written from the perspective of the patient—the patient’s experience, the patient’s needs. The chapters that focus on the psychological effects of trauma are perhaps the most sobering, but other elements of care that are important to the patient are also covered. Elimination, tissue viability of available data and mouth care to name but a few. But don’t be fooled into thinking that this is purely a nursing textbook—the contributors come from all disciplines involved in the care and rehabilitation of the trauma patient, with many team members writing their own chapters in the book. The book is made complete with considerations in the final section of the actual service delivery and the staff that work within the service.
This book has succeeded in making me rethink my often narrow approach to trauma care, and I have set myself the task of recommending it to all the areas within the hospital that have contact with “trauma patients”. I hope that if I ever have the misfortune to be seriously injured I am looked after by people who have a similar patient orientated approach.

CAROLINE WILLIAMS
Senior Sister, Accident and Emergency,
West Wales General Hospital, Carmarthen, UK

CORRECTION
Mr R A Simpson should have been acknowledged as a scan coordinator for the Journal scan that appeared in the January 2001 issue of the journal (2001;18:71–3).

NOTICES
15th Annual Trauma Anesthesia and Critical Care Symposium
23–25 May 2002, Stavanger, Norway
Further details: e-mail: congress-secretary@traumacare2002.com, web site: www.traumacare2002.com, tel: +47 51 74 91 02, fax: +47 51 74 70 02.

18th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine, incorporating the 22nd Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australasian Society for Emergency Medicine
30 September to 4 October 2001, Hobart, Tasmania
Further details: the programme of the meeting and details of how to register are at the web site www.cdesign.com.au/acem2001

World Congress on Drowning
26–28 June 2002, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
Further details: Congress Secretariat World Congress on Drowning 2002, Consumer Safety Institute, PO Box 75 169, 1070 Amsterdam, the Netherlands (tel: +31 20 511 45 14, fax: +31 20 511 45 10, e-mail: Secretariat@drowning.nl, web site: www.drowning.nl).