Population based epidemiology of ankle sprains attending accident and emergency units in the West Midlands of England, and a survey of UK practice for severe ankle sprains
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Objectives: To estimate the incidence of ankle sprains and severe ankle sprains attending accident and emergency (A&E) units; to describe current practice for severe ankle sprains in A&E units in the United Kingdom.

Methods: Crude age and sex specific incidence rates were calculated for four health districts from cases ascertained from data on seven A&E clinical information systems. Case records of patients with ankle sprains at an A&E unit in another health district were audited and the proportion of severe ankle sprains calculated. UK A&E units were surveyed about their usual treatment of patients with severe ankle sprains.

Results: The estimate of the crude incidence rate of ankle sprains was a minimum of 52.7 per 10 000, rising to 60.9 (95% CI 59.4 to 62.4) when figures were adjusted for the proportion of patients without a diagnostic code (13.7%). There were important age-sex differences with unadjusted rates observed from 127.8 per 10 000 (CI 115.5 to 140.0) in girls aged 10–14 years to 8.2 (CI 4.2 to 12.3) in men aged 70–74 years. As 14% of ankle sprains attending A&E were classed as severe, this would equate to 42 000 severe ankle sprains per year in the UK. In the UK wide survey, there was a response rate of 79% (211 of 266). Among the responders, Tubigrip was used routinely in 55%, below knee casts in 3%, and braces in 2%. Boots were not used routinely in any unit.

Conclusion: While there is considerable variation in severe ankle sprain management in UK A&E units, most are treated with the minimal mechanical support of Tubigrip.

Severe ankle sprains are associated with both short and long term disability. Their management is controversial. Acute surgical repair of damaged ligaments has been advocated, but overall there is little good evidence that it is cost effective. Casts can be useful to enable patients to return to activities more quickly, but may have the disadvantages of muscle atrophy and joint stiffness. There is some evidence that casts may be less effective than functional treatments, but the trials are generally small and of limited quality. Protected immobilisation with a brace or boot may offer the best of both worlds. Walking boots mechanically restrict ankle and subtalar joint motion, while permitting the boot to be removed at rest for a range of motion and dynamic exercises. Braces mechanically restrict inversion and eversion movements at the subtalar joint, while permitting free plantar and dorsiflexion. It has been argued that even the minimalist NHS approach of a double layer of tubular bandage is a waste of considerable resources.

To investigate existing evidence on the epidemiology of severe ankle sprains, and variations in management, we searched Medline from 1970 using the terms 1. “Sprains and Strains”; 2. Ankle injuries; 3.1 and 2; 4. (ankle$1 adj4 sprain$1),tw; 5. (ankle$1 adj4 strain$),tw; 6. (ankle$1 adj4 inver$),tw; 7. (ankle adj4 ligament$ adj4 injur$),tw; 8. or/4–7; 9.3 or 8; 10. limit 9 to human. We also searched the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, and the bibliographies of the articles we obtained. Studies describing incidence from particular populations, population based studies, are necessary to provide comparisons of the relative frequency of injuries between different areas. We found one population based study, from a county in Denmark with a population of 110 000. Holmer et al reported a crude incidence rate of ankle sprains attending the emergency department of 70 per 10 000 people per year. We found no studies on variations in management of severe ankle sprains.

The objectives of this study are: to estimate the incidence in four health districts of ankle sprains and severe ankle sprains attending accident and emergency (A&E) units; to estimate the number of ankle sprains and severe ankle sprains seen at A&E units in the United Kingdom (UK); and to describe current practice for severe ankle sprains in A&E units in the UK.

METHODS
Firstly, we undertook a population based study on four adjacent urban West Midlands health districts. They have a combined population of about 1.1 million people. The districts were: Dudley (305 000 people), Sandwell (290 000 people), Walsall (260 000 people), and Wolverhampton (242 000 people) (Office of National Statistics 1998 population estimates). This population is largely served by four A&E units located within each district. Datasets are supplied routinely from these units to the West Midlands A&E Surveillance Centre at the University of Birmingham and stored in an Access database. Cases included were defined as a first attendance at A&E between April 2000 and March 2001, and having a diagnostic code of ankle sprain. Incidence rates were calculated as the number of ankle sprains in residents of these four districts, divided by the resident population using the most recently available Office of National Statistics population estimates (1998). The 95% confidence intervals were also calculated. Some A&E records
did not have the diagnostic code field filled in. To adjust for this, it was assumed that the proportion of ankle sprains in those patients without a diagnostic code was the same as in those in whom a diagnostic code was recorded.

