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Abstract

Background In hospitalised patients with exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, European and British guidelines endorse oxygen target saturations of 88%–92%, with adjustment to 94%–98% if carbon dioxide levels are normal. We assessed the impact of admission oxygen saturation level and baseline carbon dioxide on inpatient mortality.

Methods Patients were identified from the prospective Dyspnœa, Eosinopenia, Consolidation, Acidœmia and Atrial Fibrillation (DECAF) derivation study (December 2008–June 2010) and the mixed methods DECAF validation study (January 2012 to May 2014). In six UK hospitals, of 2645 patients with COPD exacerbation, 1027 patients were in receipt of supplemental oxygen at admission. All had a clinical history of COPD and obstructive spirometry. These patients were subdivided into the following groups: admission oxygen saturations of 87% or less, 88%–92%, 93%–96% or 97%–100%. Inpatient mortality was calculated for each group and expressed as ORs. The DECAF score and National Early Warning Score 2 (excluding oxygen saturation) were used in binary logistic regression to adjust for baseline risk.

Results In patients with COPD receiving supplemental oxygen, oxygen saturations above 92% were associated with higher mortality and an adverse dose–response. Compared with the 88%–92% group, the adjusted risk of death (OR) in the 93%–96% and 97%–100% groups was 1.98 (95% CI 1.09 to 3.60, p=0.025) and 2.97 (95% CI 1.58 to 5.83, p=0.001). In the subgroup with normocapnia, the mortality signal remained significant in both the 93%–96% and 97%–100% groups.

Conclusions Inpatient mortality was lowest in those with oxygen saturations of 88%–92%. Even modest elevations in oxygen saturations above this range (93%–96%) were associated with an increased risk of death. A similar mortality trend was seen in both patients with hypercapnia and normocapnia. This shows that the practice of setting different target saturations based on carbon dioxide levels is not justified. Treating all patients with COPD with target saturations of 88%–92% will simplify prescribing and should improve outcome.

Trial registration number UKCRN ID 14214.

Introduction

In patients admitted to hospital with an exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (ECOPD), appropriate oxygen therapy can save lives, but excess oxygenation is associated with higher rates of ventilation and death. Supranormal oxygen levels can cause significant harm, but the degree of harm in patients with COPD at oxygen levels that would be regarded as normal in healthy individuals is unclear. Oxygen guidelines conflict in their recommended target range for oxygen saturations and the need to adjust this range in the presence of hypercapnia.

What is already known on this topic

- The European and British Thoracic Societies guidelines endorse target oxygen saturations of 88%–92% in patients admitted with COPD exacerbation, which can be adjusted to 94%–98% following confirmation of normocapnia.
- Conversely, the National Early Warning Score 2 guidelines recommend target saturations of 94%–98%, with adjustment to 88%–92% following confirmation of hypercapnia and under the guidance of a clinician.
- At the time of our study, the medical literature shows that excess oxygen is harmful in patients with COPD, in part due to hypercapnia.

What this study adds

- In an observational study of 2645 consecutive patients admitted with COPD exacerbation to 1 of 6 UK hospitals, 1027 had oxygen therapy at admission. In those treated with oxygen, saturations above 88%–92% had an incrementally higher risk-adjusted in-hospital mortality, both overall and in the subgroup with normocapnia.
- Contraindicated to current recommendations and clinical dogma, patients with COPD exacerbations and baseline normocapnia, who receive supplementary oxygen therapy, are at considerable risk of death with elevated oxygen saturations.
- Adopting target saturations 88%–92% for all hospitalised patients with COPD would simplify clinical pathways and should improve outcomes.
ERS/ATS non-invasive ventilation (NIV) guidelines include a target of 88%–92% for those with hypercapnia.16

The National Early Warning Score (NEWS) is used to monitor patients for clinical deterioration using physiological indices that predict short-term death. The updated NEWS2 includes an additional oxygen saturation scale for those with hypercapnic respiratory failure (PaCO₂ >45 mm Hg or 6 kPa).11 This aims to mitigate the harms of excess oxygen by promoting target saturations of 88%–92%. However, the new scale requires proof of hypercapnia and clinician approval which may paradoxically result in more patients with COPD initially receiving the default 94%–98% range.

