

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

### Physostigmine as treatment for severe CNS anticholinergic toxicity

EDITOR.—We report the successful use of physostigmine to treat central anticholinergic toxicity: a use described before but rarely seen.<sup>1</sup> We treated a patient admitted after an overdose of amisulpride and procyclidine (not his own medication). He became extremely agitated and was treated with intravenous benzodiazepines (total quantity in 26 hours equivalent to 125 mg diazepam) but remained agitated. Because of the risks of serious injury if his agitation was untreated and of further benzodiazepine use outside a critical care area (the ward to which he was admitted has a patient:nurse ratio of 8:1), he was treated with 1 mg of physostigmine. This was immediately and dramatically followed by a period of complete lucidity lasting 90 minutes. He did not become agitated again and his confusion resolved fully after a further 16 hours. Referral to an ITU was considered but it was felt that the risks of paralysis, intubation and ventilation (and the possible need for interhospital transfer) outweighed those associated with physostigmine treatment. This latter option had the advantage of therapeutic and diagnostic potential.

Procyclidine is an antimuscarinic drug with a half life of 8 to 16 hours. When taken in overdose the features of anticholinergic toxicity may be delayed.<sup>2</sup> His agitation was unlikely to be attributable to amisulpride as this is a D2/D3 receptor antagonist but the patient had no signs of extrapyramidal side effects.<sup>2</sup> Physostigmine is a tertiary ammonium compound that reverses anticholinergic effect via acetylcholinesterase inhibition. Uniquely, for this class, it crosses the blood-brain barrier. It has a rapid onset of effect and duration of action of one to two hours.<sup>3</sup>

We do not propose that physostigmine be routinely used to treat changed mental status after poisoning. We do, believe, however, that it has a very specific role in the treatment of patients with persisting central anticholinergic toxicity despite sedation with benzodiazepines.

R TEOH

A-V PAGE

R HARDERN

Acute Medical Assessment Area, The General Infirmary, Leeds LS1 3EX, UK

Correspondence to: Dr Hardern  
(rhardern@ulth.northy.nhs.uk)

- Haddad LM, Shannon MW, Winchester JF. *Clinical management of poisoning and drug overdose*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1998.
- Toll LL, Hurlbut KM, eds. *POISINDEX® System*. Englewood, Colorado: MICROMEDEX, (edition expires 30th September 2000).
- Taylor P. Anticholinesterase agents. In: Gilman AG, Wall TW, Nies AS, et al, eds. *The pharmacological basis of therapeutics*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1996.

### Pain in young children attending the accident and emergency department

EDITOR.—We read with interest the article by McCarthy *et al*.<sup>1</sup> We too have experienced dif-

iculties assessing and scoring children's pain in the accident and emergency (A&E) setting. We feel that while subjective assessment has been shown to be the gold standard of pain assessment in some settings, for example, postoperative pain, the unexpected nature and anxiety associated with an attendance at the A&E department makes this type of scoring invalid.

We have been working on developing an observational score for the assessment of pain in children presenting to the A&E department. We know from experience of auditing analgesic use in A&E that children who have a pain score allocated receive more analgesia in a more timely fashion than those who do not.

Our pain score is loosely based on both the TPPS<sup>2</sup> and CHEOPS<sup>3</sup> score and relies on observations of various parameters in five categories (1) cry/vocal expression, (2) colour, (3) facial expression, (4) posture, (5) movement. Each score receives a value of 0, 1 or 2 to give a maximum total of 10 (similar to the mechanism of a Apgar score).

This score has been validated by medical students (Davis and Rostron<sup>4</sup>) in the department and has shown to have good inter-rater reliability (Spearman's rank correlation 0.82) and to have also significant constructive validity when compared with patients who presented with non-painful conditions. We feel that this score can be extended from the age of one year right through the paediatric population and not be just restricted to under fives, as we have experienced problems with subjective pain scoring in all age groups presenting to the department.

We endorse the suggestion that exploration of such pain scores in the A&E department should be actively pursued and intend to further validate our Alder Hey score against the modified TPS score as the author suggests.