Secondly, we undertook a prospective audit of patients with ankle sprains attending the A&E unit at the North Staffordshire Hospital NHS Trust in May, August, November 2001, and February 2002. These four months are equally spaced throughout the year, and we assume they are representative of the year. This hospital was chosen as background data were required for a proposed randomised controlled trial, it was the base hospital of the study team, and resources were identified to undertake the work. We did not have the resources to collect data in every month, therefore months were chosen to be spaced evenly throughout the year. Data recorded by attending clinical staff on routine A&E casualty records was extracted by a research nurse or clinical auditor on a daily basis, except cases that presented at the weekend, which were reviewed on the following Monday. Severe ankle sprains were defined as those in which it was recorded that patients were non-weight bearing on first attendance, had lateral ankle tenderness, and did not have a fracture if radiographed. Cases that did not meet these criteria were excluded. A&E clinical staff in North Staffordshire are trained in the Ottawa decision rules definition for non-weight bearing, namely unable to weight bear immediately after injury and unable to walk four steps in the A&E department.8

Thirdly, we researched current practice for severe ankle sprains in the UK. A questionnaire was developed and piloted locally. It was then posted to the clinical directors/heads of department of the 266 A&E units in the UK. Those who had not responded after the first posting were sent a postal reminder, and then received a telephone reminder. Units not responding after this were considered non-responders. We did not request information on the person filling in the questionnaire, although in some cases this was given. A copy of the questionnaire used is available on the journal web site (http://www.emjonline.com/supplemental).

RESULTS

Population based incidence

We recorded 5776 new cases of ankle sprain in residents of Dudley, Sandwell, Walsall, and Wolverhampton health districts. A total of 5767 (99.7%) of these were recorded from one of the four local hospitals. The crude incidence rate of recorded ankle sprains in the four West Midlands health districts from April 2000 to March 2001 was a minimum 52.7 per 10 000 (95% confidence intervals (CI) 51.3 to 54.0).

At the four hospitals above, the data completeness for diagnosis was 88%, 100%, 89%, and 68% respectively. Of A&E records at these hospitals 86.3% (241 644 of 280 107) had a diagnostic code, and 13.7% (38 452 of 280 107) did not. When the number is adjusted for records at these hospitals without a diagnostic code, it is calculated to be 6678, a rate of 60.9 (CI 59.4 to 62.4) per 10 000 persons. If the adjusted rate is that recorded in the A&E department, the estimated number of ankle sprains in the UK (302 000×0.14) would be 42 000.

Current management survey

Our survey of current management of severe ankle sprains produced a response rate of 79% (211 of 266). Tubigrip was used to some extent in 175 of 211 (83%) units, below knee casts in 114 (54%), braces in 36 (17%), and boots in 10 (5%). Fifty five per cent (117 of 211) of units used Tubigrip for more than 73% of cases, 3% (7) below knee casts, 2% (5) braces, 0% (0) boots.

It is assumed that the information recorded and supplied to the A&E Surveillance Centre is accurate. The data supplied by North Staffordshire Hospital to the A&E Surveillance Centre could not be used to cross check data from the second study with the routine data used in the first study, given the completeness of diagnostic coding. There is no reason to doubt that the proportion of severe sprains seen in North Staffordshire is similar to those in other A&E units, and that the proportion of ankle sprains in patients without a diagnostic code is the same as that in those with one.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first we are aware of in the United Kingdom to try to quantify the incidence of ankle sprains and severe ankle sprains attending A&E departments for a geographical population. Based on our study, we estimate 302 000 new ankle sprains and 42 000 new severe ankle sprain patients attend A&E departments in the UK every year. Our survey of current UK practice for severe ankle sprains illustrates the wide variation in current practice, but that most ankle sprains are treated with the minimal mechanical support of Tubigrip.

Estimates of the total number of ankle sprains are restricted to injuries seen in A&E units. These will underestimate the true incidence rate in the general population, because a proportion of patients with ankle sprains may not seek health advice or will have been seen in an alternative healthcare setting, such as primary care. It would be expected, however, that the vast majority of patients with severe ankle sprains will be seen in an A&E unit. An unknown, but probably very small proportion of cases, will not have been ascertained because they were seen either at units outside the West Midlands, or in West Midlands units from where we do not have data.