Oxygen-induced hypercapnia is a dangerous and complex phenomenon caused primarily by ventilation–perfusion mismatching and changes in minute ventilation.1 It is not restricted to patients who are already hypercapnic,17 and may lead to respiratory acidemia and death. Excess oxygen additionally causes atelectasis, inflammation, acute lung injury and cardiac and cerebral vasoconstriction.9 18 19

An randomised controlled trial (RCT) performed in Australia in patients with presumed ECOPD showed high-flow oxygen substantially increased mortality compared with target saturations of 88%–92%.28 Mortality was lower with target therapy even in patients without spirometry confirmed COPD, although non-significantly. A 2018 meta-analysis showed liberal oxygen was associated with a dose-dependent increase in mortality across a range of medical conditions.21

Regrettably, injudicious oxygen use remains extremely common. A European audit in 2012 showed that one in four patients with ECOPD received high-flow oxygen prior to admission.22 UK-based observational studies from 201221 and 20171 showed that overoxygenation occurred in three-quarters and one-half of patients, respectively. The latter study focused on patients receiving acute NIV. ECOPD was the most common indication and oxygen toxicity contributed to approximately a quarter of all episodes of acute hypercapnic respiratory failure.

Previous studies show that healthcare workers do not understand the indications and harms of supplemental oxygen, and have entrenched views of its presumed benefit24; the conflicting indications and harms of supplemental oxygen, and the new scale requires proof of hypercapnia and clinician approval which may paradoxically result in more patients with COPD initially receiving the default 94%–98% range.

In this study, we examined in-hospital mortality in patients with ECOPD across admission oxygen saturation groups and by receipt of supplemental oxygen. Furthermore, we further assess mortality, pH and PaCO₂ in patients with baseline normocapnia to see if the current practice of targeting such patients with higher oxygen saturations is justified. For simplicity, we define normocapnia as any patient who is not hypercapnic.

METHODS

The main aim of this study was to examine in-hospital mortality in ECOPD, first across admission oxygen saturation groups of (1) 87% or less, (2) 88%–92%, (3) 93%–96% or (4) 97%–100% in (a) those receiving oxygen and (b) those not receiving oxygen at admission, and second in the subgroup of patients with hypercapnia and normocapnia. Inpatient mortality was the primary outcome, and 1-year mortality was the secondary outcome.

The Dyspnoea, Eosinopenia, Consolidation, Acidemia and Atrial Fibrillation (DECAF) score predicts risk of in-hospital death in ECOPD, outperforming alternative tools.23 24 The DECAF derivation and validation cohorts, comprising 2645 consecutive admissions of unique patients with ECOPD to one of six UK hospitals, were used in this study.23 24 The DECAF derivation and external validation cohorts were prospective, though the internal validation study included a mix of prospective and retrospective patients (collection of DECAF was part of usual care). All patients had obstructive spirometry performed prior to admission as part of usual care, an age of 35 years or older and a smoking history of 10 or more cigarette pack years. Exclusion criteria were any illness, other than COPD, that was likely to limit survival to less than 1 year. Approximately 3% of patients were excluded for survival limitations, principally malignancy. A prespecified requirement of the DECAF studies was robust collection of admission oxygen saturations, other candidate predictors, and inpatient death (the primary outcome). Therefore, these cohorts are well placed to explore the hypothesis that admission oxygen saturations are associated with inpatient death and adjust for baseline risk.

The DECAF and NEWS2 indices (respiratory rate, oxygen saturations, systolic blood pressure, pulse rate, level of consciousness and confusion and temperature) were collected at admission. The first admission oxygen saturation measurement was used, whether taken in accident and emergency or the medical admissions unit, which typically occurred at triage. Arterial blood analysis (ABG) was performed as part of usual care, usually within the first few hours of attendance to accident and emergency or the medical admissions unit. Patients were followed up to 1 year or death. Inpatient deaths were reported by the clinical team, and deaths were triangulated with the hospital Patient Administration System.

