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Background: Emergency airway management for trauma adults is practised by physicians from a range of training backgrounds and with differing levels of experience. The indications for intubation and technique employed are factors that vary within EDs and between hospitals.

Objectives: To provide practical evidence based guidance for airway management in trauma resuscitation: first for the trauma adult with potential cervical spine injury and second the management when a difficult airway is encountered at intubation.

Search strategy and methodology: Full literature search for relevant articles in Medline (1966–2003), EMBASE (1980–2003), and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. Relevant articles relating to adults and written in English language were appraised. English language abstracts of foreign articles were included. Studies were critically appraised on a standardised data collection sheet to assess validity and quality of evidence. The level of evidence was allocated using the methods of the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council.

Immediate management of the compromised or “at risk” airway is crucial in trauma. Current variability in the process of airway management and intubation suggests there is need for a more consistent, evidence based approach. Physicians from a variety of backgrounds and experience undertake management of the airway in the resuscitation room. A systematic review of the literature will provide an invaluable education resource to guide airway management of trauma adults in the emergency department (ED).

Trauma adults are at particular risk of associated cervical spine (c-spine) injury with a 2–5% risk of c-spine trauma4–10 of which up to 14% will be unstable.11 12 At the time of emergency intubation,13–18 and Jaberi demonstrated 32.3% of these were within 30 minutes of arrival in the ED.19 Complications arise as a result of emergency intubations,15 19–21 but failure or delay in securing an adequate airway appear to cause unacceptably high morbidity and mortality rates.22–24 Rapid intubation when appropriate will need to minimise any movement of the c-spine with consideration of associated risks of the procedure.25–27 Complications, such as aspiration of gastric contents and the subsequent lung injury, are independent factors increasing morbidity and mortality in this group of patients.28 29 Evidence based guidelines for trauma adults requiring emergency intubation in the ED have not been so widely researched. Previous reviews of the scientific literature have identified the key indications to intubate a trauma patient.10 This review aims to provide evidence based guidance for the technique of airway control in trauma adults with potential c-spine injury and to optimise patient care when a difficult airway is encountered.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were to perform systematic reviews of the literature to provide evidence based recommendations for emergency airway management in two scenarios:

1. In the trauma adult with potential cervical spine injury requiring emergency intubation in the ED, what is the optimal technique to secure the airway? (evidence—table 1).

2. In the trauma adult requiring emergency control of the airway, what is the best treatment algorithm to follow for management of a difficult airway? (evidence—table 2).

