three months. Barrier analysis using staff surveys explored why HAT was not standard practice. We introduced HAT using the Institute of Healthcare Improvement (IHI)'s Model for improvement methodology and conducted four Plan, Do, See, Act (PDSA) cycles to implement changes. Our process measure was the number of patients having HAT compared with sutures. Outcome measures were via a qualitative phone survey evaluating pain, satisfaction and inconvenience score on a scale of 0–10. Balancing measures included wound complication and re-attendance.

Conclusions During the 6 months following the introduction of HAT 71% patients who were eligible to have HAT had the technique instead of sutures or staples, with improvement in all patient outcome measures compared with those who had sutures. HAT was popular with staff and patients. Our sustainability review at one year showed ongoing use of the technique as standard practice for adults.

Conclusion HAT should be considered in eligible adult patients with scalp lacerations presenting to the ED. Applying quality improvement methodology resulted in a sustained culture change; the ED involved now offers an effective suture free paediatric technique to adults which has improved patient outcomes and satisfaction.

Background Irregular patient volumes, high patient transit and limitless presentations means that Emergency Department (ED) handovers differ from other specialties. Therefore, a degree of handover unfamiliarity results for junior doctors.

There is a high prevalence of stress and burnout in both junior doctors and doctors working in Emergency Medicine. Despite this, no literature was identified exploring if handover can be a source of stress. The aim of this study was to explore junior doctors’ perceptions of ED handover and to investigate if it is considered a stressful experience.

Non-probabilistic sampling methods were used to recruit doctors working at or below the grade of Speciality Trainee year 2 (ST2) or equivalent in the ED of a major acute teaching hospital. Qualitative, semi-structured interviews were undertaken between March and April 2019 exploring participants’ experiences of ED handover. Interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Using NVivo 12 software, data were analysed thematically using an inductive-deductive approach.

10 interviews were undertaken and four themes were identified from the data: ‘stress decreases as familiarity increases’ which included familiarising with handover structure, content and purpose; ‘time pressure is an ongoing stressor’ representing the perceived need to efficiently handover during busy periods and at shift end; ‘handover as a solace’ relating to opportunities to both learn and socialise and ‘it’s nice to be nice’ reflecting the importance of civility.

Junior doctors find aspects of ED handover stressful. These include: wanting to make a good impression; unfamiliarity; time pressures and the negative effects of hierarchy. However, handover can also help to ameliorate stress by facilitating opportunities for socialisation, education and morale boosting.
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The four key themes constructed during data analysis and a summary of the main findings within each theme:

- Public speaking
  - Speaking to seniors
    - Avoiding humiliation
    - Want to make good impression
- Different working environment
- What to include in handover
- Clinical Uncertainty

- Busyness of department
- ED emphasis on efficiency
- Colleagues wanting to go home on time
- Pressure to handover concisely despite diagnostic uncertainty

Time pressure is an ongoing stressor

Stress decreases as familiarity increases

Handover as a solace

It's nice to be nice

- How attitudes and behaviours affect other people's experience of work
- Support from seniors or lack of
- Use of language and tone
- Boosting morale

Abstract 038 Figure 1 Four themes explained

Components of a good ED departmental handover based on the findings from this study:

- Acknowledge handover as a break: coffee/tea/cake/snacks
- Ensure staff feel valued: say Thank You and Well Done after a tough shift
- Induction teaching on how to handover and what is expected
- Supportive, friendly and educational culture
- Maximise opportunity for team building and collegiate support
- Include a brief and relevant educational component
- Ensure all staff are present

- Take opportunity to boost morale - Jokes etc
- Positive role-modelling of good communication and attitude etc
- Eradicate negative effects of hierarchy e.g. humiliation or belittling

Abstract 038 Figure 2 Recommendations for future handovers
Important recommendations for future practice regarding departmental handover can be made, most notably, the need to create a civil and pleasant working environment where doctors can flourish.

PERIPHERAL ADMINISTRATION OF VASOPRESSORS IN TRANSPORT

Kevin Gervin, Alasdair Corfield, Anton Queen.
University of Glasgow; NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde

10.1136/emermed-2019-RCEM.39

Background Management of shocked patients frequently requires vasopressor (epinephrine/norepinephrine) administration. Although less potent vasoactive agents are commonly delivered peripherally, historical concerns surround peripheral epinephrine and particularly norepinephrine use; these are primarily administered as infusions via central venous catheter (CVC). Concerns centre on potential extravasation and subsequent skin or tissue necrosis. However, recent studies suggest risks maybe lower than perceived. In the pre-hospital setting, circumstance may demand use of epinephrine/norepinephrine but preclude CVC insertion.