Missing data were handled using multiple imputation as described previously.27 28 For missing data related to oxygen saturation groups, data were imputed based on ABG results. Patients were divided into the following four admission oxygen saturation groups: (1) 87% or less, (2) 88%–92%, (3) 93%–96% or (4) 97%–100%. In-hospital mortality was compared across these four groups in those with and without supplemental oxygen.

ORs and 95% CIs were calculated using logistic regression for univariate and multivariate analyses. For multivariate analysis, baseline inpatient risk of death was adjusted using patient’s DECAF scores and their admission physiological indices, as per NEWS2 but excluding oxygen saturation (NEWS2-sats). The DECAF score was the best predictor of inpatient death in this cohort.23 26 and NEWS2 adds additional prognostic information, which justifies their selection for the adjusted model. As requested by a reviewer, we also applied a method that allows for estimating the relative risk instead of the OR for the multivariate model. We initially applied Poisson regression, but it was apparent from the model fit that the data were underdispersed. We therefore applied Conway-Maxwell-Poisson regression which better allows for underdispersion.29

The e value was calculated for adjusted risks and provides evidence for causality in observational studies.30 It is a quantitative measure of the Bradford Hill criterion that states stronger associations make causality more likely. It represents the required minimum strength of an association between a hypothetical unmeasured covariate and both the treatment (in this case oxygen) and outcome (inpatient death) to fully explain the observed association. A large e value implies it is less likely that the results are due to the confounding effects of an unmeasured variable.

Patients with target saturations of 88%–92% are at risk of dropping below this range and so mortality in the oxygen saturation range 85%–87% was separately assessed. In those who survived to discharge, 1-year mortality was presented by oxygen saturation group. Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS V22.
Patient and public involvement
This programme of research has included interviews with patients hospitalised with ECOPD, and liaison with an expert patient.

RESULTS
Population and missing data
There were 2645 patients from the DECAF cohorts, the characteristics of whom are described elsewhere. One thousand twenty-seven (39%) patients were in receipt of supplemental oxygen at admission. The mean age (SD) was 73.3 (10.2), 56% were women, mean (SD) preadmission FEV1 was 41.7 (17.4) per cent predicted, 57% could not leave home without help, and 31% had consolidation on admission chest X-ray. Twenty-nine per cent had acidemia on admission ABG and 54% had a Charlson index of 2 or more. Inpatient mortality was 12.8%, while the inpatient mortality in the 1618 patients that did not receive oxygen was 6.0%

Rates of missing data were low, with rates of 5.6% for NEWS2 (temperature 4.0%, respiratory rate 2.7%, alert, voice, pain, unresponsive and confusion 2.5%, systolic blood pressure 2.4%, pulse 2.3%) and 0% for the DECAF score (pH was regarded as non-scoring, ie., ≥7.3, in those deemed too well for ABG sampling). In all patients, 10.1% had missing ABG data, but only 3.6% of those receiving supplemental oxygen had missing ABG data. Admission oxygen saturations from pulse oximetry were unavailable for 2.9%. For the primary outcome, inpatient mortality, there were no missing data, and no patients were lost to follow-up.

In-hospital mortality
In-hospital mortality in patients receiving supplemental oxygen
There were 1027 patients receiving supplemental oxygen at the time admission oxygen saturations were recorded, with 14% in the 87% or less group, 28% in the 88%–92% group, 37% in the 93%–96% group and 22% in the 97%–100% group. Table 1 shows DECAF and NEWS2-sats indices across oxygen saturation groups. The 97%–100% group had a better mortality risk profile with respect to systolic blood pressure, fever, eosinopenia and consolidation. However, pH was lower, and both PaO2 and PaCO2 and their SD higher in the 97%–100% group which may be accounted for by excess oxygen. The 87% or less group showed high variability in mean PaO2 and PaCO2 which is perhaps due to some patients being given high rates of oxygen following identification of low oxygen saturations prior to ABG sampling. Further baseline data are shown in online supplemental table 1.