Abbreviations: c-spine, cervical spine; ED, emergency department; LMA, laryngeal mask airway; MERGE, method of evaluating research and guideline evidence; MILS, manual in-line stabilisation; NHMRC, National Health and Medical Research Council; RSI, rapid sequence induction and intubation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/year</th>
<th>Level of evidence (bias code)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brimacombe 1995**</td>
<td>I (a)</td>
<td>Meta analysis of studies studying risk of aspiration with LMA. No specific to trauma, most studies are elective patient studies with data collected up to 1993.</td>
<td>LMA adequate for low risk patients treated with caution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asai 2000*</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised clinical trial; 124 elective patients. 40 had MILS and cricoid and 84 patients had no spinal precautions. They underwent fiberoptic intubation +/- LMA. Faster and easier intubation when LMA used with fibrescope. Excluded Mallampati 3 &amp; 4.</td>
<td>Fiberoptic intubation facilitated by LMA when MILS and cricoid employed better than fiberoptic alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brimacombe 1993**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover trial; 80 elective pts LMA +/- MILS.</td>
<td>LMA with MILS 95% correct placement v 100% without MILS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brimacombe 2000**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover on 10 cadavers with destabilised C3 and MILS. Tested for degree of c-spine movement with face mask ventilation, orotracheal intubation, fiberoptic nasal ETT, combitube, iLMA, LMA. But how much c-spine movement is significant? Extraposition of simulated views to trauma is unknown.</td>
<td>Fiberoptic nasal ETT causes least displacement of the c-spine. Face mask ventilation displaced c-spine the same as LMA/LMA. LMA causes less movement than combitube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerling 2000*</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover on 14 cadavers with C5-6 transaction intubated with either MILS or hard cervical collar, sandbags, and tape. Movement of c-spine recorded.</td>
<td>MILS better than sandbags and tape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keller 1999*</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover trial on 20 cadavers comparing iLMA &amp; LMA with ETT. Pharyngeal pressures and c-spine movement measured. No neck stabilisation employed.</td>
<td>LMA and iLMA exert more pressure and displacement than ETT on c-spine. Laryngeal mask only recommended if difficulties are expected or encountered with ETT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan 1993**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised clinical trial; 157 elective patients compared using ETT alone or with bougie when MILS/cricoid in place. Laryngoscopy view reduced in 45% pts when MILS and cricoid applied. Bougie increased rate of successful intubations.</td>
<td>Gum elastic bougie recommended as aid to intubation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennant 1993*</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover trial; 28 elective patients comparing ETT and LMA. Hard cervical collar in situ. No MILS applied. Not trauma patients.</td>
<td>Hard collar reduces mouth opening by 60%. MILS faster and easier than ETT but does not protect against aspiration so recommended only when ETT fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith 1999**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised clinical trial; 87 elective patients comparing fibrescope and direct laryngoscopy. MILS in situ. Excluded Mallampati 3 &amp; 4.</td>
<td>Fibreopscopic gave comparable rates of successful intubations to direct laryngoscopy. Fibreoscopy needs training and not commonly available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall 2001**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised clinical trial; 40 elective patients comparing direct laryngoscopy and iLMA. C-spine not immobilised. x Rays used to assess c-spine movement. Success rate with ETT 100%, iLMA 92%. iLMA slower but caused less movement at C1-2.</td>
<td>Direct laryngoscopy was the fastest way to secure an uncomplicated airway. iLMA is a viable alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts 1997**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover; 29 elective patients intubated with Bullard and Macintosh scopes, with and without MILS/cricoid. Bullard resulted in less cervical extension but had prolonged time to intubation. Bullard scope not commonly available.</td>
<td>Macintosh faster but slightly poorer views. Rate of first intubations comparable with Bullard scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatoura 1996**</td>
<td>II (b1)</td>
<td>Randomised clinical trial; 100 elective pts with simulated grade 3 views glottis intubated with aid of stylet or flexible bougie.</td>
<td>Bougie got higher success rates intubation than stylet (96% v 66% in 2 attempts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carley 2000**</td>
<td>II (b2)</td>
<td>Short cut review; McCoy v Macintosh for best view of cords, McCoy better views of cords. Medline search only</td>
<td>McCoy better than Macintosh to view cords when C-spine is immobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carley 2001**</td>
<td>II (b2)</td>
<td>Short cut review finding one important paper about the Gum elastic bougie in difficult intubation (Nolan 1993)**. Medline search only</td>
<td>Gum elastic bougie facilitates intubation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoue 2002**</td>
<td>II (b2)</td>
<td>Randomised clinical trial; 148 patients for c-spine surgery light wand or iLMA with neck in neutral position. Bias possible as 7.5% patients excluded. Why?</td>
<td>Light wand success 97.3% and faster, iLMA 73% success (using fibrescope when needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones 2002**</td>
<td>II (b2)</td>
<td>Short cut review; Bougie or stylet in simulated grade 3 intubations. Medline search only</td>
<td>Bougie faster and higher success rate than stylet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maddreyne 1999**</td>
<td>III-1 (a)</td>
<td>Randomised crossover, 10 elective pts. Macintosh compared with McCoy laryngoscope, hard collar in situ. C-spine movement assessed on x ray. Unable to blind staff and 4/10 cases had problems with x rays.</td>
<td>Greatest movement at C1-2 with no significant difference between laryngoscopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donaldson 1997**</td>
<td>III-2 (a)</td>
<td>Non-randomised crossover cadaver study. 6 cadavers assessed for c-spine movement on simple airway manoeuvres, intubation orally and nasally with MILS in situ—pre and post C1–2 osteotomy</td>
<td>c-spine movement with chin lift and jaw thrust noted. No advantage for nasal intubation shown on amount of c-spine movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lennan 2001**</td>
<td>III-2 (a)</td>
<td>Non-randomised crossover on 10 cadavers +/- C4,5 destabilisation. Movement examined with no c-spine stabilisation, MILS, or Gardner-Wells traction.</td>
<td>MILS shown as the best method to minimise c-spine movement for ETT. Traction caused excess distraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majernick 1986**</td>
<td>III-2 (a)</td>
<td>Non-randomised clinical trial; 16 elective patients comparing c-spine movement at intubation with either no c-spine immobilisation or hard cervical collar or MILS. Unable to blind staff. Not randomised into groups. Small numbers.</td>
<td>MILS gives least movement during intubation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heath 1994**</td>
<td>III-2 (b1)</td>
<td>Non-randomised crossover; 50 elective patients intubated with no immobilisation, MILS, or sandbags and tape. Mallampati grade 3/4 in 64% with sandbags v 22% using MILS.</td>
<td>66% had better scope views with MILS rather than sandbags/tape. Poor mouth opening noted when wearing collar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donaldson 1993**</td>
<td>III-2 (b2)</td>
<td>Non-randomised crossover trial on 5 cadavers with and without destabilisation at C5-6. Assessed for c-spine movement with chin lift/jaw thrust, cricoid pressure, ETT +/- MILS, nasal ETT, and tracheostomy. MILS not employed throughout.</td>
<td>All techniques move c-spine including simple airway manoeuvres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salkes 1988**</td>
<td>IV (b1)</td>
<td>Prospective review of tracheal intubations in the ED (47.7% trauma); RSI used in 89% with success in 99.2%. Success rate in those intubated without neuromuscular blockade was 91.5%.</td>
<td>RSI preferred technique for intubation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criswell 1994*</td>
<td>IV (b2)</td>
<td>Retrospective review of patients with spinal injuries requiring intubation at trauma centre. 73 patients intubated using RSI, cricoid, and MILS with no neurological sequelae.</td>
<td>RSI safe and preferred method with potential spinal injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konishi 1997**</td>
<td>abstract only</td>
<td>Comparison of c-spine movement using McCoy, Macintosh, and Miller laryngoscopes at intubation.</td>
<td>McCoy caused least c-spine movement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 In the adult with potential cervical spine injury requiring emergency intubation in the resuscitation room, what is the optimal method to achieve a secure airway? Evidence from systematic reviews of the literature

