Foreign bodies in the ear: a simple technique for removal analysed in vitro
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Objective: Foreign bodies in the ear are mainly encountered in children. This can often pose a problem especially in an accident and emergency department where a microscope or expert help is not routinely available. This paper presents a simple, safe, and effective way of ear syringing. The ease and simplicity of the procedure along with the equipment are described.

Method and result: The equipment consists of a “disposable” sterile kit, consisting of a 20 ml syringe, saline at body temperature and 14 or 16 gauge cannula (without the needle). An in vitro experiment was conducted to calculate the pressure generated by the water jet on the eardrum. The pressure was well below the pressure required to burst a tympanic membrane, and hence this technique is safe to use.

Conclusions: Ear syringing is an effective and easy way of removing most foreign bodies. A detailed history and an otoscopic examination must precede the procedure. The novel method of syringing described in this paper with the usual safeguards could be a useful adjunct in the management of this common condition.

FOREIGN BODIES IN THE EAR

Foreign bodies in the ear are encountered mainly in children, who can push items of jewellery, food, or any small object that comes to hand into the ear. Such children need careful management so that they are not frightened or hurt. Most children can be convinced to have their ear cleaned with syringing.

The traditional methods of ears syringing use the metal syringe and the electric pulsed water syringe. Both methods have disadvantages, such as availability of syringes and the proved risk of ear damage during manual syringing. The metal syringe is filled with water from a receiver and the water stream is directed into the ear canal manually. The electric syringe has an internal pump which sends pulsed jets of water from an attached reservoir through a nozzle into the ear canal.

Here we describe a simple assembly for safe syringing. The advantages of the equipment include easy assembly and availability of sterilised equipment, and safe operability.

NOVEL SYRINGING TECHNIQUE

Equipment

The disposable kit consists of a 20 ml Luer lock syringe and a 14/16 gauge cannula (without the needle) secured to its tip (box 1). The patient is informed about the procedure and protected by a waterproof cape over their shoulder. The tip of the cannula is introduced into the ear canal at an angle of 45° in a posterior and superior direction away from the ear drum to direct the saline stream towards the roof of the canal. A receptacle is placed under the affected ear.

In vitro analysis

Our main concern about this novel technique was whether the pressure would damage the ear drum. To measure the pressure exerted by a jet of saline on the tympanic membrane, and to determine its safety, an experiment was conducted to determine the maximum pressure exerted by the jet of saline on the diaphragm based on simple principles of physics.

$$P = \frac{F}{A}$$

where $$P$$ = pressure, $$F$$ = force, and $$A$$ = area. Thus pressure in a syringe is equal to the ratio of force to area. Thumb pressure on a 20 ml syringe can produce a force of 25 newtons (N). The area of a plunger in a 20 ml syringe is $$2.5 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{m}^2$$, so pressure of 100 kPa (≈1 atmospheric pressure or 750 mm Hg) can be produced.

A diaphragm (fig 1) made of Biogel surgical glove, the “in vitro tympanic membrane”, was attached to the barrel of a 3 ml syringe the piston of which had been removed. (We used a 3 ml syringe because its internal diameter corresponds to the diameter of the tympanic membrane.) This was attached to a saline filled pressure transducer set (Edward Lifesaving type) which was further connected to an Ohmeda Module cardiovascular monitoring anaesthetic system. The whole system was zeroed to a table top with the 3 ml Luer lock syringe attached. A jet of saline was delivered in 10 ml boluses onto the diaphragm from a distance of 2.5 cm. The maximum pressure generated after six runs with each cannula was as follows:

- 14 gauge cannula – 8 kPa (60 mm Hg)
- 16 gauge cannula – 6.6 kPa (50 mm Hg)
- 18 gauge cannula – 4 kPa (30 mm Hg)

Box 1: Ear syringing kit

- 20 ml disposable syringe
- 14 or 16 gauge cannula
- Receptacle × 2
- Waterproof cape or “incontinence” sheet
- Normal saline at body temperature
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Syringing of the ear for removal of foreign bodies is a well described technique. Rupture of the tympanic membrane during syringing is a rare but unpleasant event. The use of a pulsed electric syringe for the removal of a foreign body in the ear has been described, but it is not always available in an ENT or an A&E set-up. An oral jet irrigator with a special "ear irrigating tip" attachment for syringing the ear has also been used to provide better pressure control and to direct the water jet more precisely. The pressure exerted on the ear drum by a metal syringe can be much greater than 100 kPa. Although the normal tympanic membrane has a median rupture pressure of 121.6 kPa (range 50.6–202.6), in atrophic membranes a median rupture pressure of 60.8 kPa has been reported. Blake et al., in their report of litigation claims stated that the metal syringe will not perforate a healthy eardrum if used properly. Bapat et al. provided further evidence of complications arising due to faulty technique. They described a case of audiovestibular damage due to the nozzle being inserted too far down the external auditory canal.

Application of the technique
We have successfully used this method of ear syringing to remove foreign bodies in 23 children presenting to our Accident and Emergency (A&E) department. Patients who refused to undergo the procedure and those with impacted or hygroscopic (for example beans) foreign bodies were referred to ENT.

Table 1 Methods of syringing: advantages and disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handheld syringe</td>
<td>Can be used in any setting</td>
<td>Difficult to manipulate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheap</td>
<td>Hard to control pressure of water jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to obtain</td>
<td>Can damage ear due to too much pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quiet during operation</td>
<td>Nozzle can go adrift if not secured properly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All advantages of the handheld syringe</td>
<td>No other disadvantages except for the routine precautions for syringing of the ear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safe to use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposable assembly (our method)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water pressure is controlled</td>
<td>Requires electrical supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jet can be directed precisely</td>
<td>Needs flat surface close to the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot switch gives instant start/stop control</td>
<td>Noisier than manual syringe method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulsed jet assists removal of foreign body</td>
<td>Bacteria (Pseudomonas) can build-up if water is left in the apparatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric syringe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precautions and contraindications
It is always a good idea to let the child or the parent/guardian know that if the foreign body is not removed in A&E they will be referred to a specialist. Syringing should be avoided in the following situations:

- obvious signs of infection and ear discharge
- recurrent otitis externa, which may be exacerbated by syringing
- previous/present tympanic membrane perforation or grommet
- previous ear surgery
- uncooperative patient
- impacted hygroscopic (for example beans) foreign bodies.

CONCLUSION
Syringing of the ear for removal of foreign bodies is far from a new technique. We have presented a novel syringing method including an in vitro analysis of its safety. We would like to draw attention to the easy assembly, operability, and availability of sterilised equipment for this method. The pressure generated by a 20 ml syringe connected to a
14 gauge cannula is far less than the minimum pressure required to perforate an ear drum, and yet it has proved sufficient to remove most foreign bodies seen in our A&E department. A timely referral to a specialist must be considered for uncooperative patients and for those with impacted or hygroscopic foreign bodies.
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