The lowest mortality was in the 88%–92% group: 88%–92% = 8.7%; 97%–100% = 17.1%; 93%–96% = 11.7%; and 87% or less = 17.1% (figure 1). Table 2 shows the risk of death across groups compared with the 88%–92% reference group, by OR and following adjustment for baseline mortality risk. After adjusting for baseline risk, mortality was further increased in both the 97%–100% group (unadjusted OR=2.18; adjusted OR=2.97) and 93%–96% group (unadjusted OR=1.40; adjusted OR=1.98), but less prominent in the 87% or less group (unadjusted OR=2.17; adjusted OR=1.36).

The e value for the adjusted OR for the 97%–100% group was 5.39 (95% CI 2.54 to 10.6), and for the 93%–96% was 3.37 (95% CI 1.40 to 6.66). Higher mortality was therefore not explained by baseline risk, supporting the hypothesis that overuse of oxygen contributed to mortality. Furthermore, an incremental, higher risk of death was seen at higher oxygen saturation levels.

In-hospital mortality in patients receiving supplemental oxygen with oxygen saturations of 85%–87%
Patients with oxygen saturations of 85%–87% had an inpatient mortality of 10.4%, falling between the mortality in the 88%–92% and 93%–96% groups. This may provide reassurance that dropping marginally below the 88%–92% threshold is not particularly unsafe, but this group is small (n=58), and so these results should be interpreted with caution.

In-hospital mortality in patients with hypercapnia and normocapnia receiving supplemental oxygen
Of 1027 patients receiving supplemental oxygen, 476 were normocapnic. Patients with normocapnia with admission saturations of 97%–100% and 93%–96% had significantly higher

Table 1  Baseline characteristics between admission oxygen saturation groups in those receiving supplemental oxygen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admission oxygen saturation group</th>
<th>87% or less n=147</th>
<th>88%–92% n=282</th>
<th>93%–96% n=375</th>
<th>97%–100% n=223</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DECAF indices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eMRCD Score, (IQR)</td>
<td>5a (4–5a)</td>
<td>5a (4–5b)</td>
<td>5a (4–5a)</td>
<td>5a (4–5b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinopenia less than 0.05, %</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXR consolidation, %</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH less than 7.35/7.3, %</td>
<td>53.8/34.9</td>
<td>18.9/9.7</td>
<td>26.1/12.3</td>
<td>31.5/20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF, %</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWS2-sats indices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, (SD)</td>
<td>27.1 (7.7)</td>
<td>25.0 (6.4)</td>
<td>25.2 (6.5)</td>
<td>26.3 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP, systolic, mm Hg (SD)</td>
<td>136 (31)</td>
<td>135 (25)</td>
<td>139 (28)</td>
<td>141 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse rate, (SD)</td>
<td>108 (22)</td>
<td>104 (19)</td>
<td>104 (21)</td>
<td>106 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVPU and/or confusion, %</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp. 38 °C/100.4 F or more, %</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pO2, kPa (SD)</td>
<td>8.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>8.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>10.2 (4.6)</td>
<td>13.9 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCO2, kPa (SD)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.5)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.4)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>7.2 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF; atrial fibrillation; AVPU; alert, voice, pain, unresponsive; BP; blood pressure; CXR, chest radiograph; DECAF, dyspnoea, eosinopenia, chest X-ray consolidation, acidemia, atrial fibrillation; eMRCD, extended Modified Research Council Dyspnoea score; kPa, kilopascals; NEWS2, National Early Warning Score; pCO2, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; pO2, partial pressure of oxygen; Temp., temperature.
mortality than the 88%–92% group (adjusted OR=4.32 and 2.31, respectively; figure 1 and table 3).

For patients with hypercapnia in the 97%–100% group, the adjusted OR was 2.28 with a p value of 0.052. For patients with hypercapnia in the 93%–96% group, the mortality risk (OR=1.82) was not statistically significant.