c-spine, cervical spine, ED, emergency department; ETT, endotracheal tube; iLMA, intubating laryngeal mask airway; LMA, laryngeal mask airway, MILS, manual in-line stabilisation; RSI, rapid sequence induction and intubation
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Cervical spine injury and difficult airway management for emergency intubation of trauma adults

CRITERIA FOR INCLUSION IN THIS STUDY
Randomised controlled trials were the preferred source of evidence but in the absence of sufficient material other levels of evidence were considered. Articles relating to adults and English abstracts from relevant foreign language studies were included. Descriptive, opinion based studies, case reports, letters to the editor, and articles relating to children were excluded.

SEARCH STRATEGY
Based on the key clinical questions above, electronic databases of Medline, Cochrane and EMBASE, using the Ovid interface, were searched. The internet was searched using the Google search engine. The Medline search included the 1966 to September 2003 database. The EMBASE search included references from 1980 to 2003. The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials was searched in September 2003. References generated from the search were accessed for relevance to the key questions by their titles and abstracts wherever possible. Relevant studies were obtained and their associated references also hand searched for inclusion. The following keywords and combinations were used. C-spine injury search: exp spinal injuries; fractures or spinal fractures; exp cervical vertebrae; exp “wounds and injuries”; emergency; exp intubation, intratracheal; anaesthesia or anaesthesia, intratracheal or anaesthesia, intravenous rapid sequence (intubat$ or induct$). Difficult airway search: exp intubation, inttracheal; difficult (airway or intubation); emergency; exp “wounds and injuries”; trauma; guidelines or recommendations or options or protocols.

SELECTION CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY
A working group was established prior to the onset of the study represented by all specialties involved in emergency airway management likely to be affected by implementation of the recommendations. Sixteen specialists from backgrounds of anaesthesia, trauma, intensive care, epidemiology, pre-hospital retrieval teams, and the ED were involved; both rural communities and teaching hospital environments were represented. The Cochrane Reviewers’ Handbook (available online at http://www.cochrane.org/cochrane/hbook.htm—accessed 14 November 2005) and the Cochrane Anaesthesia Review Group methods were used as a basis for the process of the review. Selected studies underwent a validated method of critical appraisal on a tabulated methodology checklist; summarised data were extracted to standardised data collection tables. Studies were graded for levels of evidence according to a system recommended by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia. The quality of evidence, relevance to the key question, and the strength of the evidence were factors also considered. The method of evaluating research and guideline evidence (MERGE) assessment tool was used to describe the degree of bias in each article undergoing critical appraisal. All papers were appraised by either a consultant epidemiologist or the first author. For purposes of validation and objectivity, 10% of the articles were re-assessed by a second physician to ensure consistency of opinion on the appraisal.

The joint expertise and experience of the working group enabled recommendations explicitly linked to the supporting evidence and graded according to the strength of that evidence (Table 1). The considered judgement for each recommendation took into account the volume of evidence, applicability, generalisability to the target population, consistency of results, and the potential clinical impact of implementation.

SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE
Altogether 180 articles were identified from the initial c-spine injury search of which 25 were deemed most relevant to the clinical question. With the difficult airway search, 472 articles were found of which 22 were of adequate quality and most relevant to the review. These articles are summarised in the evidence tables and recommendations listed below:

1. For adults with potential c-spine injury requiring emergency intubation in the ED, the optimal method of achieving a secure airway is Rapid Sequence Induction and Intubation (RSI) (Level B recommendation). RSI is described in Appendix A.

