ROLE OF “ISCHEMIA MODIFIED ALBUMIN”, A NEW BIOCHEMICAL MARKER OF MYOCARDIAL ISCHAEMIA, IN THE EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROMES
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BACKGROUND: Diagnosis of cardiac ischaemia in patients attending emergency departments (ED) with symptoms of acute coronary syndromes is often difficult. Cardiac troponin (cTn) is sensitive and specific for the detection of myocardial damage but may not rise during reversible myocardial ischaemia. Ischemia Modified Albumin (IMA) has recently been shown to be a sensitive and early biochemical marker of ischaemia.

METHODS AND RESULTS: This study evaluated IMA in conjunction with ECG and cTn in 208 patients presenting to the ED within three hours of acute chest pain. At presentation, a 12-lead ECG was recorded and blood taken for IMA and cardiac troponin T (cTnT). Patients underwent standardised triage, diagnostic procedures, and treatment. Results of IMA, ECG, and cTnT, alone and in combination, were correlated with final diagnoses of non-ischaemic chest pain, unstable angina, ST segment elevation, and non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. In the whole patient group, sensitivity of IMA at presentation for an ischaemic origin of chest pain was 82%, compared with 45% of ECG and 20% of cTnT. IMA used together with cTnT or ECG, had a sensitivity of 90% and 92%, respectively. All three tests combined identified 95% of patients whose chest pain was attributable to ischaemic heart disease. In patients with unstable angina, sensitivity of IMA used alone was equivalent to that of IMA and ECG combined.

CONCLUSIONS: IMA is highly sensitive for the diagnosis of myocardial ischaemia in patients presenting with symptoms of acute chest pain.

A

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

15 SEPTEMBER 2003

Original Article

Role of “Ischemia Modified Albumin’, a new biochemical marker of myocardial ischaemia, in the early diagnosis of acute coronary syndromes

M K Sinha, D Roy, D C Gaze, P O Collinson, J-C Kaski

Background: Diagnosis of cardiac ischaemia in patients attending emergency departments (ED) with symptoms of acute coronary syndromes is often difficult. Cardiac troponin (cTn) is sensitive and specific for the detection of myocardial damage but may not rise during reversible myocardial ischaemia. Ischemia Modified Albumin (IMA) has recently been shown to be a sensitive and early biochemical marker of ischaemia.

Methods and Results: This study evaluated IMA in conjunction with ECG and cTn in 208 patients presenting to the ED within three hours of acute chest pain. At presentation, a 12-lead ECG was recorded and blood taken for IMA and cardiac troponin T (cTnT). Patients underwent standardised triage, diagnostic procedures, and treatment. Results of IMA, ECG, and cTnT, alone and in combination, were correlated with final diagnoses of non-ischaemic chest pain, unstable angina, ST segment elevation, and non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. In the whole patient group, sensitivity of IMA at presentation for an ischaemic origin of chest pain was 82%, compared with 45% of ECG and 20% of cTnT. IMA used together with cTnT or ECG, had a sensitivity of 90% and 92%, respectively. All three tests combined identified 95% of patients whose chest pain was attributable to ischaemic heart disease. In patients with unstable angina, sensitivity of IMA used alone was equivalent to that of IMA and ECG combined.

Conclusions: IMA is highly sensitive for the diagnosis of myocardial ischaemia in patients presenting with symptoms of acute chest pain.

Methods

Patients

This study was performed at St George’s Hospital, London, UK, and was approved by the local ethics committee. We recruited, on a prospective sequential basis, patients who arrived at the ED within three hours of acute chest pain. The

Abbreviations: UA, unstable angina; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; ACS, acute coronary syndromes; NSTEMI, non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; IMA, Ischemia modified albumin; ED, emergency department; cTn, cardiac troponins; NICP, non-ischaemic chest pain; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value
enrolment period was between June and December 2001. All enrolled patients had an ECG and blood collected within two hours of arrival, and received routine institutional care blinded to the IMA results. IMA (Ischemia Technologies, Denver, Colorado, USA) and troponin T (cTnT) (Roche Diagnostics, Lewes, Sussex, UK) testing was performed before any heparin/thrombolytic treatment was started. Standardised clinical data were collected for each patient, which included time of presentation at the ED, approximate duration of symptoms and, details of the final discharge diagnosis. Pregnant women, patients with symptoms and signs suggestive of acute mesenteric ischaemia, acute renal failure, peripheral vascular disease, or brain ischaemia were not enrolled in the study.