Secondary analysis of in-hospital mortality using relative risk in patients treated with supplemental oxygen

The results for the secondary analysis showed an adjusted Risk Ratio (RR) of 2.00 (95% CI 1.38 to 2.90, p<0.001) for the 97%–100% group, and 1.36 (95% CI 0.92 to 2.02, p=0.127). Unlike the primary analysis, only the 97%–100% group reached statistical significance.

In those with normocapnia, the mortality association was consistent with the primary analysis, with a significant increase in risk for both the 97%–100% and 93%–96% groups (RR=3.27, 95% CI 1.66 to 6.45, p=0.001; and RR 2.28, 95% CI 1.15 to 4.51, p=0.018). For those with hypercapnia, the mortality increase in the 97%–100% was of borderline consistency, though the 93%–96% did not show an association with mortality (RR=1.60, 95% CI 1.07 to 2.40, p=0.025; RR 1.08, 95% CI 1.15 to 4.51, p=0.018).

Admission saturations and mortality in patients not treated with supplemental oxygen

In 1618 patients not treated with supplemental oxygen, the higher mortality in the 88%–92% group was due to a better baseline risk (tables 1 and 4, and online table 4). Mortality fell incrementally from the 88%–92% to the 97%–100% group (figure 2, right panel) in-line with a fall in pCO2. Conversely, in those treated with oxygen, the U-shaped mortality pattern was matched by a U-shaped distribution of mean pCO2 and proportion of patients with a pH of less than 7.35 (figure 2, left panel).

One-year mortality

Mortality at 1-year post discharge in those treated with supplemental oxygen

In patients who received supplemental oxygen on admission and survived to discharge, the risk of death at 1 year was 28%. The 12-month mortality rates, excluding inpatient deaths, were: 87% or less=32%, 88%–92%=31%, 93%–96%=23% and 97%–100%=28% (including inpatient deaths these figures were 43%, 37%, 32% and 40%) (figure 3). The 93%–96% group had a significantly lower risk of death than the 88%–92% group, and overall (online supplemental table 5 includes further information relating to 1-year mortality).

Table 2 Relationship between admission oxygen saturation group and inpatient mortality on univariate and multivariate analysis in patients receiving supplemental oxygen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygen saturations</th>
<th>Died/total</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%–92%</td>
<td>25/282</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97%–100%</td>
<td>38/223</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.26 to 3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%–96%</td>
<td>44/375</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.83 to 2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87% or less</td>
<td>25/147</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.19 to 3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWS2-sats</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECAF</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DECAF, dyspnoea, eosinopenia, chest X-ray consolidation, acidaemia, atrial fibrillation; NEWS2, National Early Warning Score.
**DISCUSSION**

In 1027 patients admitted with ECOPD to 1 of 6 hospitals and treated with supplemental oxygen, in-hospital mortality was lowest in those with admission oxygen saturations between 88% and 92%. This association was significant in patients with normocapnia in the primary and secondary analysis, but was unexpectedly not significant in the hypercapnic groups. This suggests that the practice of setting different target saturations based on PaCO2 is not justified. Mortality rates rose sequentially in the 93%–96% and 97%–100% groups. The higher mortality in patients with higher oxygen saturations cannot be explained by more severe acute illness and underlying frailty; this association remained after adjusting for baseline mortality risk assessed by DECAF and NEWS2 (excluding oxygen saturation). Furthermore, at 1 year, the 88%–92% cohort did not have better outcome to suggest a more favourable risk profile, though the 93%–96% groups had a significant lower risk of death.

Both DECAF and NEWS2-sats scores were strong predictors of inpatient death in regression models, which confirms their prognostic value and suitability for risk adjustment. This, and the incremental relationship between oxygen saturations and mortality, supports a possible causal relationship between excess oxygen and mortality.

Guidelines from the BTS and endorsed by the ERS state that patients with ECOPD should initially have target saturations of 88%–92%, though this recommendation is not consistently applied in clinical practice.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) This may be partly due to two potentially incorrect assumptions: that oxygen levels which are modestly above the target range (93%–96%) are safe, and that patients with COPD with normal PaCO2 are immune to the harmful effects of oxygen.