2. Manual in-line stabilisation (MILS) of the c-spine is the recommended technique to immobilise the c-spine (Level B recommendation). This entails firmly holding the patient either side of the head with the neck in the midline and the head on a firm trolley surface. Traction is not applied and the aim is to prevent any flexion or rotation of the c-spine when laryngoscopy is performed. To facilitate the airway specialist, the assistant needs to crouch by the trolley, slightly to one side, while intubation is performed.

3. A tracheal tube introducer is recommended for routine use in RSI (Level B recommendation). The tracheal tube introducer (flexible bougie or stylet) should be immediately to hand whenever RSI is undertaken. The flexible bougie is the preferred option for first-line use in all cases to maximise rates of intubation on first attempt.

4. A selection of laryngoscope blades should be available both in size and design. The evidence supports the use of the MacIntosh and McCoy laryngoscopes (Level B recommendation).

5. The laryngeal mask airway (LMA) is recommended as a temporary adjunct when endotracheal tube insertion fails (Level C recommendation). It requires some training before use and has not yet been well evaluated in the literature for use in trauma with respect to the risks of aspiration.

6. The recommended approach to the difficult airway in the trauma adult requiring immediate intubation in the ED is illustrated in Appendix B.

STATEMENT ON THE EVIDENCE
RSI has become accepted standard practice for this type of patient in need of immediate intubation. Under the search strategy employed looking at emergency intubations in trauma adults there was no level I or II evidence to support this accepted technique. Much of the work to enforce RSI as the technique of choice has been in fields other than trauma, such as obstetrics. The generalisability of this data to trauma is unknown but the consensus of opinion was that data could be satisfactorily extrapolated to the trauma scenario. Sakles performed a prospective review of 610 ED intubations: 47.7% were trauma cases. RSI was used in 89.9% of patients (n = 515), of whom 99.2% were successfully intubated. Intubations without paralytic agents achieved a 91.5% success rate. There was a reported complication rate of 9.3%. The most common complication was desaturation (SaO2<85% in 3.2%) with no neurological deficit at discharge. The second most common complication was right main stem intubation (3.0%): none resulting in further complications.