In this study we assessed current practice for peripheral vasopressor usage in a national pre-hospital and retrieval service and associated complications.

Method and results A retrospective, cohort study, using routinely collected data from the EMRS electronic record, cross-referenced against contemporaneous paper medical records from 2004–2018. All primary (pre-hospital) and secondary (from remote/rural healthcare facilities) patients over the age of 16 requiring peripheral vasopressors for shock were included.

Data was collected on demographic information, retrieval site and mode of transport, vasopressor used, shock type, duration of use and subsequent complications. Complications were defined as immediate, noted by retrieval team on mission paperwork; or delayed, noted at 24-hour follow-up.

Descriptive statistics for cohort study have been used and all analyses conducted using Stata v12.0

Conclusions 6093 missions were reviewed. Vasopressors were administered to 6.8% (417/6093) of patients. Epinephrine infusions were administered to 129 patients and 307 required norepinephrine (19 received both). Peripheral vasopressor infusions were used in 16.1% (67/417) of infusions. Of peripheral infusions, 40 patients received epinephrine and 30 received norepinephrine (three received both). Three epinephrine infusions were during primary missions, all norepinephrine infusions were on secondary retrievals.

Distributive shock was the commonest indication for both (47.5% & 73.3%). There were no reported complications during missions or at 24-hours.

Results suggest pre-hospital use of peripheral epinephrine/norepinephrine is relatively common and safe, with no complications reported across 15 years of practice.

PREHOSPITAL NATIONAL EARLY WARNING SCORE PREDICTS PATIENT DISPOSITION ALSO IN JAPAN

Takuro Endo, Shigeki Fujitani, Yasushiro Taia.
St. Mariana University School of Medicine

10.1136/emermed-2019-RCEM.40

The National early warning score (NEWS) was originally developed in the UK for hospitalized patients. We examined if NEWS can be applied for emergency transported patients in Japan.

We assessed the patients transported to one hospital between April 2017 to March 2018. We calculated NEWS from the vitals recorded by ambulance staff. Emergency department (ED) disposition data were categorized into the following groups depending on their outcome: discharged from ED, admitted to a ward, admitted to the intensive care unit or died in ED. We assessed the predictive performance of the NEWS for combined patient dispositions using receiver operating characteristics curves, from which possible cut-off values for risk categorizations were derived. Patient dispositions were compared between NEWS-based three categories adjusting for age, gender and presence of traumatic injury.

Results Of 2,847 patients, mean (±standard deviation) NEWS of patients who discharged from ED (n=1330), admitted to a ward (n=1263), admitted to the ICU (n=232), and died in ED (n=22) were 3.7±2.9, 6.3±3.8, 9.4±4.0, 11.7±2.9, respectively. Prehospital NEWS’s C-statistics (95% confidence interval) for admission to a ward/the ICU or death in ED was 0.733(0.715–0.751), admission to the ICU or death in ED was 0.807 (0.780–0.833), and death in ED was 0.900 (0.868–0.933). After adjusting for age, gender and trauma, odds ratio (95% confidence interval) for admission to the ICU or death in ED of high-risk NEWS category (≥7) was 13.8 (8.9–21.6) and middle category (5 or 6) was 4.2 (2.5–7.1), each compared to low-risk category (≤4).

Conclusion Our study shows prehospital NEWS can identify patients at risk of adverse outcomes also in Japan.

PRE-HOSPITAL PEWS IS NOT A USEFUL PREDICTOR OF THE NEED FOR HOSPITAL ADMISSION FROM ED

Alasdair Corfield, Kate Booth, Linda Clerihew, Harry Staines, Elaine Stewart, Kevin Rooney.
University of Glasgow; NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde; NHS Tayside; University of West of UK

10.1136/emermed-2019-RCEM.41

Objective Physiological derangement, as measured by Paediatric Early Warning Score (PEWS) is used to try and identify children with critical illness at an early point to identify and intervene in children at risk. PEWS have shown some utility as a track and trigger system in hospital and also as a predictor of adverse outcome both and out of hospital. We wanted to examine whether a single pre-hospital PEWS, based on observations made by ambulance staff, was associated with the need for hospital admission.

Methods A retrospective analysis of all patients aged <16 transported to hospital by the Scottish Ambulance Survey