The use of a template to improve the management of distal radial fractures
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Objective: The aim of this study was to reduce the number of inappropriately managed distal radial fractures with the use of a template.

Methods: A template has been developed to aid junior doctors and emergency nurse practitioners (ENPs) to decide which distal radial fractures require manipulation. Emergency Department (ED) junior doctors and ENPs were asked to review the radiographs of 12 distal radial fractures with and without the template and comment on whether the fracture required manipulation.

Results: There was an improvement in appropriate management with the template of 16.6% for the junior doctors and 22.3% for the ENPs. This was statistically significant for both groups when the results were analysed with a paired t-test (p<0.01).

Conclusions: We conclude that the availability of this template in the ED may be helpful to junior doctors and ENPs, and represents a low cost and efficient way of reducing the number of patients who attend a fracture clinic with a distal radial fracture in an unsatisfactory position. This may also reduce the numbers requiring admission and a manipulation under anaesthetic, which spares the patients the risks of general anaesthesia and may possibly be cost saving.

Distal radial fractures can displace in a variety of directions and different fractures will demonstrate one or all of the displacements. The main patterns with reference to the distal fragment are dorsal tilt, dorsal displacement, radial displacement, and radial shortening. The literature and medical staff often refer to a dorsally angulated fracture. As McRae points out, the accurate method of describing angulation is in terms of the apex of the fracture and the therefore the commonly described dorsally angulated fracture is in fact apex anterior angulation. A less confusing and accurate term is to describe this displacement as dorsal tilt.

A template has been developed for the benefit of junior doctors and ENPs working in the ED aimed at helping them identify those fractures that require reduction.

Methods

A 12 month review of all adult distal radial fractures that underwent a MUA was performed at a District General Hospital. A total of 71 distal radial MUs had been performed. Ten sets of notes could not be traced but the fracture management path could be followed for the other 61. The findings are illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1 illustrates that 33 fractures were recognised as needing surgical intervention and were referred direct to the orthopaedic team. However, eight displaced fractures had no attempted reduction in the ED and required admission from fracture clinic for a manipulation. A further 15 patients who had reductions in the ED were admitted at their first fracture clinic because the position was unacceptable. A review of the radiographs showed that 7 of the 15 fractures (47%) were not reduced to a satisfactory position on the ED post-reduction film. Five distal radial fractures were reduced in the ED to a satisfactory position but re-displaced at a later date.

Having established a need for a template, a study was undertaken to assess the practicality and impact that such a device may have on management of distal radial fractures. A template was designed with four key measurements (fig 1). The first is concerned with dorsal tilt (angulation) with the acceptable limit set at 10° (fig 2). The second is radial shortening of which 3 mm is the acceptable limit (fig 3). Up to 2 mm of radial shift (fig 4) and dorsal displacement (fig 5) is acceptable.

A series of twelve radiographs with distal radial fractures was assembled. Three were of undisplaced fractures and nine
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were taken from the groups identified by the initial review whose management could be improved. Twelve ED junior doctors and six ENPs were asked to review the radiographs and decide, individually, whether the positions of the fractures were acceptable or required manipulation on appearance alone.

They were then shown the template (fig 1) and given a five minute tutorial on how to use it. Immediately afterwards, they were shown the same series of radiographs in a different order and asked to determine which fractures required manipulation and which were acceptable using the template. There were also three feedback questions aimed at establishing how helpful subjects felt the template had been to them. Each answer was rated from one to four: one being not at all useful and four being very useful.

The results were collated and analysed using the paired t test with a p value of 0.05 being significant.

RESULTS
The results are tabulated in table 2.

All but two junior doctors improved their scores when using the template (table 2). The average per cent correct increased by 16.6% on first use of the template. All the ENPs increased their score with the template by an average of 22.3% (table 3). The results for both groups are statistically significant (p<0.01) when a paired t test is used for analysis.

In answering the question “Did you find the template useful in making your decision?”, all but one found it useful as illustrated in table 4.

Similarly, all but one felt that such a template would prove useful to have in the ED and was user friendly.