RSI involves four experienced personnel with dedicated roles. Compromise on this will increase the chance of complications. Roles include i) the airway specialist to direct the procedure and intubate the patient, ii) an assistant placed to his/her left hand side, at the head of the bed to provide MILS, iii) a second assistant to provide cricoid pressure with...
### Table 2. In the trauma adult requiring emergency control of the airway, what is the best treatment algorithm to follow for management of a difficult airway? Evidence from systematic reviews of the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/year</th>
<th>Level of evidence (Bias code)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASA 2003**</td>
<td>I (a)</td>
<td>Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway tailored for use by anaesthesiologists and those “under direct supervision”. Some options not applicable to ED and with the facilities expected to be available during a trauma resuscitation. Complicated algorithm</td>
<td>Practice guideline produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST 2002**</td>
<td>I (a)</td>
<td>Airway guidelines for trauma patients. Systematic review to identify who should be intubated and what the equipment/adjuncts are. Limited search to Medline and English language</td>
<td>Recommendations for when and how to intubate the trauma patient; algorithm produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosby 1998**</td>
<td>I (a)</td>
<td>Systematic review (details are not listed) Guideline produced, defines difficult airway, pre-operative assessment, and equipment options, also covers paediatrics and obstetrics. Limited to Medline</td>
<td>Devices, such as iLMA, fiberoptic, and lighted stylet, have a role as alternatives to face mask ventilation or ET when these techniques fail. Management algorithm provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan 2000**</td>
<td>II (a)</td>
<td>Pseudo-randomised clinical trial. 172 patients randomised to iLMA or iLMA plus light wand. Effective pts, difficult airways excluded</td>
<td>Blind intubation with iLMA 76% success. When light wand used as adjunct success rate of 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carley 2002**</td>
<td>II (b1)</td>
<td>Sensible guideline produced for ED RSI. No systematic review of the evidence demonstrated and no evidence that they used a wide consensus of local opinion. Not aimed specifically at trauma</td>
<td>Recommendations and algorithm produced for management of the airway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Resuscitation Council 1996**</td>
<td>II (b1)</td>
<td>Review and guideline for basic management of airway and ventilation. Relevant for the initial assessment phase of the airway management. Not systematic and no critical appraisal or levels of evidence used</td>
<td>Recommendations produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Resuscitation Council 1996**</td>
<td>II (b1)</td>
<td>Review and guideline for advanced management of airway and ventilation during resuscitation. Not directed at trauma/ED; mostly medical patients. Not a systematic review, no study critical appraisal or levels of evidence used</td>
<td>Light wand group were intubated faster and with fewer adjunctive manoeuvres. All failures to intubate (3%) were in the blind iLMA group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kihara 2000**</td>
<td>II-1 (a)</td>
<td>Prospective clinical trial; 120 patients for elective operation. Intubated blindly via iLMA or with aid of light wand. Not clear how patients were randomised. Not trauma or emergency cases, no mention of any patients with a difficult airway</td>
<td>Fiberoptic scope and iLMA was easier, faster, and more successful 85% vs 55% than ETT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepe 1993**</td>
<td>III-1 (b1)</td>
<td>Review; Search strategy not given but appears to be thorough and appraises the papers found then provides evidence tables. Compares equipment available</td>
<td>Finds no advantage to ETT and most alternatives have training issues. Combitube has potential but more studies needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham 2003**</td>
<td>III2 (b1)</td>
<td>Prospective observational study in 7 hospitals. Data on patients in ED who needed RSI (735) intubated by either anaesthetists (335) or ED physician (377) and observed. Not randomised into groups, 40% trauma</td>
<td>Anaesthetists got better views and more first pass intubations (91.8% vs 83.8%) but on less sick patients and they were slower to intervene. Complications not significantly different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studdinger 1993**</td>
<td>III-2 (b1)</td>
<td>Prospective clinical trial; 37 cardiac arrest patients on ICU either nurse combitube (17) or doctor ETT (20) and time to placement/success documented</td>
<td>Similar success rates at placement but combitube was faster. No apparent complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blisten 1998**</td>
<td>IV (a)</td>
<td>Observational study; 10 patients failed RSI pre-hospital by flight nurses then used combitube. 100% success rate at insertion. Note the 80% success rate of RSI. Small numbers and high failure rate of RSI not commented on</td>
<td>Combitube easy and good for failed RSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dufour 1995**</td>
<td>IV (b1)</td>
<td>Prospective observational study on 219 ED patients using RSI to intubate. Looked at complication rate. Mostly medical patients (75% trauma)</td>
<td>100% success rate intubating by emergency physician. 10% hypotension (using midazolam 0.1 mg/kg). 15% complications overall including these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkins 1995**</td>
<td>IV (b1)</td>
<td>Retrospective database review of 5603 trauma patients, 1989–93. Showed 9.3% of trauma patients need ETT. 12.4% of these had criocricothyrotomy (n=66) with failure in 2—that is, 1.1% of all admissions had criocricothyrotomy</td>
<td>Cricothyrotomy feasible and safe with risk of minor complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones 2002**</td>
<td>IV (b1)</td>
<td>Observational study of all intubations in ED before and after introduction of an airway management protocol. Missing data over a 5 month period of the 31/2 year collection period</td>
<td>Reduction in time and increase in success rate of first time intubations after the protocol was introduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sjöles 1998**</td>
<td>IV (b1)</td>
<td>Observational study; 610 patients needing intubation by ED. Trauma patients 47.7%. 88% of data collected prospectively, the rest retrospective. Looked at complication rate. Mostly medical patients (15% trauma)</td>
<td>1% overall needed intubation; success rate 99.8% with 91.4% intubated 1st attempt; 1.1% failed and had criocricothyrotomy; 9.3% complication rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vijayakumar 1998**</td>
<td>IV (b1)</td>
<td>Retrospective observational study of 160 patients from trauma registry intubated in ED compared for use of NMH and presence of airway injury</td>
<td>97% success rate intubation but high rate difficult intubations noted (15%) not associated with potential airway or c-spine injury nor use of NMH. 3% needed criocricothyrotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delauer 1999**</td>
<td>IV (b2)</td>
<td>Retrospective study of complication rate of emergency criocricothyrotomy in 34 patients, 1984–1998. Small numbers. Tended to keep criocricothyrotomy for several days</td>
<td>Complication rate attributable directly to the criocricothyrotomy of about 30%, all minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt 1989**</td>
<td>IV (b2)</td>
<td>Observational study. 32 paramedics/EMTs trained with pharyngeal tracheal airway then tested at 6 weeks for competence. 19 tested, up to 21 couldn’t tell where tube placed and 50% failed to auscultate chest to confirm placement. Small numbers but shows need for substantial training</td>
<td>Complication not necessarily straight forward to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minck 1990**</td>
<td>IV (b2)</td>
<td>Retrospective case note review of 35 intubations aided by fiberoptic bronchoscope over 30 months, 1985-87. 6 failed so ET or 1x criocricothyrotomy used. Medical and trauma patients. Small numbers. Trauma was only 11% total. Success rate (83%) and time (2–3 mins) longer to intubate in the trauma patients compared with the medical patients</td>
<td>Fiberoptic bronchoscope is an option as an adjunct but disadvantages in ED with training issues and time taken to intubate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvino CK 1993**</td>
<td>IV (b2)</td>
<td>Retrospective case note review over 36 months. Did 30 criocricothyrotomies (2.4% of trauma admissions). Looked at indications and complications, 1989-91</td>
<td>No major complications; 13.3% minor complication rate 7 done as primary procedure. 10 were pre-hospital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED, emergency department; EMT, emergency medical technician; ETT, endotracheal tube; iLMA, intubating laryngeal mask airway; LMA, laryngeal mask airway; MILS, manual in-line stabilisation; NMH, neuromuscular blockade; RSI, rapid sequence induction and intubation.
their right hand and assist the specialist with equipment from the patient’s right hand side, iv) a third assistant to administer intravenous induction agents. There are clinical implications in the resources required as a result of this recommendation. The described technique ensures a speedy, safe intubation and sufficient staffing levels should be routinely maintained in any department receiving trauma patients. Airway training issues are beyond the scope of this study to consider but reinforcement of practical skills in airway management is imperative.