A total of 245 patients were recruited for the study. However, 26 patients were excluded from the final analysis as a result of inaccuracy of timing for sample acquisition and a further 11 because of incomplete biochemical characterisation. Therefore the total study population comprised 208 patients, 140 men and 68 women. Mean age was 61 years (range 21–85); 49 (23.5%) were smokers; 31 (15%) were diabetic; 93 (44%) were hypertensive; 65 (31%) had a family history of coronary artery disease; and 73 (35%) received treatment for hypercholesterolaemia.

Discharge diagnoses
Practice guidelines for the redefinition of AMI (ESC/ACC) and the management of patients with UA (ACC/AHA) were used to diagnose ACS. STEMI was diagnosed if there was ST segment elevation ≥0.1 mV in two or more contiguous leads, and NSTEMI was diagnosed if ECG was non-diagnostic and cTnT >0.05 ng/ml. All 208 patients underwent serial cTnT testing at presentation and eight hours later as per institutional protocol for management of acute chest pain patients. UA was diagnosed in the presence of signs and symptoms of acute cardiac ischaemia without evidence of myocardial necrosis. Positive indications for UA were a suggestive history and clinical examination; typical ischaemic ECG changes at rest or during exercise; regional wall motion abnormality after stress echocardiography, abnormal perfusion scans or significant stenosis (>70%) on coronary angiography. Patients were classified as non-ischaemic chest pain (NIPC) when (1) a reported non-cardiac mechanism was confirmed as the cause of chest pain; (2) all of the following criteria were met: negative cTnT results on serial sampling (over a 6–9 hour interval), presence of normal ECGs, and absence of any current (lesions <70% diameter reduction in any major epicardial vessel) or previous evidence of CAD; or (3) a diagnosis of ACS was objectively excluded after admission to the coronary care unit (CCU).

ECG classification
Positive ECGs were those with ST segment depression or elevation ≥0.1 mV, or T wave inversion ≥0.2 mV (in ≥two contiguous leads). ECGs showing no ST segment shifts or T wave changes (apart from lead III or V1) were considered negative. Equivocal or uninterpretable ECGs (that is, left bundle branch block, paced rhythm, extensive pathological Q waves, and/or persistent ST segment elevation after previous AMI) were considered to be negative in this study. Each ECG was evaluated by the ED or medical consulting physician, or both, as per routine institutional practice.

Angiography and final diagnosis
Coronary angiography was carried out on 75 patients. All angiographic images were reviewed by an experienced cardiologist blinded to the patient’s clinical characteristics and biochemistry results. A positive angiogram was defined as stenosis ≥70% diameter reduction in any major epicardial vessel. Final diagnosis for this study was based on ED discharge diagnosis for patients discharged from the ED, and hospital discharge diagnosis, for patients admitted to the hospital for further investigation and management. The ED consultant or medical consultant were responsible for assigning a final diagnosis based on the history, clinical examination, serial cTnT results, and data from medical records. These included results of ECG, exercise stress testing, perfusion scans, and coronary angiography, as available.

Results of all investigations were reviewed blinded to IMA results.

Albumin cobalt binding test
IMA was measured by the albumin cobalt binding test (ACB Test) on the Roche Cobas MIRA PLUS instrument. The mechanism whereby IMA represents a marker of ischaemia is based upon the fact that human serum albumin (HSA) has the ability to bind certain transition metal ions, particularly cobalt and copper, at the N-terminus. Bar-Or has previously reported that exposure of albumin to ischaemic tissue changes the structure of HSA N-terminus such that it can no longer bind cobalt.

Blood was collected for the IMA test in serum separated tubes. Specimens were frozen at −20°C or colder within two hours. Frozen samples were gently vortexed after thawing. Specimens handled in this way showed no significant difference in assay results from the fresh specimens. In the ACB Test, 95 μl of a patient sample and 5 μl of cobalt chloride (CoII), are incubated for five minutes. During incubation, the Co(II) binds to the N-terminus of unaltered albumin in the sample; albumin for which the N-terminus is altered as a result of ischaemic processes binds to the Co(II) to a far lesser extent. After incubation, 25 μl of dithiothreitol (DTT) is added to the mixture. DTT forms a coloured complex with Co(II) that is not bound at the N-terminus of albumin, and this complex is measured spectrophotometrically at 500 nm. Duplicate IMA values were obtained with the mean recorded as the result of the assay.

In our laboratory, the ACB test within-run duplicate CV% of patient samples averaged 1.9% (range 0.0%–6.5%). We applied the IMA upper limit of normal (95th centile of 111 apparently healthy people) reported by the manufacturer. IMA values >85 U/ml were considered positive for cardiac ischaemia.