BRIAR STEWART

A&E Paediatric Pain Group, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Eaton Road, Liverpool L12 2AP, UK  
(Briar.Stewart@RLCH-TR.NWEST.NHS.UK)

- McCarthy C, Hewitt S, Choonara I. Pain in young children attending an accident and emergency department. *J Accid Emerg Med* 2000;17:265-7.
- Tarbell SE, Cohen IT, Marsh JL. The Toddler-Preschooler Postoperative Pain Scale: an observational scale for measuring post-operative pain in children aged 1-5. Preliminary report. *Pain* 1992;50:273-80.
- McGrath PJ, Johnson G, Goodman JT, et al. The Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale (CHEOPS): a behavioural scale to measure post operative pain in children. In: Fields HL, Dubner R, Cervero F, eds. *Advances in pain research and therapy*. New York: Raven Press, 1985:395-402.
- Davis E, Rostron M. *Special Study Module 6. Assessing the reliability and validity of an observational pain score for use in paediatric A&E department*. Liverpool: Liverpool University, 2000.

### Emergency medicine or accident and emergency?

EDITOR.—What is emergency medicine? Is it the speciality that provides "The care of emergency patients . . . by specially trained doctors who deliver a wide range of services for all patients presenting to a separate emergency department" including "The initial assessment and early treatment in resuscitation, trauma, medical and paediatric emergency" and "acute parts of "medicine" in its widest context including medicine, surgery, anaesthesia, paediatrics (and) psychiatry"? Or is it a subspecialty of general medicine responsible for the assessment and admission of acute adult medical conditions?

The Royal College of Physicians<sup>2</sup> has named the latter "acute medicine", yet confusion about the distinction remains.

I have reviewed 10 successive editions of *BMJ Classified* (14 October 2000 to 16 December 2000) in order to identify all job advertisements for "emergency physicians" or doctors to work in "emergency medicine". Further study of the advertisements allowed me to establish which of these posts were to work in (accident and) emergency departments and which to work in medical assessment/admission units and/or general medicine (table 1).

Table 1 Number of advertisements for "emergency physician" or "emergency medicine" by grade and speciality

	Accident and emergency	General medicine
Consultant	2	1
Non-consultant career grade	3	8
Training grade	1	2
Total	6	11

The results of this study show that the majority of positions in "emergency medicine" (11 versus 6) are in fact to work in acute general medicine.

I believe that it is now time for our speciality to adopt the name emergency medicine, to bring us in line with our colleagues in the USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Far East. If we do not, we are in danger of losing the title altogether to a subspecialty of general medicine. If this were to happen, we would be stuck with "A&E" which, along with its predecessor "casualty", belong firmly in the last millennium.

IAN K DUKES

Emergency Department, Russells Hall Hospital, Pensnett Road, Dudley, West Midlands DY1 2HQ, UK  
(Ian.Dukes@dudleygoh-tr.vcnids.nhs.uk)

- Sakr M, Wardrope J. Casualty, accident and emergency or emergency medicine, the evolution. *J Accid Emerg Med* 2000;17:314-19.
- Royal Colleges of Physicians of the United Kingdom. *Acute medicine: the physician's role. A working party report of the Federation of Royal Colleges of Physicians of the United Kingdom*. London: Royal Colleges of Physicians of the United Kingdom, 2000.

### Intranasal diamorphine in adults

EDITOR.—We would like to describe a patient who benefited from intranasal diamorphine administration. This route has become an acceptable way of providing analgesia for children in severe pain. We believe it is also an acceptable and potentially important route for adults. It is rapidly absorbed from the venous plexi of the nasal mucosa and provides less variable pain relief than rectal or oral routes. Its aqueous solubility allows the use of small volumes.<sup>1</sup>

We recently used intranasal diamorphine as pain relief in a 57 year old woman. This woman suffered with chronic renal failure, and underwent frequent haemodialysis. She had fallen onto her right hand. Her right elbow was tender, swollen and deformed. She was supporting this elbow with her uninjured forearm. This combined with an arteriovenous shunt in the left arm made venous access difficult. She was crying out in pain and severely distressed. To allow immobilisation and investigation she was given intranasal diamorphine, at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg.<sup>1</sup> This gave immediate pain relief. Radiographs

revealed a displaced four part supracondylar fracture of the right humerus with an intra-articular component.

Although the oral or intravenous routes remain the most favoured for analgesia, it is our experience that they are not always available. The oral route may be inaccessible, for example, in a hard collar or may take longer to work because of delayed gastric emptying. Intravenous and intramuscular routes are alternatives but a patient may refuse such analgesia because of a dislike of injections. The intramuscular route also has delayed action.<sup>2</sup> The rectal route can be embarrassing and uncomfortable. Rapid analgesia may be necessary and the intranasal route provides this when intravenous access cannot be secured or is not strictly necessary.