A full anaesthetic history and assessment is recommended whenever the situation allows. The AMPLE history has been described and is a good routine to follow. This includes history of allergies, medications, the past medical history, timings of the last meal, and the events leading to the anaesthetic. Assessment of the Mallampati score may be possible but is often limited by the urgency of the situation, a supine patient, and limited mouth opening because of the cervical collar. Anatomical variations contribute to the prediction of a ‘difficult airway’ and include a small chin, obesity, large tongue, protruding dentition, and a high arched palate in addition to any associated injury to the head and neck. Carly reviews airway assessment and scoring systems used to predict difficult intubation in more detail and with direct relevance to the trauma patient.

Oral endotracheal intubation is the technique of choice. Basic physiological monitoring should not be a compromise from requirements elsewhere in the hospital (heart rate, pulse oximetry, capnography, non-invasive blood pressure, and cardiac monitor (electrocardiogram)) (Table 2). Confirmation of correct tube placement should include the measures described at Appendix A.

Severe studies address the optimal method to immobilise the c-spine. A subsection of these are cadaveric studies, but all results appear to be consistent with good generalisability to our target population. When considering the degree of c-spine movement in most studies it is notable that no definition of a ‘safe’ amount of movement has been

In a non-randomised trial, Heath evaluated the effect of rigid cervical collar, tape across the forehead, and sandbags either side of the neck versus manual in-line immobilisation on view at laryngoscopy. He demonstrated poor views on laryngoscopy (grade 3 or 4) in 64% of patients immobilised in a collar, tape, and sandbags compared with 22% with the application of MILS (p<0.001). Nolan demonstrated MILS reduced laryngeal views by 45% with nothing visible beyond the epiglottis in 22% of patients. Majernick showed MILS resulted in less cervical subluxation and allowed better vocal cord visualisation compared with immobilisation in a rigid cervical collar. Gerling’s randomised crossover trial on 14 cadavers demonstrated least c-spine movement when MILS was employed rather than sandbags and tape. Lennarson also demonstrated the benefit of MILS in his crossover trial on 10 cadavers with destabilised c-spine when compared with Gardner-Wells traction or no immobilisation during intubation.

Nolan performed a randomised trial of 157 elective patients intubated with MILS and cricoid pressure using either the endotracheal tube (ETT) alone or with a gum elastic bougie. The group in whom the bougie was used had more successful intubations and no extra time taken to intubation. Gataure compared the bougie and stylet in intubation scenarios with simulated grade 3 views of the glottis. He demonstrated higher success rates of intubation with the bougie than with the stylet (96% v 66%).

With regards to the choice of laryngoscope, Watts compared the Macintosh and Bullard laryngoscopy blades. The Bullard laryngoscope with MILS in situ resulted in less cervical spine extension (5.6±/1.5 degrees) than the Macintosh blade (12.9±/2.1 degrees). The time taken to intubate with the Bullard laryngoscope was longer (20.3±/19.5 s; p<0.05). The Bullard laryngoscope may be of benefit when the need for intubation is not time critical. Studies evaluating the McCoy laryngoscope have been conflicting.

There is no evidence to state the optimal number of intubation attempts before alternative techniques to secure the airway are adopted. Similarly there is limited evidence to directly compare equipment for specific conditions. Such evidence is unlikely to become rapidly available because of the highly variable conditions encountered with patients, their injuries, the clinical situation, and the operator managing the airway. Randomised clinical trials in this scenario have inherent difficulties in design and large numbers are required to enable comparison between groups.