Cardiac troponin T test
Blood was collected for the cTnT test in serum separated tubes and cTnT concentrations >0.05 ng/ml were considered positive. cTnT concentrations were measured by electrochemiluminescence assay with an Elecsys 1010 analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Lewes, Sussex, UK).

Statistical analysis
A power calculation was carried out for disproving the null hypothesis that IMA will be positive in <70% of the ACS patients. The expected performance was to predict ACS 85% of the time. It was determined that at least 79 patients positive for ACS were required for 95% confidence in an observed sensitivity greater than 69.9%.

Results of IMA, ECG, and cTnT were analysed for clinical sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV), alone and in combination. The two and three test combinations of IMA, ECG, and cTnT were considered positive if any one of the tests was positive, and negative if all were negative. Performance estimates were compared using McNemar’s test for correlated proportions and confidence intervals were calculated using the exact binomial method. Comparison of median IMA values among disease conditions was performed using the Mann-Whitney
U test for independent samples. A two-tailed p value of <0.05 was considered significant. All statistical tests were performed using Analyse-it Software, United Kingdom.

RESULTS

The STARD guidelines (http://www.consort-statement.org/stardstatement.htm) were adhered to for the reporting of results from the study. Of the 208 enrolled patients, 131 were discharged with a final diagnosis of ACS and 77 with the diagnosis of NICP. Among the ACS patients, 20 had STEMI, 26 had NSTEMI, and 85 had UA (fig 1). In the UA group a clinical diagnosis was made in only 29 (34%) cases while 56 diagnoses were based upon objective testing. Of these, 38 had >70% stenosis on angiography and one patient with a previous history of CABG had minor disease affecting the grafts but severe disease affecting the native vessels. The remaining patient had normal coronary arteries but a history highly suggestive of coronary artery spasm. Five patients had a positive dobutamine stress echocardiogram and a further 11 had positive exercise stress tests.

Of the 77 patients with a final diagnosis of NICP, a clear non-cardiac cause of chest pain was identified in 47. Among the remaining 30, 12 patients underwent angiography and 18 had a negative exercise stress test. Among the 12 who underwent angiography, 10 had normal coronary arteries; one had 50% stenosis and a negative dobutamine stress echocardiogram and one had 50% stenosis and no further testing. Both patients with 50% stenosis had a positive IMA result.

Median IMA values were significantly higher in patients with ACS compared with NICP (p<0.0001), in patients with UA compared with NICP (p<0.0001), and in patients with UA compared with AMI (p = 0.02) (table 1).

Performance of IMA, ECG, cTnT, used alone and in combination, for diagnosis of ACS is presented in table 2; comparison of sensitivities for statistically significant differences are illustrated in figure 2. IMA at presentation identified 107 of 131 patients (82%, 95% CI 74 to 88), compared with 59 of 131 (45%, CI 36 to 54) by admission ECG and 26 of 131 (20%, CI 13 to 28) by admission cTnT. When IMA was used with ECG, the sensitivity increased to 92% (CI 86 to 96) and this was similar to that of IMA used with cTnT, 90% (CI 84 to 95). Sensitivity of the three tests combined was 95% (CI 90 to 98), which was significantly greater than that of IMA used with cTnT (90% (CI 84 to 95)). Sensitivity of the three tests combined was 95% (CI 90 to 98), which was significantly greater than that of IMA and cTnT combined (p = 0.02) and statistically equivalent to the sensitivity of IMA and ECG combined (p = 0.13). When ECG and cTnT were used (standard practice in our institution), only 53% (CI 44 to 62) of patients with chest pain of ischaemic origin were identified. Logistic regression indicates that IMA is a significant addition to conventional diagnostics at ED presentation increasing the area under the receiver operator curve.

Table 1  IMA median values by diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Median IMA (U/ml)</th>
<th>95% CI of median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UA or NSTEMI or STEMI</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>95.4*</td>
<td>93.8 to 99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICP</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>84.3 to 90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>97.3†</td>
<td>94.2 to 100.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI (with or without ST elevation)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>83.8 to 100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSTEMI</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>83.8 to 101.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>81.5 to 101.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from NICP (p<0.0001); †significantly different from AMI (p = 0.02). CI, confidence intervals.
characteristic (ROC) curve from 0.74, using only ECG and cTnT, to 0.83 (table 2).

