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Does initiation of an ambulance pre-alert call reduce the door to needle time in acute myocardial infarct?
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S everal randomised controlled trials have shown that morbidity and mortality associated with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) can be reduced by early thrombolysis.1–2 Other work has shown that paramedic electrocardiogram (ECG) interpretation and fast tracking of patients with myocardial infarction to a coronary care unit (CCU) reduces door to needle (DTN) times,3–5 as does thrombolysis in the emergency department.6

Currently our institution has a target of 30 minutes for thrombolysis (CCU) reduces door to needle (DTN) times, in 75% of cases and that an ECG should be carried out within 10 minutes of arrival.

Audits in both accident and emergency (A&E) and the CCU unit indicated that improvements in the percentage thrombolysed within 30 minutes could be achieved. Previous work examined the reasons for delays and identified several factors: delays to initial ECG, the wide variety of clinical presentation, and the mode of arrival at the department. Audit data suggested that patients with AMI who were called in as a pre-alert priority one “stand-by” received the quickest thrombolysis. However, a survey of ambulance service personnel (personal communication, Special Studies Module “who is a stand-by”, May 03) showed that there was a lack of consistency in which patients were called in as a stand-by.

The purpose of this study was to assess the change in practice that was initiated to try to improve the DTN times.

METHODS

Glasgow Royal Infirmary is a secondary and tertiary referral centre for acute cardiological intervention (including primary angioplasty), accepting patients from the West of Scotland region. The local catchment area is mainly urban with a population of 2 500 000. The A&E department sees approximately 68 000 new patients per year and is part of the newly constructed acute services building, which includes the CCU. All immediate thrombolysis is carried out in the A&E department. Although there are plans to introduce pre-hospital (paramedic) thrombolysis, currently this is not carried out.

Initial audit data for the five months before the changes were introduced (phase one) confirmed the need for intervention. Data were collected for an equivalent period post-intervention (phase two).

The ambulance service was asked to radio a pre-alert for all patients with chest pain as a “category 2 stand-by” in order that an immediate ECG could be obtained in resuscitation or monitored space depending on activity. The established practice of immediate medical review of the resulting ECG was continued.

The standard AMI audit form was completed for all patients who received thrombolysis and the data collected (during a 10 month study period) were subsequently analysed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05 for Fisher’s exact test and Two sample t test.

RESULTS

Only patients who received thrombolysis in A&E were included. Altogether, 77 patients were thrombolysed during the study period, although four of these patients were excluded from further analysis because of incompleteness of the data.

During phase one, 38 patients were thrombolysed, 18 of whom arrived by ambulance and 18 of whom self presented. The method of arrival could not be established from the case notes of two patients. Nine of the 18 (50%) patients arriving by ambulance were thrombolysed within 30 minutes (table 1). Ten of the same 18 (56%) had their first ECG carried out within 10 minutes, whereas six patients waited longer than 10 minutes, and the time to first ECG was not documented for two patients (table 2).

Abbreviations: A&E, accident and emergency; AMI, acute myocardial infarct; CCU, coronary care unit; DTN, door to needle; ECG, electrocardiogram
During phase two, 35 patients were thrombolysed, 22 of whom arrived by ambulance and 13 of whom self presented. The method of arrival could not be established for one patient. Twenty of the 22 (91%) patients arriving by ambulance were thrombolysed within 30 minutes (table 2). Twenty one of the same 22 (95%) had their first ECG carried out within 10 minutes, while the time to ECG was not documented for one patient (table 1).

During phase two, five patients waited longer than 30 minutes to be thrombolysed. Reasons for delay included contraindication to immediate thrombolysis (hypertension requiring treatment), two equivocal initial ECGs, and for one patient diagnosis was delayed.

The current target times for thrombolysis range from 20–30 minutes.8 During phase two, 20 patients in total were treated within 20 minutes (57%), 13 (37%) of those arriving by ambulance. During phase one, only 14 patients were treated within 20 minutes (37%). Of the ambulance arrivals, five (13%) were thrombolysed within 20 minutes.

It was found that the proportion of phase two patients (21/21) arriving by ambulance who received an ECG within the recommended time of ten minutes was significantly greater than the proportion of phase one patients (10/16) (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.005).

It was also found that the proportion of phase two patients (20/22) arriving by ambulance who received thrombolysis within the guideline based recommended time of 30 minutes was significantly greater than the proportion of phase one patients (9/18) (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.005).

The mean DTN time (Table 3) for phase one patients was found to be significantly greater than that for phase two patients (Two sample t test, p = 0.016). The study results would suggest that a phase one patient could expect to wait on average between 1.6 and 14.6 minutes longer than a phase two patient (95% confidence interval (CI) μ1 1.6 to μ2 14.6) for thrombolysis.

Transformation by taking the natural logarithm normalised time to ECG data (data were back transformed to produce confidence interval). The mean time to ECG (table 4) for phase one patients was found to be significantly greater than that for phase two patients (Two sample t test, p = 0.008). The study results would suggest that a phase one patient could expect to wait on average between 2.537 and 5.393 minutes longer than a phase two patient (95% CI μ1 2.537 to μ2 5.393) for an ECG.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that the intervention of introducing an ambulance pre-alert for patients with chest pain improved DTN times. Previous studies have shown that training paramedics in ECG interpretation and diagnosis of AMI improved DTN time, usually by the combination of pre-alerting the receiving hospital and by fast tracking these patients to CCUs. This study shows that the change in practice of introducing a pre-alert simply for patients with symptoms suggestive of AMI can significantly reduce DTN times to comply with current national guidelines. Importantly, this relatively simple change should be easy to implement in any A&E department.

Initial concerns within the department about the change in practice were allayed by the obvious improvement in DTN times. It did not cause an increase in overall workload. In addition, it is popular with the ambulance staff. Further assessment of the number of pre-alert calls made for patients who did not require thrombolysis would be useful to give a more accurate picture of the effect this intervention has had on the day to day running of the department.

However, the validity of the improvement seen may be called into question by the use of an historical control group. This has previously been shown to be associated with an increased estimation of treatment effect.9 Obviously staff within the department were aware that DTN targets were not being met. It is difficult to separate the effects increased staff awareness may have had on the improvement in DTN times from the impact of the introduction of the pre-alert call.

Other limitations of this study included the small sample size and incompleteness of the data.

Previous studies have looked at various methods of reducing DTN, such as emergency department thrombolysis,4 pre-alert calls for confirmed AMIs,6 and pre-hospital thrombolysis. These have shown reductions in DTN times of between 21% and 51%. This study shows a comparable reduction in DTN times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Door to needle time (minutes) for patients pre (phase one) and post (phase two) intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis</td>
<td>Amb (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20 minutes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30 minutes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 minutes</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not documented</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Time to ECG (minutes) for patients pre (phase one) and post (phase two) intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Amb (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 minutes</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 minutes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not documented</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Comparison of door to needle time (minutes) for ambulance only patients pre (phase one) and post (phase two) intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Comparison of time to ECG (minutes) for ambulance only patients pre (phase one) and post (phase two) intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION
This study shows that the introduction of an ambulance pre-alert to A&E for patients with chest pain produces a substantial reduction in DTN times.
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