We have used intranasal diamorphine on several occasions. During its use we monitor vital signs. Pain scores or direct questioning measures its efficacy.<sup>3</sup> We believe that a prospective study of its use would permit identification of potential side effects or complications. It is our experience that these do not occur. Our experience is insufficient to identify whether nausea and vomiting would be a significant problem.

We feel it is an important adjunct in certain clinical situations and a valuable addition to pain management.

B DOORIS  
CILLA REID  
D GAUNT

*Accident and Emergency, Lister Hospital, Coreys Mill Lane, Stevenage, Hertfordshire SG1 4AB, UK*

- 1 Wilson JA, Kendall JM, Cornelius P. Intranasal diamorphine for paediatric analgesia: assessment of safety and efficacy. *J Accid Emerg Med* 1997;14:70–2.
- 2 Sandhu S, Driscoll P, Nancarrow J, et al. Analgesia in the accident and emergency department: do SHO's have the knowledge to provide optimal analgesia? *J Accid Emerg Med* 1998;15:147–50.
- 3 Huskisson EC. Measurement of pain. *Lancet* 1974;ii:1127–31.

### The role of non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department

EDITOR.—Anthony Cross highlighted the effectiveness of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in the emergency department in his review.<sup>1</sup> As he concluded, studies certainly indicate that this treatment is beneficial in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive airways disease—with regard to the need for intubation, length of hospital stay and mortality.

The evidence for the use of NIV in the treatment of acute pulmonary oedema also exists, and is stronger than Dr Cross indicated. In addition to the three randomised controlled trials comparing chronic positive airway pressure (CPAP) with standard treatment for acute pulmonary oedema identified in the review, there also exists an article by Takeda *et al* from Tokyo.<sup>2–5</sup> If the results of this study are pooled with the three reviewed by Cross, the overall risk reduction for mortality becomes –13.8% (95% CI –24.2 to –3.4%). The interesting thing about this finding is that the confidence interval does not cross zero. This is the first time that pooled results have shown that CPAP treatment for heart failure, in addition to decreasing intubation rates, also decreases mortality—at least in the short-term (only two of the studies included long term follow up). There is also evidence that CPAP treatment benefits are greater in those with increasing severity of pulmonary oedema.<sup>6</sup>

There remains a need for a large prospective randomised controlled trial into the effectiveness of NIV compared with standard treatment of acute pulmonary oedema. If the results confirm the trends suggested in the available smaller studies, it should be sufficient to change our current treatment practice in UK emergency departments regarding acute pulmonary oedema. Admissions and death attributable to heart failure, unlike those attributable to ischaemic heart disease, are predicted to increase.<sup>7</sup> CPAP has been shown to be effective in reducing the number of these patients intubated, admitted to intensive care units or dying. Personally, I believe that there already exists sufficient evidence for the acquisition and use of CPAP/BiPAP machines to treat acute pulmonary oedema in all emergency departments in the UK.

JOHN WRIGHT

*Department of Accident and Emergency, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Castle Street, Glasgow G4 0SF, UK*

- 1 Cross AM. Review of the role of non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department. *J Accid Emerg Med* 2000;17:79–85.
- 2 Rasanen J, Heikkila J, Downs J, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure by facemask in acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema. *Am J Cardiol* 1985;55:296–300.
- 3 Bersten AD, Holt AW, Vedig AE, et al. Treatment of severe cardiogenic pulmonary oedema with continuous positive airway pressure delivered by facemask. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:1825–30.
- 4 Lin M, Yang Y-F, Chiang H-T, et al. Reappraisal of continuous positive airway pressure therapy in acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema: short-term results and long-term follow-up. *Chest* 1995;107:1379–86.
- 5 Takeda S, Nejima J, Takano T, et al. Effect of nasal CPAP on pulmonary oedema complicating acute myocardial infarction. *Jpn Circ J* 1998;62:553–8.
- 6 Pang D, Keenan SP, Cook DJ, et al. The effect of positive pressure airway support and the need for intubation in cardiogenic pulmonary oedema. *Chest* 1998;114:1185–92.
- 7 Zijlstra F, Hoortnig JCA, Jan de Boer M, et al. Long term benefits of primary angioplasty as compared with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341:1413–19.

EDITOR.—We read with interest the review by Cross of the use of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in the emergency department.<sup>1</sup> We have appraised the evidence concerning the effectiveness of NIV in acute respiratory failure and found further evidence that both supports its use and highlights current controversies.

Although Cross comments on individual studies he does not mention the meta-analysis of trials using NIV in patients with acute respiratory failure.<sup>2</sup> By combining the data in these trials the results achieve significance. Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) treated with NIV had reduced intubation rates with a number needed to treat (NNT) of three and reduced mortality with an NNT of five.