International data on changes in oxygen practice are mostly lacking. UK national COPD audits show that while excess oxygen use in ECOPD remains a serious concern,\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) practice is improving. The proportion of patients with hyperoxia (PaO\(_2\) >13 kPa/97.5 mm Hg) was 19% in 2003 and 16% in 2008, falling to 8% in 2014.\(^1\)\(^2\) Of note, this fall followed the publication of the 2008 BTS oxygen guidelines which recommend target saturations of 88%–92% in most patients. In-hospital mortality fell from 7.8% in 2008 to 4.3% in 2014, despite similar baseline characteristics and no substantial difference in other acute treatment (including NIV). Improved oxygen delivery may explain a substantial part of the fall in mortality. In our study, if a causal link is assumed, the number need to harm (NNH) to cause one death was 12 for the 97%–100% oxygen saturation group and 32 for the 93%–96% oxygen saturation group.

### Table 3

Relationship between admission oxygen saturation group and inpatient mortality on univariate and multivariate analysis in patients receiving supplemental oxygen, with and without hypercapnia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygen saturations</th>
<th>Died/ total</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=50/476</td>
<td>OR 95% CI P value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR 95% CI P value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normocapnia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%–92%</td>
<td>9/139</td>
<td>1 Reference –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Reference –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97%–100%</td>
<td>16/105</td>
<td>2.62 1.11 to 6.21 0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.32 2.19 to 8.52 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%–96%</td>
<td>17/184</td>
<td>1.47 0.63 to 3.41 0.373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31 1.17 to 4.58 0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87% or less</td>
<td>8/47</td>
<td>2.93 1.06 to 8.14 0.039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.08 1.01 to 4.25 0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWS2-sats</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18 1.09 to 1.28 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECAF</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74 2.36 to 3.17 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercapnia</td>
<td>N=81/551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%–92%</td>
<td>15/143</td>
<td>1 Reference –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Reference –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97%–100%</td>
<td>22/118</td>
<td>1.90 0.93 to 3.90 0.079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28 0.99 to 5.21 0.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%–96%</td>
<td>27/191</td>
<td>1.37 0.70 to 2.68 0.361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.82 0.84 to 3.95 0.128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87% or less</td>
<td>17/99</td>
<td>1.71 0.81 to 3.63 0.158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.10 0.47 to 2.59 0.295</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWS2-sats</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.26 1.12 to 1.43 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECAF</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.27 1.78 to 2.90 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DECAF, dyspnoea, eosinopenia, chest X-ray consolidation, acidaemia, atrial fibrillation; NEWS2, National Early Warning Score.

### Table 4

Relationship between admission oxygen saturation group and inpatient mortality on univariate and multivariate analysis in patients without supplemental oxygen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygen saturations</th>
<th>Died/ total</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=96/1618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%–92%</td>
<td>35/479</td>
<td>1 Reference –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Reference –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97%–100%</td>
<td>4/169</td>
<td>0.34 0.12 to 0.96 0.042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44 0.14 to 1.30 0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%–96%</td>
<td>20/570</td>
<td>0.46 0.26 to 0.81 0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62 0.34 to 1.15 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87% or less</td>
<td>37/401</td>
<td>1.29 0.80 to 2.09 0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88 0.51 to 1.51 0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWS2-sats</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.17 1.05 to 1.30 0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECAF</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.91 2.37 to 3.57 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DECAF, dyspnoea, eosinopenia, chest X-ray consolidation, acidaemia, atrial fibrillation; NEWS2, National Early Warning Score.
The subgroup with normocapnia was at similar risk of harm (NNH=1 in 11 and 1 in 36, respectively). Robinson et al have shown that oxygen therapy can lead to hypercapnia in patients with baseline normocapnia. We speculate that in our study the increased mortality risk in both the 97%–100% and 93%–96% normocapnic groups compared with the 88%–92% group may be due to inappropriate complacency in oxygen provision throughout admission.