One of the limitations of using routinely collected A&E data is that there are currently no standard data quality checks in place. Those performed are hospital specific, and are primarily designed to check that codes are valid rather
than correct. The only way of investigating the accuracy of the codes would be to manually check against the paper records, and this is outside the scope of this study. The authors are unaware of any published studies that have investigated the quality of A&E data. In the field of A&E, there is relatively little information available. The standard dataset of A&E information supplied to the West Midlands A&E Surveillance Centre is a readily available data source, containing the basic information needed for analysis. Although we are making an assumption that the data are correct, we believe that this is justified through the validation checks performed by the hospitals and a lack of any other routinely collected information.

Our incidence rates for ankle sprain cases attending A&E were 65.3 (95% CI 63 to 73) per 10 000 reported by Holmer et al., in Denmark. While Tubigrip is the predominant support given in the UK, there was considerable variation in practice. Devices such as boots or braces may become more popular in the UK. The unit costs of devices and labour to fit them is estimated to be £60 per boot (Bledsoe), £30 per brace (Aircast), £12 for below knee plaster casts, and £2 for Tubigrip (from retailers list prices, estimates of nurse time to fit devices in a pilot study, and standard NHS nursing costs at North Staffordshire Hospital NHS Trust & A&E unit). These are approximate estimates, and wide variations in price may exist between countries both in device and labour charges. Also, there is a large number of different devices available. If all severe ankle sprains in the UK were treated by one method only, this would equate to £3 million for boots, £1.5 million for braces, £0.6 million for below knee plaster, and £0.1 million for Tubigrip per year. This is a hypothetical illustration of costs, but illustrates the possible financial implications of practice change on the UK National Health Service.

There is some evidence that interventions that immobilise the ankle, such as below knee plaster, are less effective than those which permit early movement. Given the absence of commercial necessity to undertake trials of devices for the management of ankle sprains, the paucity of high quality trials in this field, and the wide range of costs of different treatments, evidence is needed to identify the most cost effective strategy of managing such a common problem.

### Table 1 Numbers and rates of ankle sprain attenders in residents of four West Midlands health districts, April 2000 to March 2001, by age (years) and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age band</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Male Rate</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Female Rate</th>
<th>All attenders</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38341</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36407</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>74748</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–9</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>35963</td>
<td>37.54</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>34600</td>
<td>46.53</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>70563</td>
<td>41.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>34078</td>
<td>101.50</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>32088</td>
<td>127.77</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>66175</td>
<td>114.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>38010</td>
<td>120.23</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>36041</td>
<td>77.41</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>74051</td>
<td>99.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>43564</td>
<td>100.58</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>44036</td>
<td>51.97</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>87609</td>
<td>76.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>41905</td>
<td>94.02</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>42861</td>
<td>59.96</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>84766</td>
<td>76.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>37053</td>
<td>89.59</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>37211</td>
<td>74.71</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>74714</td>
<td>82.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–39</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>34637</td>
<td>82.86</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>33749</td>
<td>76.15</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>68386</td>
<td>79.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–44</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>37072</td>
<td>54.49</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>36229</td>
<td>56.31</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>73300</td>
<td>53.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–49</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>30454</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>33257</td>
<td>40.89</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>67311</td>
<td>35.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–54</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>32230</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>31525</td>
<td>45.04</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>63755</td>
<td>37.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30096</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>29997</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>60093</td>
<td>28.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29718</td>
<td>15.14</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30610</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>60328</td>
<td>22.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26368</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29961</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>56329</td>
<td>15.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19418</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25838</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>45256</td>
<td>14.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13081</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21137</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34218</td>
<td>14.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6821</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14461</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21382</td>
<td>14.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3264</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10305</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13569</td>
<td>25.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>536114</td>
<td>56.01</td>
<td>2773</td>
<td>560339</td>
<td>49.49</td>
<td>5776</td>
<td>1096453</td>
<td>52.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INSTRUCTIONS

Please answer the following questions in Sections A and B unless the instructions ask you to do otherwise.

Please mark all your answers with a tick as in this example

When you have finished please check that you have answered all the questions. Please return the questionnaire in the envelope provided in the next two weeks. You do not need a stamp.

If you have any questions regarding this project please contact Darren Clement on 01782 554846.

Thank you for your help.

SECTION A-CASE SCENARIO

A skeletal mature patient presents to the Accident and Emergency Department within 48 hours of spraining their ankle. The patient has been unable to weight bear since the sprain. A fracture has been excluded.

Please answer the following questions in relation to the case scenario described above.

1. Would your Accident and Emergency Department use Tubigrip for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario?

(Please tick one box only)

- Yes (continue with question 2)
- No (go to question 3)
- Don’t Know (go to question 3)
2. If you would use Tubigrip for the management of the ankle sprain as outlined in the case scenario, how often would you use it?