Further to this, the three trials of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for the treatment of left ventricular failure (LVF), quoted by Cross, have been the subject of a systematic review.<sup>3</sup> This combined the data and found a significant reduction in intubation rates for those receiving CPAP (NNT=4) and a non-significant trend towards decreased mortality.

There are however issues that have not yet been resolved. The one trial comparing CPAP with bi-level positive airway pressure (BiPAP) in patients with LVF<sup>4</sup> was terminated early because of a high rate of myocardial infarction in the BiPAP group. The BiPAP group contained more patients with chest pain and known coronary artery disease. They also had

higher mean creatinine kinase, and lower mean pH and Pao<sub>2</sub> at enrolment. As Cross reports, these differences between the two groups did not achieve statistical significance, but there was a trend towards worse baseline variables in the BiPAP group. With only 27 patients in this study the possibility of a type 2 error also exists. Further trials are needed to determine the role of BiPAP in the treatment of LVF.

Two of the three studies of CPAP in LVF excluded hypotensive patients. CPAP may increase cardiac output in patients with cardiac failure,<sup>5</sup> and further study is needed to confirm its safety in these patients.

In our department we treat patients with respiratory distress using CPAP for LVF and BiPAP for exacerbations of COPD. Patients who are unable to cooperate or require immediate intubation are excluded. With the use of fully portable equipment NIV is a simple technique, which has the potential to benefit many emergency department patients, and we welcome the attention drawn to this by the review article.

JASON LOUIS

PAUL YOUNGE

*Frenchay Hospital, Bristol BS16 1LE, UK*

- 1 Cross AM. Review of the role of non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department. *J Accid Emerg Med* 2000;17:79–85.
- 2 Keenan SP, Kererman PD, Cook JD, et al. Effect of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation on mortality in patients admitted with acute respiratory failure: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 1997;25:1685–92.
- 3 Pang D, Keenan SP, Cook JD, et al. The effect of positive pressure airway support on mortality and the need for intubation in cardiogenic pulmonary edema. *Chest* 1998;114:1185–92.
- 4 Mehta S, Jay GD, Woolard RH. Randomized, prospective trial of bilevel versus continuous positive airway pressure in acute pulmonary edema. *Crit Care Med* 1997;25:620–8.
- 5 Naughton MT, Atiar Rahman M, Hara K, et al. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure on intrathoracic and left ventricular transmural pressures in patients with congestive heart failure. *Circulation* 1995;91:1725–31.

EDITOR.—We read with interest the excellent review article by Anthony Cross<sup>1</sup> on the subject of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in the emergency department but would like to make the following comments.

There is little evidence to support the conclusion that NIV is “extremely useful in an emergency department setting in the first line treatment of acute respiratory distress”. As Cross points out, most of the trials in this area have been performed in an intensive care or high dependency setting where the patients were been selected by virtue that other treatments had failed. Many patients presenting to accident and emergency (A&E) with acute respiratory distress will get better after initiation of other treatments such as bronchodilators and controlled oxygen therapy (in the case of chronic obstructive airway disease (COAD)) or oxygen, nitrates and diuretics (in the case of acute pulmonary oedema). In a study of 954 COAD patients presenting to A&E in Leeds, only 25% were acidotic on arrival and of these 25% had completely corrected their pH by the time they arrived on the ward.<sup>2</sup> Similarly, in an audit of 104 patients presenting to A&E in Leeds with acute pulmonary oedema, who were all acidotic on arrival (pH <7.35), 89% improved both clinically and in terms of arterial pH before leaving A&E (unpublished data).

Cross points out that NIV has been shown to “decrease the need for endotracheal intubation”. However, these data are derived from

trials in which the intubation rate for the controls is usually very high (up to 74% in studies of COAD patients<sup>3</sup> and up to 60% in those with patients presenting with acute pulmonary oedema<sup>4</sup>). It is almost inevitable that NIV will reduce the intubation rate when the rate is already so high in the controls. In our audit in A&E departments in Leeds, only 11% of severe acute pulmonary oedema patients (respiratory rate >23/min and pH <7.35) were intubated after the usual therapy for this condition. It is much less likely that NIV, in the A&E department, would reduce this low intubation rate significantly.

