

1665

IN PAEDIATRIC TRAUMA PATIENTS IS PREHOSPITAL BYPASS COMPARED TO SECONDARY TRANSFER ASSOCIATED WITH REDUCED HOSPITAL AND INTENSIVE CARE UNIT LENGTH OF STAY? A RETROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

¹Owen Hibberd, ²James Price, ²Amy Laurent, ³Shruti Agrawal, ⁴Edward Barnard.
¹Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University London; ²Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust; ³Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and University of Cambridge; ⁴Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Academic Department of Military Emergency Medicine, Royal Centre for Defence Medicine (Research and Clinical Innovation)

10.1136/emered-2022-RCEM2.10

Aims, Objectives and Background In the UK over half of severely injured children are conveyed to a trauma unit (TU). A proportion of these are subsequently transferred to a major trauma centre (MTC). Most regional networks permit TU bypass to an MTC. However, data on patient-centered outcomes between models are limited. The objective of this study was to compare hospital and intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay (LOS) between bypass and secondary transfer cohorts.

Method and Design All paediatric trauma patients (meeting Trauma Audit Research Network (TARN) inclusion criteria) admitted to the East of England MTC (2015–2020) were included. Bypass was defined as >45min transport time to MTC; secondary transfer was defined as transfer from a TU <24hr. TARN data were cross-referenced with electronic

patient records to link pre-hospital data, complications, and timings. Data are reported as number (percentage), and median [inter-quartile range]. Proportions were compared with a Fisher's exact test, and medians with a Mann-Whitney U test; reported a p-values. Data were analysed in Prism 9 for macOS.

Results & Conclusion A total of 232 patients (n=58 bypass, n=174 secondary transfer) were included. The median age was 9.8 [4.5–13.7] years, n=156 (67.2%) were male, and the median injury severity score was 17.0 [10.0–25.0]; not significantly different between groups, table 1. The median time to definitive care was five hours greater in the TU cohort, table 1.

There was a significantly longer hospital LOS and ICU LOS in the bypass group, both $p < 0.001$.

We observed no difference in mortality at time of discharge between groups, but the secondary transfer cohort were more likely to have a good neurological recovery, table 1.

In this regional study of paediatric trauma, we found no evidence that bypass to an MTC was associated with better patient outcomes compared to secondary transfer, despite significant time delays in reaching definitive care.

1739

IMPROVING THE MANAGEMENT OF PAEDIATRIC ANGULATED UPPER LIMB FRACTURES IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

Roshni Shah, Francesca Garcia, Yashar Deylampour, Matthew Edwards. *Kings College Hospital*

10.1136/emered-2022-RCEM2.11

Background Upper limb fractures are common to the Paediatric Emergency Department (PED). Most angulated and/or displaced fractures are traditionally referred to the orthopaedic team to admit for manipulation under anaesthesia (MUA). The Emergency Department (ED) believes that their manipulations and associated analgesia are sub-optimal to those performed/given in theatre. This means a higher number of avoidable overnight paediatric admissions, long waiting times while fasting, and the theoretical risks of general anaesthesia and surgery.

Aims Reduce the number of hospital admissions for MUA from the PED by managing suitable upper limb fractures safely and appropriately. Encourage long-term changes in clinician readiness to manipulate suitable paediatric injuries.

Objectives

- Increase number of patients identified with upper limb fractures suitable for manipulation in PED
- Reduce number of patients in this cohort requiring admission to hospital for MUA

Method and Design A Plan-Do-Study-Act methodology was implemented. Adherence to our local policy was measured, specifically: inclusion/exclusion criteria, modes of analgesia and presence of a senior Orthopaedic doctor.

From October 2020 -June 2021, interventions were undertaken: a teaching package for Emergency Nurse Practitioners and doctors, posters placed in the PED, meetings with key stakeholders including the orthopaedic team and the introduction of a new departmental guideline. Data was collected between June 2021–September 2021 to see if results were maintained.