Our results compliment those of the Australian cluster RCT: among patients with a clinical diagnosis of COPD, controlled oxygen therapy to target saturations 88%–92% from ambulance pick-up improved survival compared with high flow oxygen. In all patients, the magnitude of harm with high flow oxygen expressed as an OR was 2.52 which is similar to that seen in our group with oxygen saturations of 97%–100%. A recent systematic review showed that liberal oxygen use (defined as saturations 94%–99%) was associated with increased mortality in sepsis, critical illness, stroke, trauma, myocardial infarction and post cardiac arrest and an adverse dose response. Patients with ECOPD comprise one of the larger inpatient groups, often require oxygen and are frequently given oxygen above target saturations of 88%–92%. Given the marked increased mortality rates with excess oxygen, this represents an important area globally for the prevention of death and morbidity.

Our study has several strengths. Our population were well described, with evidence of obstructive spirometry and a clinical history of COPD. Ethics approval was granted without the need for written consent as the study was observational, which allowed complete capture of consecutive patients. The need for written consent in observational studies may result in systematic bias, as the most unwell patients and most well patients are under-represented. More than 80% of patients were identified prospectively (some patients were identified within a discharge coding search that was performed on a wide range of respiratory admissions to ensure no patients were missed). Eligibility criteria were broad and rates of missing data were low, which supports the generalisability of our results. There was meticulous assessment of key prognostic indices allowing for accurate baseline

Figure 2  Inpatient mortality, pH (per cent less than 7.35), and pCO₂ (kPa) in those with (left) and without (right) supplemental oxygen. Those on supplemental oxygen (left) and those without (right) and the association between inpatient mortality, the proportion of patients with a pH of less than 7.35 and the pCO₂ in kPa. The per cent for mortality and those with acidaemia is shown on the left axis, and kPa is shown on the right.

Figure 3  One-year mortality risk by admission oxygen saturation group in patients receiving supplemental oxygen, in patients that survived to discharge. 1-year mortality in patients with normocapnia (PaCO₂ 45 mm Hg/ 6.0 kPa or less) and hypercapnia (PaCO₂ >45 mm Hg/ 6 kPa) by their admission oxygen saturation score, not including patients that died as an inpatient.
risk adjustment. Data analyses, including methods to deal with missing data, were robust.

Our study has the following limitations. Indices were collected at admission only, and we did not have prehospital oxygen data for those admitted via ambulance. While audit data show that preadmission/admission is the main risk period for excess oxygen, longitudinal oxygen saturation data would have refined the analysis. A larger sample size would have provided clarity on the 93%–96% group, as the mortality difference seen with the 88%–92% overall group was significant in the primary analysis but not the secondary analysis. As with all observational studies, causation cannot be proven; while we used a robust regression model, the DECAF score includes pH which is associated with death as well as oxygen use and is therefore a potential confounder. However, our findings are consistent with RCT data, and the validity of our findings is supported by the strength of the association, biological plausibility, a dose-dependent relationship and coherence with other clinical research. Given the harm seen with excess oxygen in patients with COPD, further RCTs in this area may be ethically unrealisable.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, in patients with ECOPD receiving supplemental oxygen, those with oxygen saturations of 88%–92% had the highest survival compared with patients with higher oxygen saturations. Patients with normocapnia with oxygen saturations of 97%–100% and 93%–96% had worse outcome than the 88%–92% group. Randomised controlled studies have shown that the risk of excess oxygen includes patients with and without COPD, and likely included those without baseline hypercapnia. The extent of harm with excess oxygen is substantial, with numbers needed to cause death of 14 (subgroup with COPD), 20 patients with and without COPD) 20 and 12.5 (patients with septic shock). In our study, we have shown that patients with normocapnia treated with excess oxygen have similar unacceptable levels of harm. We recommend a single rule for all patients with COPD, irrespective of the presence or absence of hypercapnia: oxygen saturations of 88%–92%.

Widespread adoption of these target saturations from ambulance pick-up should simplify clinical pathways and reduce risk of excess oxygenation and death of patients with COPD.
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