Clearing the cervical spine of paediatric trauma patients
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Objectives: To review the evidence available for clearance of the cervical spine in children under 16 years of age after trauma, and to provide guidance to enable this to be practised safely.

Methods: A comprehensive literature review was carried out, and combined with a review of standard texts and liaison with experts.

Results: 241 papers were identified, of which 71 papers were thought possibly relevant. These were obtained and appraised. Children in whom there is concern about possible cervical spine injury may be divided into three groups. Alert, asymptomatic children with a normal examination may be clinically cleared without need for radiology. Children with cervical spine symptoms or signs require plain radiology in the first instance. Those areas that are poorly visualised or suspicious should be discussed with a paediatric radiologist and are likely to undergo computed tomography. Children with impaired conscious level require careful evaluation. Plain radiology, if normal, can be usefully complemented by early magnetic resonance imaging to exclude ligamentous and spinal cord damage.

Conclusions: There is limited evidence to guide clinicians on how to clear the paediatric cervical spine. The approach suggested is similar to adult recommendations made elsewhere, and the differences are highlighted.

DISTRIBUTION

C-spine injuries are rare in the young and tend to have more upper cervical injuries when compared with adults.7–14 These differences can be explained by the anatomy of the paediatric c-spine which becomes adult-like at about 8 years of age. The infant has a comparatively large and heavy head resulting in a different inertial force, ligaments and joint capsules are more lax, facets are more horizontal, and the vertebral bodies are wedge shaped.15 These factors predispose the c-spine to greater mobility, with high torques and shear forces being applied to C1 and C2 with increased risk of injury at those levels.7–14

MECHANISM OF INJURY

Road traffic accidents (RTAs) (particularly pedestrians hit by cars, or passengers involved in high speed vehicular accidents), sporting/playground injuries, and falls from a height are the commonest causes.4,7–14 Many of the high cervical injuries result in death at the scene, so there is a relative under-representation of these injuries in hospital series.4

The possibility of a c-spine injury, coupled with the consequences of missing such an injury, are serious. The American College of Surgeons, through the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) course, advise that all unconscious patients with an injury above the clavicles and all patients involved in high speed vehicular accidents should be assumed to have a spinal injury.20

SPINAL CORD INJURY WITHOUT RADIOLOGICAL ABNORMALITY (SCIWORA)

SCIWORA is a real phenomenon, more common in children than adults.17–20 It is defined as objective signs of myelopathy as a result of trauma with no evidence of fracture or ligamentous instability on plain spine radiographs and tomography.25 Reported incidence varies widely, but is likely to be 10%–20% of all paediatric spinal cord injuries.27 There can be a delayed onset to the "objective signs of myelopathy" (of up to four days), so neurologically normal children with a history of transient neurological symptoms should also be taken seriously. The mainstay of treatment is immobilisation, and MRI can provide prognostic information.

This review attempts to find the best evidence available to help clinicians involved in the difficult task of clearing the paediatric c-spine, highlighting differences with adults. It does not include management of specific spinal injuries.

METHOD

Literature search

A comprehensive literature search was carried out using the following:
Table 1 Differences in c-spine injuries and clearance in adults and children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology: c-spine injury</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of injury</td>
<td>RTA - occupants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-motorcyclists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sports/recreational injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penetrating trauma, for example, firearms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immobilisation</td>
<td>Usually easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Familiar and understood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiology</td>
<td>Interpretation easier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIWORA</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCIWORA, spinal cord injury without radiological abnormality.

Space between odontoid and arch of atlas can be up to 5 mm in children c.f. 2.5 mm in adults.

Soft tissues closely applied to vertebral bodies from C2–C5 in adults. Varies widely with phase of respiration in infants. > 7 mm opposite C2 is abnormal.

Anterior wedging of vertebral bodies is a developmental finding.

Absent lordosis is a frequent normal finding.

Superior and inferior facets of apophyseal joints are exactly congruous.

The four lines shown in the figure are:
1. Anterior vertebral line.
2. Posterior vertebral line (anterior wall of the spinal canal).
3. Facet line.
4. Spino-laminar line (posterior wall of spinal canal).

All 4 lines should be smooth with no steps or angulation. The spinolaminar line remains smooth even in the presence of pseudosubluxation.

Terms used (exp Cervical Vertebrae OR cervical spine.mp) AND (Child OR exp Pediatrics) AND (exp X-Rays OR X-ray.mp OR exp Radiography OR Computerised Tomography (CT) OR Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)) AND (exp Wounds and Injuries) OR Clearance. LIMIT to human and English language.

(b) The Cochrane Library

(c) A bibliographical search of those papers identified by the above.

Those papers identified were appraised in the manner recommended by Sackett et al as for a diagnostic test.

