

# Implementing clinical debriefing programmes

Demian Szyld <sup>1,2,3</sup> Alexander F Arriaga<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>

Critical event debriefing (CED) or ‘hot debriefings’ (HoDs) can be described as a trigger-based, immediate postevent, inter-professional, expertly facilitated conversation where clinicians recount, reflect on, and improve personally and as a team.<sup>1</sup> The process and experience of clinicians who cared for the patient is more complex and involves thoughts and emotions that go beyond the ‘case’ or ‘resuscitation’ and the debriefing itself.<sup>2</sup> The *American Heart Association* guidelines for neonatal resuscitation highlight ‘team debriefing’ as a key step in their cognitive aid.<sup>3</sup> There is evidence that implementing a ‘Cold Debrief’ programme for teams that care for patients in cardiac arrest improves outcomes<sup>4</sup> yet in practice 33% of events are routinely debriefed.<sup>5</sup> Critical events in anaesthesia are debriefed infrequently and those associated with communication breakdowns were even less likely to be debriefed<sup>6</sup>. How do we fuel local implementation of CED programmes to harvest the opportunity for personal and systems learning required for continuous improvement?

In their *EMJ* study, Sugarman *et al* present a potent description of the local adaptation, implementation and dissemination of a CED programme in an emergency department (ED). They report on the findings of a quality improvement programme at a single institutions’ ED where a retrospective audit was conducted to examine the occurrence of HoDs in the North-West of England. Stakeholder interviews and a needs assessment process yielded a novel format for conducting locally relevant HoDs: the ‘TAKE STOCK’ model.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Medical Simulation, Center for Medical Simulation, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>3</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>4</sup>Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>5</sup>Ariadne Labs, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>6</sup>Center for Surgery and Public Health, Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

**Correspondence to** Dr Demian Szyld, Department of Emergency Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; dszyld@bwh.harvard.edu



**Figure 1** Brigham Resilience in COVID-19-pandemic Emergency Forum (BRIEF) programme brochure.<sup>9</sup>

The authors defined criteria for an HoD as: unexpected death, paediatric standby, distressing event, staff request and unexpected outcome. The programme was developed at one institution, it has been shared freely under creative commons license across 42 ED and Ambulance trusts in the UK. The authors prepared an implementation guide that includes a process for the conversation, guidance for those leading the conversation in the form of do’s and don’ts and other useful materials: a poster of the mnemonic TAKE STOCK, and the Data Collection Sheet. Over the course of 9 months, an embedded internal team developed the practice and process for CED based on local interviews, an evolving iterative design process.

The development and implementation approach as described shares strategies and features that have been fruitful when implementing debriefing programmes to improve communication and decrease burnout in intensive care units<sup>8</sup> and EDs<sup>9–10</sup> during the COVID-19 pandemic. There are four features of the TAKE STOCK programme that we would like to highlight as they have been critical to successfully implementing locally tailored debriefing programmes in our experience.

1. Engage professionals from all levels of leadership: Involve active clinicians, CED programme leaders and ED leadership.
2. Prototype, pilot, iterate and adapt: Start with a prototype knowing that it will evolve and welcome iteration and innovation as part of the process.
3. Create clear processes and feedback loops: Define triggers for CED and set times and places for debriefing.
4. Create emotional connection with creative naming and branding: Use naming and branding to forge an emotional connection to the participants helping establish the practice of debriefing as part of their own routines and identities (figure 1 for example).

We suspect that CED programmes will continue to grow and serve as a critical mechanism to support people and processes in complex health systems. When, where, for what purposes and in what way these programmes are implemented are on the research agenda. CED programmes have the potential to impact expected and unexpected areas of practices in emergency medicine including mitigating social and racial biases, combating the epidemics of burnout and suicide, and responding to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

**Contributors** Both authors contributed equally.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Provenance and peer review** Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ’s website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

**Handling editor** Ellen J Weber



**To cite** Szyld D, Arriaga AF. *Emerg Med J* 2021;**38**:585–586.

Received 8 February 2021

Accepted 26 April 2021

Published Online First 26 May 2021



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/emered-2019-208830>  
*Emerg Med J* 2021;**38**:585–586.

doi:10.1136/emered-2021-211133

**ORCID iD**

Demian Szyld <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6609-7384>

**REFERENCES**

- 1 Arriaga AF, Szyld D, Pian-Smith MCM. Real-Time Debriefing after critical events: exploring the gap between principle and reality. *Anesthesiol Clin* 2020;38:801–20.
- 2 Sweeney RE, Clapp JT, Arriaga AF, et al. Understanding Debriefing: a qualitative study of event reconstruction at an academic medical center. *Acad Med* 2020;95:1089–97.
- 3 Wyckoff MH, Aziz K, Escobedo MB, et al. Part 13: neonatal resuscitation: 2015 American heart association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015;132:S543–60.
- 4 Wolfe H, Zebuhr C, Topjian AA, et al. Interdisciplinary ICU cardiac arrest Debriefing improves survival outcomes\*. *Crit Care Med* 2014;42:1688–95.
- 5 Wolfe HA, Wenger J, Sutton R, et al. Cold Debriefings after in-hospital cardiac arrest in an international pediatric resuscitation quality improvement collaborative. *Pediatr Qual Saf* 2020;5:e319–8.
- 6 Arriaga AF, Sweeney RE, Clapp JT, et al. Failure to Debrief after critical events in anesthesia is associated with failures in communication during the event. *Anesthesiology* 2019;130:1039–48.
- 7 Sugarman M, Graham B, Langston S, et al. Implementation of the 'TAKE STOCK' Hot Debrief Tool in the ED: a quality improvement project. *Emerg Med J* 2021. doi:10.1136/emered-2019-208830. [Epub ahead of print: 13 Jan 2021].
- 8 Rock LK, Rudolph JW, Fey MK, et al. "Circle Up": Workflow adaptation and psychological support via briefing, debriefing, and peer support. *Catalystnejm.org* 2020.
- 9 Azizoddin DR, Vella Gray K, Dundin A, et al. Bolstering clinician resilience through an interprofessional, web-based nightly Debriefing program for emergency departments during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Interprof Care* 2020;34:711–5.
- 10 Servotte J-C, Welch-Horan TB, Mullan P, et al. Development and implementation of an end-of-shift clinical Debriefing method for emergency departments during COVID-19. *Adv Simul* 2020;5:1425–11.

Emerg Med J: first published as 10.1136/emered-2021-211133 on 26 May 2021. Downloaded from <http://emj.bmj.com/> on October 2, 2022 by guest. Protected by copyright.