Cross also suggests that "early intervention [with NIV] may avoid the risks and complications of endotracheal intubation". There is no doubt that the complication rate has been shown to be reduced by NIV in published studies,<sup>3</sup> but in others a non-significant trend towards increased mortality in those treated with NIV has been shown and attributed to delays in intubation.<sup>5</sup> It is important, therefore, to point out that NIV is not a substitute for intubation but may delay or prevent it becoming necessary in a carefully selected group of patients.

Two other points not discussed in the review are also important. Firstly, like everything else in A&E practice, there are training issues to consider when new or unfamiliar techniques, such as NIV, are used. Both doctors and nurses need to know when and how to use particular equipment and, perhaps more importantly, when not to. In particular they need to be fully trained in all the possible complications of NIV. A&E staff may not use the technique regularly and so skills will decay without proper training schemes in place.

Secondly, many of the NIV machines currently on the market do not come with a battery pack, and this may present difficulties when transfer to the ward or intensive care is required by a patient who has been started on NIV in the A&E department. Some patients (particularly those with COAD) will have a prolonged requirement for NIV and it is, therefore, important to consider investing in an NIV machine that can run from a battery.

In summary, most patients presenting to A&E with respiratory distress do not need ventilatory support. For those that do, endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation remains the gold standard. For a small group of patients with chronic lung disease needing urgent ventilatory support, NIV may be first line treatment. Chronic positive airway pressure undoubtedly has a role in patients with acute pulmonary oedema.

S D CRANE  
A J GRAY

*Accident and Emergency Department,  
St James' University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK*

M W ELLIOTT  
*Consultant Respiratory Physician,  
St James' University Hospital*

- 1 Cross AM. Review of the role of non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department. *J Accid Emerg Med* 2000;17:79-83.
- 2 Plant PK, Owen JL, Elliott MW. One year period prevalence study of respiratory acidosis in acute exacerbation of COPD; implications for the provision of non-invasive ventilation and oxygen administration. *Thorax* 2000;55:550-4.
- 3 Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al. Non-invasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:817-22.
- 4 Rasanen J, Heikkela J, Downs J, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure by face mask in acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema. *Am J Cardiol* 1985;55:296-300.
- 5 Wood KA, Lewis L, Von Harz B, et al. The use of non-invasive positive pressure ventilation in the

emergency department; results of a randomised clinical trial. *Chest* 1998;113:1339-46.

### You can't anaesthetise patients—you are not employed as an anaesthetist

EDITOR,—I would like to highlight an example of "specialty interface problem" and how the problem may be tackled. Although I was an anaesthetist for over three years and possess the anaesthetic fellowship, I have come into criticism from anaesthetists for intubating patients using anaesthetic drugs in my role as Specialist Registrar in Emergency Medicine (year 5). The first time it happened I ignored the criticism, but it has occurred since in different English hospitals. There are several issues arising out of this.

(1) There is a need to inform anaesthetic colleagues that not only is anaesthesia a core secondment but specialist registrars are keen to put the skills into practice.

(2) There is a need for individual clinicians to audit their practice of intubating patients in the emergency department. My personal logbook (kept on Microsoft Access) covers patient name, date, indication for intubation, drugs and adjuncts used (if any), morbidity and mortality. Thus it covers not only the "flat overdose patient" or "coma ?subarachnoid" but also patients who arrive in cardiac arrest unintubated.

(3) There is a risk management need, as a specialty, to nationally audit morbidity and mortality in relation to patients being intubated by emergency department staff. In addition to the criteria above, timeliness and appropriateness of intubation should be considered.

So what if we do not audit this particular area of activity? When the inevitable disaster happens the clinical governance committee of the hospital may judge rapid sequence induction and intubation to be a procedure for the elite few doctors who satisfy the following criteria: confident, competent, qualified and employed as anaesthetists!

MARK F NICOL

Correspondence to: Dr Nicol, 7 Burchill Close, Clutton, Bristol BS39, UK 5PR  
(markfnicol@hotmail.com)

## BOOK REVIEWS

**Self-assessment colour review of general critical care.** Edited by H M Horst, R Karmy-Jones. (£15.95.) Manson Publishing, 2001. ISBN 1-874545-863.

Seventy five years ago an American advertising executive wrote that "one picture is worth ten thousand words". The aphorism holds particularly true for busy junior doctors preparing for examinations. This little book offers 150 pictures; clinical images, radiographs, tables of monitored data and diagrams to explain basic principles. The book is aimed at medical students, critical care trainees and surgeons in training. However, the topics covered are not exclusive to intensive care medicine and many conditions relevant to the emergency physician are included. Trauma, toxicology and resuscitation topics are among

the 272 cases contributed by an international group of 50 intensivists.