Equipment options for consideration in airway control are varied and will depend on operator experience and skill. The literature considers various techniques and equipment with the oral ETT declared optimal. Brimacombe demonstrated that the LMA was comparable to endotracheal intubation in terms of success and time to placement, but Keller showed the LMA caused greater posterior displacement of the cervical vertebrae. Brimacombe’s cadaveric study found similar displacement of the cervical vertebrae with the LMA and
Therefore be used with utmost caution. Measurement alone as a measure of ventilation must pre-existing peripheral vascular disease. Dependence on this peripheral vasoconstriction related to hypovolaemia, cold, or relevant to trauma, these include poor signal because of specific challenges. Few algorithms published are based aimed specifically at the trauma scenario that presents specialists and evidence from a Medline search, generally, 1.1% of the total number of ED admissions. 13 21 67 95–97 Cricothyroidotomy is a concluded cricothyroidotomy was feasible and safe with a Hawkins’ retrospective review of 5603 trauma patients for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST) reviewed the literature but restricted their searches to the Medline database. The Difficult Airway Society published an airway management algorithm relevant to RSI on their website—potential c-spine injury and the trauma patient were not specifically considered. This guideline has recently been published and addresses the unanticipated difficult airway in different scenarios. It was formulated from consensus opinion of specialists and evidence from a Medline search, generally, reinforcing the recommendations produced in this review.

A key feature in the algorithm in Appendix B is the use of oxygen saturation to assess adequacy of ventilation and hence represent end organ tissue perfusion and oxygenation. This has room for error. Oxygen saturation monitoring by pulse oximetry using a light emitting diode attached to a digit, ear, or nose has recognised limitations. Particularly relevant to trauma, these include poor signal because of peripheral vasoconstriction related to hypovolaemia, cold, or pre-existing peripheral vascular disease. Dependence on this measurement alone as a measure of ventilation must therefore be used with utmost caution.

The end point of the algorithm to achieve a definitive airway is surgical cricothyroidotomy—an open technique requiring a surgical blade (size 11) and a size 6 cuffed ETT. Further details on technique are beyond the scope of this study but available in most good trauma texts. The literature suggests cricothyroidotomy rates of 2–3% in patients requiring emergency airway control in the ED (actually ranging between 0.3 and 12.4%). This is between 0.01 and 1.1% of the total number of ED admissions. Hawkins’ retrospective review of 5603 trauma patients concluded cricothyroidotomy was feasible and safe with a risk of minor complications. Cricothyroidotomy is a procedure to be undertaken quickly and decisively without unnecessary extra attempts at intubation; this algorithm recommends timely effective intervention in trauma patients with a difficult airway.

The difficult airway algorithm resulting from this search was formulated after lengthy debate and consideration of the supporting evidence by the working group. It provides simple, uncomplicated methodology in a single page flow chart. The recommendations for difficult airway management are appropriate to all skill levels and ED environments. The clinical impact of the algorithm should therefore be significant with minimal additional resource implications.

**SUMMARY**

There is limited evidence pertaining directly to airway management in trauma adults and further clinical trials are required to provide evidence directly relevant to this group of patients to reinforce the recommendations provided. These recommendations are intended for use by all clinicians involved in airway management of trauma patients presenting to the ED. Extrapolation to other clinical situations, such as the operating theatre and pre-hospital environment, may be relevant but have not been specifically evaluated in this search. Clinicians must assess each patient on individual merit, which, together with consideration of their own skill level and experience, will enable them to use these evidence based recommendations in providing optimal patient care. Implementation of these guidelines will enable evaluation of the recommendations and amendment as appropriate.

**APPENDIX A RAPID SEQUENCE INDUCTION/INTUBATION (RSI) IN TRAUMA**

**PURPOSE**

To achieve a secure airway—that is, a cuffed tube in the trachea—while minimising the risk of aspiration of gastric contents in high risk individuals.

**THEORY**

Induction of anaesthesia with a rapid onset sedating agent and neuromuscular blocking agent, application of cricoid pressure, and intubation of the trachea with an oral, cuffed endotracheal tube (ETT).

**METHOD**

1. Check equipment, draw up drugs, and label syringes.
2. Pre-oxygenate patient for up to 5 minutes or as long as circumstances allow.
3. Loosen or remove anterior portion of the hard cervical collar while maintaining an immobile cervical spine with manual in-line stabilisation of the neck (MILS).
4. Rapid administration of induction agent through peripheral venous line with flush, followed by neuromuscular blocking agent and flush.
5. Application of cricoid pressure as anaesthesia is induced.
6. When muscle fasciculation has stopped, there is other objective evidence of paralysis, or after 60 seconds, perform laryngoscopy and intubate the trachea.
7. Inflate the ETT cuff and check position of the tube by manual in-line stabilisation of the neck (MILS).
8. Remove cricoid pressure after confirmation of tracheal intubation with cuff inflation and following instruction from the intubating physician.
10. Obtain a CXR to confirm tube position.

