CASE REPORT

Compartment syndrome after thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction
A Reuben, E Clouting

The use of various forms of thrombolytic treatment in acute myocardial infarction has been widespread for many years and their potential to cause haemorrhagic complications well recognised. Haemorrhagic sequelae are usually minor and consist of oozing from venepuncture or cannula sites or minor haemorrhage from mucosal membranes. The potential for more serious bleeding complications is acknowledged, but is fortunately less common. Isolated cases of compartment syndrome have been reported in the past, but these usually follow some degree of local trauma. The case is reported of a patient who developed atraumatic compartment syndrome of the thigh after single dose thrombolysis.

A previously healthy but sedentary 61 year old man presented to the emergency department in November 2001 after an episode of chest pain. He described a several hour history of crushing central pain and an electrocardiograph (ECG) performed shortly after arrival showed ST segment elevation in the inferior leads and accordingly the diagnosis of inferior myocardial infarction was made. Treatment was carried out according to a standard protocol and having already received 300 mg aspirin, he was given streptokinase 1.5 million units intravenously less than half an hour after arrival. Within two hours he began to complain of some discomfort in his left leg, describing a dull ache localised to the anterior aspect of his thigh with no obvious precipitants and no history of trauma or intramuscular injection. Examination at this point was unremarkable, with no swelling or focal tenderness and no evidence of distal neurovascular compromise. He was treated with simple analgesia and the pain settled. A further two hours later the patient was reviewed when the pain returned and this time failed to settle. Examination now showed an obviously swollen upper leg, with a tense anterior compartment but soft posteriorly with normal pedal pulses and sensation. This raised the concern of possible spontaneous haemorrhage in to the anterior fascial compartment of his thigh with increasing intra-compartmental pressures. He was reviewed by the on call orthopaedic team who felt there was a high index of suspicion of a developing compartment syndrome. He was taken to theatre within the hour where he underwent a fasciotomy of the anterior fascial compartment of his left thigh with increasing upper pressure, culminating in increasing pressure, reduced venous flow, and tissue ischaemia. Clinical diagnosis is often difficult. While there is clearly potential for spontaneous bleeding within a fascial compartment after thrombolytic therapy, spontaneous haemorrhage after treatment with a single thrombolytic agent has not previously been reported. This case highlights the need to maintain a high index of suspicion of spontaneous bleeding from any site after thrombolysis.
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