Review of standard texts

Standard texts in common use in the emergency department or radiology department were consulted. These included the manuals for Advanced Trauma Life Support, Advanced Paediatric Life Support, Emergency Medicine, Imaging Children, Caffey’s Pediatric X-ray Diagnosis: an Integrated Approach, The Clinical Practice of Emergency Medicine, and Atlas of Normal Roentgen Variants That May Simulate Disease.

Figure 1 Interpretation of lateral c-spine radiographs in children ≤ 8 years of age (Based on figure from APLS Manual, 3rd edn).

Figure 2 In those children demonstrating subluxation of C2 on C3 the posterior cervical line (PCL) of Swischuk can be drawn. This connects the anterior aspect of the spinous processes of C1 and C3. If the anterior aspect of the spinous process of C2 misses this line by >2 mm true subluxation of hangman’s fracture must be considered. This line is only applicable to those demonstrating subluxation. The distance is frequently 2 mm or more if no subluxation is apparent. (A) No subluxation. Therefore, PCL cannot be applied. Anterior aspect of spinous process of C2 commonly misses PCL by 2 mm. (B) Subluxation is present. The anterior aspect of spinous process of C2 misses the PCL >2 mm. Finding is suggestive of a hangman’s fracture of the neural arches of C2. (C) Pseudosubluxation is present. The anterior aspect of spinous process of C2 touches or lies within 2 mm of PCL. (Adapted from figure from Fesmire FM and Luten RC.)
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### RESULTS

A total of 241 papers were identified, and all their abstracts read. Altogether 71 possibly relevant papers were obtained and appraised. This proved to be a difficult task as there is no agreed reference (“gold”) standard that identifies all c-spine injuries, and many papers do not include clinical follow up, which might get around this problem.

When Sackett’s criteria were applied, the only valid study left for consideration was the NEXUS study, in which children are considered as part of a large, all age study. The analysis of the NEXUS paediatric subpopulation has been separately published.

Four papers dealing specifically with radiology in children who have suffered trauma are also reviewed. Table 2 describes their key findings and weaknesses.

### DISCUSSION

Clinicians require safe and effective protocols for clearing paediatric c-spines. Despite the limited evidence available, some recommendations can be made. Patients can be considered in three groups, based on the adult classification, but with consideration to the paediatric differences:

**Alert asymptomatic patients with a normal physical examination**

In children, as with adults, attempts have been made to identify those who do not require radiography. Any protocol must have high sensitivity even at the expense of specificity to avoid the potentially serious and costly consequences of missing even a small number of truly injured c-spines.

The national emergency x-radiography utilization study (NEXUS) evaluated a decision instrument that classified patients as having a low probability of injury if they met all of the following five criteria:

1. no midline cervical tenderness
2. no focal neurological deficit
3. normal alertness
4. no intoxication
5. no painful, distracting injury

This prospective, observational study of 34 069 patients (aged less than 1 year to 101) identified all but 8 of the 818 patients who had cervical spine injury (sensitivity 99.0%).

The NEXUS subset of patients aged <18 years have subsequently been reported. There were 3065 patients aged

### Table 2 Papers dealing with radiology of the paediatric c-spine in trauma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viccelio et al, 2001, USA (NEXUS)</td>
<td>3065 patients aged &lt;18 years in 21 centres undergoing radiography for blunt trauma</td>
<td>Prospective, observational study of a decision instrument of whether or not to undertake radiography.</td>
<td>30 spinal cord injuries. Instrument sensitivity 100% (CI 87.8 to 100.0%).</td>
<td>Small number of injured patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lally et al, 1989, USA</td>
<td>187 children who had c-spine radiographs: all fractures over a 20 year period plus all attendances over a 2.5 year period</td>
<td>Retrospective, blinded review of radiographs and review of notes.</td>
<td>16 radiological abnormalities. No injury below C4 in under 8s.</td>
<td>Small numbers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachesky et al, 1987, USA</td>
<td>2133 children &lt;18 years with c-spine radiographs for “trauma related event” over a seven year period.</td>
<td>Retrospective notes and radiological review.</td>
<td>25 radiological abnormalities. Combination of history of neck pain and vehicular accident with trauma would have identified all fractures.</td>
<td>Age of “child” not defined. Retrospective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaffe et al, 1987, USA</td>
<td>206 children 16 years or less: all children with c-spine radiographs over one year period plus all children with proven or suspected c-spine injury over a 10 year period.</td>
<td>Retrospective review of notes and radiographs</td>
<td>59 c-spine injuries, 1 SCIWORA.</td>
<td>Some outcome data. Eight variables identified as criteria for undertaking radiography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keiper et al, 1998, USA</td>
<td>52 children with history of c-spine trauma in whom 3-view c-spine plain films and CT demonstrated no fracture</td>
<td>Retrospective review of MRIs, CTs and plain films by three neuroradiologists. Clinical follow up.</td>
<td>16 positive MRIs.</td>
<td>Not blinded. 27 patients excluded for missing data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<18 years, of which 905 were aged 8 years or younger. Among those aged <18 years there were 30 spinal cord injuries, but only four in the age group 8 years or younger. All of these four were upper c-spine injuries, whereas lower c-spine injuries were more common when considering the entire paediatric group. There were no cases of SCIWORA.