**REQUIREMENTS**

- Four trained staff
- Tight fitting transparent face mask
- High flow oxygen
- Self inflating bag and mask (selection of sizes)
- Selection of laryngoscopes, blades, and spare bulbs
- Selection of ETT
- Tracheal tube introducer (bougie or stylet)
- Selection of oropharyngeal airways
- Selection of laryngeal mask airways
- Continuous monitoring of heart rate and non-invasive blood pressure
- Pulse oximetry
- Capnography
- Wall suction immediately available
- Tie to secure airway
- Drugs drawn up in pre-determined doses
- Saline flush

**NOTES**
1. MILS technique is described in the main text.
2. Cricoid pressure technique described at Appendix C.
3. Objective evidence may include use of a nerve stimulator.
4. It is recommended that a flexible bougie is always used in the trauma patient. As a minimal requirement it should be at the right hand of the operator during intubation attempts. A stylet is an optional adjunct.
5. Failure to correctly place the ETT should prompt the operator to follow the “difficult airway algorithm” provided in Appendix B.

**APPENDIX B DIFFICULT AIRWAY ALGORITHM**

**Figure 1** 1. Reliance on oxygen saturations has limitations and is a guide only to be taken in clinical context. 2. Intubating or standard LMA is an option if the operator is experienced in its use. Other options may include light wand, fibreoptic intubation, combitube, nasal and blind oral intubation if experience is available. If these are not options the surgical cricothyroidotomy should be performed immediately. *MILS, manual in-line stabilisation of the cervical spine; **BURP, backwards, upward, right pressure to the thyroid cartilage to facilitate laryngeal views.*

---

**Difficult airway algorithm**

- Evaluate the airway
  - Attach ECG, pulse oximetry, capnography, NBP
  - MILS*
  - Cricoid pressure
  - Drugs accessible and dosages pre-calculated
- Pre-oxygenate
- Laryngoscopy
  - Flexible bougie +/- stylet
  - Wall suction to hand
  - BURP**
- Failure to intubate
- CALL FOR HELP
  - Maintain cricoid pressure/MILS
  - Oropharyngeal airway
- Ventilate with bag and mask?
  - Failure to ventilate (O_2 sats < 90%)_A
    - 2nd attempt laryngoscopy
      - Reassess need for immediate intubation and consider waking the patient
        - Continue cricoid pressure
        - Continue ventilation
      - Fail to intubate
        - Theatre for access to additional experience and equipment
          - Yes
            - Theatre
          - No
            - Surgical cricothyroidotomy
  - Successful ventilation
    - Optimise position
      - Flexible bougie/stylet
      - Change blades - McCoy/McIntosh/Kessel
    - Successful ventilation
      - Attach ECG, pulse oximetry, capnography, NBP
      - MILS*
      - Cricoid pressure
      - Drugs accessible and dosages pre-calculated

* MILS, manual in-line stabilisation of the cervical spine; **BURP, backwards, upward, right pressure to the thyroid cartilage to facilitate laryngeal views.
APPENDIX C CRICOID PRESSURE

PURPOSE
- Prevention of gastric regurgitation
- Prevention of gastric insufflation during ventilation
- Aid to intubation

THEORY
Avoiding extension of the neck apply backward pressure on the cricoid cartilage. This complete cartilaginous ring transmits pressure to compress the upper oesophagus against the 5th vertebral body. Occlusion of the oesophagus prevents regurgitation of gastric contents and aspiration.

METHOD
- In conscious patients the cricoid cartilage is palpated between the thumb and middle finger, with the index finger above.
- The cricoid cartilage is located just below the prominent thyroid cartilage (Adam’s apple).
- As anaesthesia is induced the pressure is increased in a vertical plane onto the vertebral body of C5.
- The amount of pressure needs to approximate to 30 Newtons—comparable to the pressure that would feel uncomfortable if applied to the bridge of the nose.
- Removal of cricoid pressure should only follow securing of the airway and the request of the person performing intubation.

PROBLEMS
1. Cricoid pressure may increase the difficulty of intubation, usually because of incorrect placement. The pressure needs to be applied in the vertical plane in the supine patient to avoid causing tracheal and laryngeal deviation. On request it may be necessary to adjust position or rarely remove cricoid pressure to facilitate intubation.
2. If vomiting occurs it will be necessary to release cricoid pressure. Always ask if you want to remove cricoid pressure and have not been requested to do so.
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