(Please tick one box only)

- Routinely (approximately >75% of cases)
- Often (approximately 51–75% of cases)
- Sometimes (approximately 25–50% of cases)
- Occasionally (approximately <25% of cases)
- Not at all/never

3. Would your Accident and Emergency Department use a below the knee plaster cast for the management of the ankle sprain as outlined in the case scenario?

(Please tick one box only)

- Yes (continue with question 4)
- No (go to question 7)
- Don’t Know (go to question 7)

4. If you would use a below the knee plaster cast for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario, how often would you use it?

(Please tick one box only)

- Routinely (approximately >75% of cases)
- Often (approximately 51–75% of cases)
- Sometimes (approximately 25–50% of cases)
- Occasionally (approximately <25% of cases)
- Not at all/never
5. If you would use a **below the knee plaster cast** for the management of the sprained ankle outlined in the case scenario, what type of **below the knee plaster cast** would you use?

(Please write your answer here)

6. If you would use a **below the knee plaster cast** for the management of the sprained ankle outlined in the case scenario for how long would the **below the knee plaster cast** be used?

(Please write your answer here)

7. Would your Accident and Emergency Department use **ankle braces** (for example Aircast Airstrip) for the management of the sprained ankle outlined in the case scenario?

(Please tick one box only)

- Yes (continue with question 8)
- No (go to question 10)
- Don’t Know (go to question 10)

8. If you would use **ankle braces** for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario, how often would you use them?

(Please tick one box only)

- Routinely (approximately >75% of cases)
- Often (approximately 51–75% of cases)
- Sometimes (approximately 25–50% of cases)
- Occasionally (approximately <25% of cases)
- Not at all/never
9. If you would use **ankle braces** for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario, what type of **ankle braces** would you use?

(Please write your answer here)

10. Would your Accident and Emergency Department use **walking boots** (for example foam padded) for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario?

(Please tick one box only)
- Yes (continue with question 11)
- No (go to question 13)
- Don’t Know (go to question 13)

11. If you would use **walking boots** for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario, how often would you use them?

(Please tick one box only)
- Routinely (approximately >75% of cases)
- Often (approximately 51–75% of cases)
- Sometimes (approximately 25–50% of cases)
- Occasionally (approximately <25% of cases)
- Not at all/never

12. If you would use **walking boots** for the management of the sprained ankle as outlined in the case scenario what type of walking boot would you use?

(Please write your answer here)
13. Would your Accident and Emergency Department prescribe **early physiotherapy** (within the first two weeks of injury) for the management of the sprained ankle outlined in the case scenario?

(Please tick one box only)

- Yes
- No
- Don’t Know

14. Would you would use **any other** method for the management of the sprained ankle outlined in the case scenario?

(Please write your answer here)

Please continue with SECTION B overleaf
SECTION B-GENERAL

1. Does your Accident and Emergency Department give out **written information** to patients about the self-care of ankle sprains (for example analgesia, physiotherapy etc.)?

   (Please tick one box only)
   - Yes (continue with question 2)
   - No (go to question 3)
   - Don’t Know (go to question 3)

2. How often do you give out written information to patients about the self-care of ankle sprains?

   (Please tick one box only)
   - Routinely (approximately >75% of cases)
   - Often (approximately 51–75% of cases)
   - Sometimes (approximately 25–50% of cases)
   - Occasionally (approximately <25% of cases)
   - Not at all/never

3. Does your Accident and Emergency Department use written **clinical guidelines** for the management of ankle sprains?

   (Please tick one box only)
   - Yes (if yes, we would be grateful if you would attach a copy)
   - No
   - Don’t Know
4. Does your Accident and Emergency Department use any of the following diagnostic manoeuvres in the early management of ankle sprains (within first two weeks of injury)?

(Please tick the yes or no box for each of the options)

- (a) Anterior drawer test (Yes or No)
- (b) Talar Tilt (clinical) (Yes or No)
- (c) Talar Tilt (stress radiograph) (Yes or No)
- (d) MRI (Yes or No)

5. Could you please state what you believe is the most important unanswered question in treating severe ankle sprains.

(Please write your answer here)

6. Any other comments

It would be really helpful to have brief contact details in case of any queries.

Name:

Email:

Tel:

Please check that you have answered all the relevant questions in the questionnaire.

Please return the questionnaire to us in the next two weeks in the envelope provided.

You do not need a stamp

Thank you for your time and help in completing this questionnaire