DISCUSSION
There were eight people in our audit who underwent a general anaesthesia manipulation because the degree of

Table 1 Results of manipulation under anaesthetic audit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture management</th>
<th>Number of cases (total 61)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referred from ED to orthopaedics on call</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No reduction in ED</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in ED but position unacceptable at first fracture clinic</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in ED, satisfactory position at first fracture clinic, slipped at later date</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Wrist template showing all four key measurements
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Figure 2 Enlarged view of dorsal angulation (dorsal tilt)
displacement had not been recognised. A further seven patients had not had an adequate reduction necessitating an MUA. Together these two groups account for a quarter of all MUAs performed on distal radial fractures over a 12 month period. The introduction of a template, at minimal cost, may reduce the number of delayed general anaesthetic manipulations. The advantages to the individual are clear when one considers that this predominantly elderly population is most at risk from a general anaesthetic.

Certainly, the optimum time for reduction of a displaced radial fracture is at outset when pain relief for a manipulation can be performed with a fracture haematoma block as is common practice in most EDs. Delay in fracture reduction usually requires an MUA because of a less effective haematoma block and the increased difficulty in reduction. An unnecessary MUA and therefore hospital admission avoided by the introduction of a template may also equate to a cost issue to a hospital trust. Clearly, the availability of a template is not going to remove the need of a general anaesthetic manipulation for all of these patients but it could improve their management.

A significant improvement in recognition of substantial displacement was achieved by the junior doctors and ENPs in their first use of the template. With regular use this improvement is likely to increase. It could be argued that one would expect judgement to improve with experience. If this were entirely the case, we would have expected the three junior doctors with previous ED experience to have performed as well without the template as with it. In fact, all this group improved their scores when using the template.

Size difference between individual’s bones proved not to be the problem we anticipated, with the template adequately fitting all radiographs tested so far. Any discrepancies can be further minimised by standardising the focal length used for radiographs.

Table 2  Junior doctor scores attained with and without the template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Junior doctor</th>
<th>Score without template (out of 12)</th>
<th>Score with template (out of 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average % correct</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 3 Enlarged view of radial shortening

Figure 4 Enlarged view of radial shift

Figure 5 Enlarged view of dorsal displacement

A significant improvement in recognition of substantial displacement was achieved by the junior doctors and ENPs in their first use of the template. With regular use this improvement is likely to increase. It could be argued that one would expect judgement to improve with experience. If this were entirely the case, we would have expected the three junior doctors with previous ED experience to have performed as well without the template as with it. In fact, all this group improved their scores when using the template.

Size difference between individual’s bones proved not to be the problem we anticipated, with the template adequately fitting all radiographs tested so far. Any discrepancies can be further minimised by standardising the focal length used for radiographs.

Although most junior doctors and ENPs found the template easy to use, some did comment on making it more user friendly by labelling the template Left and Right as a reminder to flip the template over when encountering a Left wrist radiograph. Furthermore, a thumb could be included to distinguish volar from dorsal side. Van der Linden and Ericsson concluded that the displacement of a distal radial fracture may be adequately described by two measurements alone, dorsal tilt and radial shift, because other forms of displacement are directly related to them. The template could be simplified by using just two measurements of displacement.

In reality, most trauma and orthopaedic surgeons would accept more than 10° dorsal tilt in an elderly person. Indeed, Dias et al found that manipulation had no advantage over...
conservative management in patients over 55 years of age, with up to 15° dorsal angulation acceptable. Kelly et al. found that in some patients over 65 years old, up to 30° dorsal angulation could be accepted without significant deterioration in functional result. However, there are just as many studies that claim near anatomical reduction is key to achieving good functional and cosmetic results and that no more than 10° dorsal angulation should be accepted. This is why the acceptable limit was set at 10° in our study.

Nevertheless, the design of the template is sufficiently simple that its limits could easily be tailored to the practices of an individual hospital.

The use of a template to improve the decision-making of junior doctors and ENPs with regard to distal radial fractures. At the cost of an overhead projector transparency the use of this device could reduce the number of inappropriately treated distal radial fractures and potentially reduce the numbers of patients requiring a MUA.
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Table 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emergency nurse practitioner</th>
<th>Score without template (out of 12)</th>
<th>Score with template (out of 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average % correct</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>84.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Not at all useful/not easy</th>
<th>Not useful/easy</th>
<th>Useful/easy</th>
<th>Very useful/easy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Template for decision making</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful in A&amp;E department</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>