The sensitivities of IMA, ECG, and cTnT, used alone and in combination, for diagnosis of UA, NSTEMI, and STEMI are presented in table 3. IMA identified more UA patients, 91% (CI 82 to 96) compared with ECG, 32% (CI 22 to 43). Identification of patients discharged with STEMI was best by ECG, 95% (CI 75 to 100), where one patient was misdiagnosed at presentation, but developed ST elevation 12 hours later. IMA was raised in 60% of STEMI patients (CI 36 to 80) compared with presentation cTnT, 30% (CI 12 to 54). Raised IMA and raised cTnT at presentation were detected in a similar number of patients with NSTEMI, 69% (CI 48 to 85) and 65% (CI 44 to 83), respectively. Only 50% (CI 30–70) of NSTEMI patients had ischaemic ECG changes on presentation.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed for the first time that the sensitivity of IMA for the diagnosis of acute ischaemic chest pain is significantly greater than that of ECG and cTnT, used alone or combined. UA with normal cTnT (cut off = 0.05 ng/ml) accounted for 65% of the positive ACS diagnoses in this study, only 32% of which were identified at presentation by ECG, while IMA identified 91%. Combining IMA with ECG did not statistically improve sensitivity for the detection of ischaemic UA compared with the IMA used alone. Our findings confirm and expand upon a previous report that in a human model of ischaemia induced by balloon angioplasty, IMA rises early after balloon inflation and levels return to baseline within 6–12 hours. The additive value of IMA was also confirmed by ROC curve analysis. Thus the addition of IMA to current standard tests may identify additional patients with IHD who could benefit from earlier treatment or more confidently rule out patients without IHD.

Conventional ED testing in patients presenting with chest pain includes ECG and cTn. In this study, the presentation ECG combined with cTnT identified 53% of patients with a discharge diagnosis of UA, NSTEMI, or STEMI. When IMA was added to either the ECG or cTnT measurements, or both, the sensitivity for diagnosis increased to 90%–95%. The ROC area under curve for IMA and cTnT combined was greater than any test on its own. However, this statistic should be interpreted with caution as this particular study population has a much higher prevalence of ACS than a typical “all comers” ED chest pain population. In a population with lower prevalence, the ROC area under curve for IMA and cTnT combined will be lower.

At present there is no gold standard for myocardial ischaemia and this is one of the main challenges faced in the testing of a marker such as IMA. In our study IMA results were compared with the final “hospital” diagnosis, which represented the “gold standard” for the purpose of the study. Final hospital diagnosis resulted from the analysis of all available clinical data—that is, the clinical history, 12-lead ECG, and biomarker results.

---

**Table 2** Presentation diagnostic tests for diagnosis of ACS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity for ACS % CI</th>
<th>Specificity for ACS % CI</th>
<th>PPV for ACS % CI</th>
<th>NPV for ACS % CI</th>
<th>ROC area under curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMA</td>
<td>82 (74 to 88)</td>
<td>64 to 79</td>
<td>59 (46 to 72)</td>
<td>46 (34 to 57)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>45 (36 to 54)</td>
<td>89 (80 to 96)</td>
<td>89 (49 to 58)</td>
<td>41 (34 to 55)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cTnT</td>
<td>20 (13 to 28)</td>
<td>99 (81 to 100)</td>
<td>96 (42 to 50)</td>
<td>35 (25 to 46)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG and cTnT</td>
<td>53 (44 to 62)</td>
<td>90 (81 to 95)</td>
<td>90 (53 to 64)</td>
<td>44 (34 to 54)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMA and cTnT</td>
<td>90 (84 to 95)</td>
<td>44 (33 to 56)</td>
<td>73 (66 to 80)</td>
<td>57 (47 to 67)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMA and ECG</td>
<td>92 (86 to 96)</td>
<td>43 (32 to 55)</td>
<td>73 (66 to 80)</td>
<td>77 (61 to 88)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMA and ECG and cTnT</td>
<td>95 (90 to 98)</td>
<td>42 (30 to 53)</td>
<td>74 (66 to 80)</td>
<td>84 (69 to 94)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of patients = 208; total positive for ACS = 131; total negative for ACS = 77.

---

**Figure 2** Comparative sensitivities for diagnosis of ACS including AMI and UA.
Table 3  Sensitivity of presentation diagnostic tests by diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>UA (n = 85)</th>
<th>NSTEMI (n = 26)</th>
<th>STEMI (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMA</td>
<td>91 (82 to 96)</td>
<td>69 (48 to 86)</td>
<td>60 (36 to 81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>32 (22 to 43)</td>
<td>50 (30 to 70)</td>
<td>95 (75 to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cTnT and cTnI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>65 (44 to 83)</td>
<td>30 (12 to 54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMA and cTnI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>81 (61 to 93)</td>
<td>95 (75 to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMA and ECG</td>
<td>94 (87 to 98)</td>
<td>81 (61 to 93)</td>
<td>100 (83 to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMA and ECG and cTnI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>96 (80 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (83 to 100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable. Data shown as percentages with 95% CI in parentheses.
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