The authors, while recognising that the study “does not prove definitively that the NEXUS low-risk criteria can be applied to children with complete safety” (as numbers are insufficient for this), do endorse cautiously the use of this decision instrument in children also.

The literature dealing specifically with children is in broad agreement with this (see table 2). In young children, where clinical assessment is more difficult and communication problematical, clinicians should have a low threshold for requesting radiographs, while recognising the yield is likely to be low.

**Patients with cervical spine symptoms or signs**

These patients should be immobilised and resuscitated as appropriate. They should then undergo the three standard radiography positions: AP, lateral, and open mouth views. Open mouth views are impossible in young children, because of their lack of understanding and cooperation required in holding the mouth open, and some have recommended avoiding them in children <9 years old.2 If the C7-T1 junction cannot be visualised at the first attempt, (37% in Lally’s study),3 the shoulders may be depressed by pulling on the arms, or oblique projections, or swimmer’s views may be taken.4 Repeated attempts at plain views should be avoided, and if C7/T1 still cannot be visualised, CT is advised.5 A lateral view alone (in children, as with adults) is inadequate.6 Normal alignment and uniformity in the interspi-nous distance at C7/T1 on the AP chest film helps in eliminating problems at that level.

MRI is the investigation of choice when there is a neurological deficit, and for demonstration of ligamentous or soft tissue injuries,7 8 but it is only suitable for stable patients. In children <10 years, the commonest c-spine injuries are ligamentous injuries without fracture9 some of which require surgical stabilisation.

**Patients with impaired consciousness**

These patients pose the greatest problem for the clinician, as a neurological examination is limited, and they are also at highest risk of having an injury.10 The aim when imaging these patients is to make a diagnosis, while preventing further avoidable damage to the neural structures.

Continued immobilisation without further investigation is one option. However, collars provide incomplete immobilisation, risk pressure sores (less with Philadelphia collars), increase nursing workload because of continued log rolling, and risk delayed diagnosis.

A strong case could be made for CT or MRI the c-spine of all unconscious paediatric patients. This is particularly true with the advent of helical/spiral CT, which is quicker and uses smaller doses of radiation than conventional CT.11 12 CT with reconstruction is good for bony injuries, but can miss adequate.11 Normal alignment and uniformity in the interspi-nous view alone (in children, as with adults) is inade-quate.11 Normal alignment and uniformity in the interspi-nous distance at C7/T1 on the AP chest film helps in eliminating problems at that level.

MRI is the investigation of choice when there is a neurological deficit, and for demonstration of ligamentous or soft tissue injuries,7 8 but it is only suitable for stable patients. In children <10 years, the commonest c-spine injuries are ligamentous injuries without fracture9 some of which require surgical stabilisation.

In the care of the comatose traumatised adult, there has been considerable discussion regarding the use of dynamic flexion-extension studies under image intensification.13 14 15 Clancy has previously summarised the pros and cons of dynamic fluoroscopy compared with MRI.16 Dynamic fluoroscopy is a cheaper, “bedside” test, but with potential risk of injury to the brainstem or spinal cord from move-ment of the spine if there is unsuspected fracture or instability. MRI is more expensive and difficult to undertake for this patient group, but no false negatives have been reported for ligamentous instability. It also avoids the risks of dynamic fluoroscopy. In the paediatric age group there are insufficient data published for the use of dynamic fluoro-scopy.16 In the small minority of children who remain comatose at 48 hours MRI must be regarded as the safest way to clear the c-spine.

**CONCLUSION**

Clearance of the paediatric c-spine is difficult but the principles are the same as for adults. Alert, asymptomatic children with no distracting injuries and normal clinical examination may be cleared clinically. In obtunded patients more detailed imaging is necessary. CT (for example, spiral CT post-resuscitation) provides the best imaging of bony injury, and MRI at 48 hours for those who remain obtunded would be an achievable goal (in the absence of evidence) for most large UK hospitals.

We strongly recommend to readers a comprehensive literature review Guidelines for Management of Acute Cervical Spinal Injuries,27 which deals with a broad range of issues, many relevant to the practice of emergency medicine. This was published after our paper was submitted. These two independent reviews have reached similar conclusions.
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