Popped eye (blunt trauma leading to penetrating eye injury and lens displacement in the pseudophakic eye)
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Abstract
Blunt trauma to the eye of patients with an artificial intraocular lens may cause globe rupture with all the accompanying sequelae. It must be recognised promptly and dealt with appropriately before urgent referral to ophthalmology.
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Case report
An 84 year old woman who had undergone left intraocular lens implantation eight years earlier attended the accident and emergency department having fallen. She sustained a left Colles fracture and a laceration of the left eyebrow. On examination her left eye demonstrated a visual acuity of hand movements only. The posterior chamber intraocular lens was removed using a wide corneal section superiorly to permit the expression of the iris and the upper aspect of an implant into the capsular bag. There was a secondary anterior chamber haemorrhage present with an accompanying pupil irregularity (fig 1).

Operative notes revealed that the patient had undergone an uncomplicated left extracapsular cataract extraction with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation eight years previously. This involved the creation of a wide corneal section superiorly to permit the expression of the cataract and to facilitate the placement of a non-foldable polymethylmethacrylate implant into the capsular bag.

Initial management of this patient included referral to ophthalmology. Intraocular lens implantation eight years earlier had been subsequently listed for the placement of an anterior chamber intraocular lens implant.

Postoperatively this patient’s visual acuity improved to 6/24 with pinhole and she has been subsequently listed for the placement of an anterior chamber intraocular lens implant.

Discussion
The Pub Med Database of the United States National Library of Medicine has in excess of nine million citations of Medline and Pre Medline Articles. This database listed 18 593 articles on eye trauma with 403 on perforating eye injuries. A search through these 403 revealed 10 relevant articles. Intraocular lens implantation, first performed 48 years ago, has revolutionised the treatment of patients with cataracts. The procedure has undergone many advances. The posterior chamber intraocular lens is now used most frequently. The iris fixated lenses are almost never used and the anterior chamber lens infrequently so. Rupture of the corneolimbic cicatrices in patients after cataract surgery is reported as happening after contusional injury.1 This most frequently happens in the first month after surgery but it has been described as happening on the day of surgery and up to 17 years after keratotomy.2

Canavan and Archer in their study on 205 patients who had suffered contusional eye injuries found that 24.5% had lens damage but most of these were not displaced.3 Margel et al in their series of five cases of traumatic expulsion of posterior chamber intraocular lenses described the triad of expulsion of the lens, iris damage and intraocular haemorrhage all of which were seen in our patient. They also found in experimentation on donor human eyes that 0.68 joules of energy, which is well below that encountered in common environmental situations, could induce this injury.4

In a clinicopathological study of ocular trauma in eyes with intraocular lenses Assia et al found, as in this case, that falling was the most common trauma to precipitate globe rupture and artificial lens extrusion. They also noted that rupture usually occurred at the pre-existing surgical wound site.5 Their study suggested that the eye with a posterior chamber lens was more resistant to trauma than eyes with the other types of artificial lenses. They felt that modern surgical techniques such as
small incisions and scleral-tunnel wound construction could be expected to render the modern pseudophakic eye more durable.2

Wolter in 1963 paraphrased Courville’s coup-contrecoup mechanism of craniocerebral injuries to explain the mechanism of ocular injury in blunt trauma. He ascribed lens displacement to a contre-coup injury.5,7 The lens is affected as it acts as an interface between the anterior chamber and posterior chamber, thus a wave of force as imparted by a blunt trauma can disrupt tissues of different densities.2

The dislocated intraocular lens instead of being extruded through the surgical wound may dislocate into the vitreous cavity, anterior chamber, or the suprachoroidal space. A case report of a posterior chamber intraocular lens being dislocated into the anterior chamber while the surgical wound remained intact is described in the literature.8

Dislocation of an intraocular lens implant may be complicated by intraocular haemorrhage and endophthalmitis as well as other sequelae of blunt ocular trauma including corneal abrasion and oedema, subconjunctival haemorrhage, ciliary body necrosis, retinal necrosis, traumatic maculopathy, macular hole formation and retinal detachment.5,7

Investigations that may be of help in this condition include computed tomography of the orbit and B scan ultrasonography.5,9

Lens dislocation after trauma in the pseudophakic eye has been well described. Current ophthalmic practice for cataract surgery involves phaco-emulsification techniques in the large majority of patients. This procedure involves small scleral or corneal sections with the insertion of a foldable lens and subsequently lens extrusion after blunt trauma will be less commonly seen. However, where it does happen, as in our patient, modern anterior segment reconstruction techniques provide a favourable prognosis.

Conclusion

Our case represents the extrusion of the lens through a dehisced corneal section after blunt injury. The importance of protecting the eye after injury with an eye shield to prevent further intraocular pressure due to direct pressure cannot be over emphasised. Many patients in the past have and a minority still undergo extracapsular extraction with wide corneal sectioning, emphasising the importance of recognising this increasingly rare complication that may be unfamiliar to many emergency physicians.
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