The authors set out to assist the reader in understanding what they call "the science and Gestalt of critical care medicine". I take this to mean that the clinical formulation should be determined by interpretation of available data in the appropriate context, taking account of any technical and other confounding variables. These aims are equally appropriate to emergency medicine but I'm not sure that they are achievable in a book of this size and type.

The format is a familiar one; questions are posed on one side of the page with answers and explanations overleaf. The quality of the images is above average and the subject matter is not overly esoteric. Answers are commendably brief and informative, allowing a wide range of material to be covered fairly quickly, though not in great depth.

Accessible is the best way to describe this book, light reading in quiet moments before postgraduate examinations in critical care, anaesthesia or accident and emergency medicine. When junior doctors wore white coats it would have been crammed into many a bulging pocket. Nowadays it will reside instead in numerous clinical areas or languish on a bedside table in the on call room. I recommend that if you come across it you should pick it up and have a read.

PATRICK A NEE

*Consultant in Emergency Medicine and Critical Care Medicine, Whiston Hospital, Warrington Road, Prescot, Merseyside L35 5DR*

**Trauma care. A team approach.** Edited by D Langstaff, J Christie. (Pp 402; £29.99.) Butterworth-Heinemann, 2000. ISBN 0-7506-3502-9.

When I picked up *Trauma care* I was expecting another run of the mill text on "how to resuscitate the multiply injured". This expectation was shaken when the book fell open on the chapter "nutrition". Now I know waiting times in A&E are long, but surely no one was advocating taking the breakfast trolley into "resus"? All was revealed however as I read on. The book takes a much wider view of trauma care than is normally experienced by those of us who work in A&E. It is not designed for the nurse wanting to know how to resuscitate the multiply injured, but instead is a text outlining the delivery of optimum trauma care from the moment of injury to the re-integration of the patient into the community. The approach is fresh and is enhanced by the use of case studies to illustrate the points being made. The most compelling thing is that a large amount of the book seems to be written from the perspective of the patient—the patient's experience, the patient's needs. The chapters that focus on the psychological effects of trauma are perhaps the most sobering, but other elements of care that are important to the patient are also covered—elimination, tissue viability, hygiene and mouth care to name but a few. But don't be fooled into thinking that this is purely a nursing textbook—the contributors come from all disciplines involved in the care and rehabilitation of the trauma patient, with many team members writing their own chapters in the book. The book is made complete with considerations in the final section of the actual service delivery and the staff that work within the service.

This book has succeeded in making me rethink my often narrow approach to trauma care, and I have set myself the task of recommending it to all the areas within the hospital that have contact with "trauma patients". I hope that if I ever have the misfortune to be seriously injured I am looked after by people who have a similar patient orientated approach.

CAROLINE WILLIAMS  
Senior Sister, Accident and Emergency,  
West Wales General Hospital, Carmarthen, UK

#### Books received

**Anaesthesia databook: a perioperative and peripartum manual.** 3rd ed. By R Mason. (Pp 624; £50.00.) Greenwich Medical Media, 2001. ISBN 1-84110-067-6.

**Notes in neuroanaesthesia and critical care.** Edited by A K Gupta and A Summors. (Pp 302; £24.50.) Greenwich Medical Media, 2001. ISBN 1-84110-035-8.

---

## CORRECTION

---

Mr R A Simpson should have been acknowledged as a scan coordinator for the Journal scan that appeared in the January 2001 issue of the journal (2001;18:71-3).

---

## NOTICES

---

### 15th Annual Trauma Anesthesia and Critical Care Symposium

23-25 May 2002, Stavanger, Norway  
*Further details:* e-mail: congress-secretary@traumacare2002.com, web site: www.traumacare2002.com, tel: +47 51 74 91 02, fax: +47 51 74 70 02.

### 18th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine, incorporating the 22nd Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australasian Society for Emergency Medicine

30 September to 4 October 2001, Hobart, Tasmania

*Further details:* the programme of the meeting and details of how to register are at the web site [www.cdesh.com.au/acem2001](http://www.cdesh.com.au/acem2001)

### World Congress on Drowning

26-28 June 2002, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

*Further details:* Congress Secretariat World Congress on Drowning 2002, Consumer Safety Institute, PO Box 75 169, 1070 Amsterdam, the Netherlands (tel: +31 20 511 45 14, fax: +31 20 511 45 10, e-mail: Secretariat@drowning.nl, web site: [www.drowning.nl](http://www.drowning.nl)).