Abstract 1665 Table 1 Comparison of Bypass and Secondary Transfer cohorts, n= 232

	Bypass	Secondary Transfer	
n	58	174	-
Age (years)/median [IQR]	9.4 [5.3–13.5]	10.0 [3.8–13.7]	$p=0.73$
Male sex/n (%)	37 (63.8%)	119 (68.4%)	$p=0.52$
ISS/median [IQR]	20.0 [10.8–29.0]	16.0 [10.0–25.0]	$p=0.067$
Pre-hospital			
MTTT +/n (%)	55 (94.8%)	28 (16.1%)	$p < 0.0001$
HEMS team/n (%)	54 (93.1%)	21 (12.1%)	$p < 0.0001$
Time to MTC (minutes)/median [IQR]	117.6 [100.8–136.8]	418.8 [315.6–529.8]	$p < 0.0001$
MTC			
Trauma team reception/n (%)	48 (82.8%)	60 (34.5%)	$p < 0.0001$
Outcomes			
GOS 1 (death)/n (%)	3 (5.2%)	7 (4.0%)	$p=0.71$
GOS 2/n (%)	0	0	-
GOS 3/n (%)	4 (6.9%)	1 (0.6%)	$p=0.02$
GOS 4/n (%)	21 (36.2%)	28 (16.1%)	$p=0.003$
GOS 5 (good)/n (%)	31 (53.4%)	137 (78.7%)	$p=0.0003$
LOS (days)/median [IQR]	8.5 [6.0–19.0]	5.0 [3.0–10.0]	$p < 0.0001$
ICU admit/n (%)	48 (82.8%)	126 (72.4%)	$p=0.16$
ICU LOS (for admits) (days)/median [IQR]	2.0 [1.0–6.0]	1.0 [1.0–3.0]	$p=0.0006$
*Major complication/n (%)	6 (10.3%)	19 (10.9%)	$p > 0.99$

Abbreviations GOS = Glasgow Outcome Score ICU = Intensive Care Unit ISS = Injury Severity Score IQR = Interquartile Range LOS = Length of stay MTTT = Major Trauma Triage Tool MTC = Major Trauma Centre TU = Trauma Unit
 *Major Complication = Occurrence of any of the following during admission: pneumonia, PE, ARDS, sepsis, post-op complication, post-op haemorrhage, convulsion, CNS infection, wound dehiscence

Results and Conclusion Results Rate of manipulations in PED increased from 41% to 78% in the 3rd cycle; improving to 86.36% after interventions stopped. Of those manipulated in PED, 73.68% were discharged from the department. Admissions for MUA decreased from 85% to 70% in the 3rd cycle; decreasing to 36.36% after interventions stopped.

The project showed success in improving management of paediatric angulated upper limb fractures. By identifying appropriate fractures, involving the senior orthopaedic team and providing adequate analgesia, admission for general anaesthesia can be avoided.

RCEM Free Papers

1480

THE APPLICATION OF AN AGE ADJUSTED D-DIMER THRESHOLD TO RULE OUT SUSPECTED VENOTHROMBOEMBOLISM (VTE) IN AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT SETTING: A RETROSPECTIVE DIAGNOSTIC COHORT STUDY

¹Liam Barrett, ²Tom Jones, ³Daniel Horner. ¹Cambridge University Hospital NHS Trust; ²Wythenshawe Hospital; ³University of Manchester

10.1136/emered-2022-RCEM2.12

Aims, Objectives and Background Venous Thromboembolic disease (VTE) poses a diagnostic challenge for clinicians in acute care. Over reliance on reference standard investigations can lead to over treatment and potential harm.

We sought to evaluate the pragmatic performance and implications of using an age adjusted d-dimer (AADD) strategy to rule out VTE in patients with suspected disease attending an emergency department setting.

We aimed to determine diagnostic test characteristics and assess whether this strategy would result in proportional imaging reduction and potential cost savings.

Method and Design

Design Single centre retrospective diagnostic cohort study.

All patients >50 years old evaluated for possible VTE who presented to the ED over a consecutive 12-month period between January and December 2016 with a positive D-dimer result.

Clinical assessment records and reference standard imaging results were followed up by multiple independent adjudicators and coded as VTE positive or negative.

Results During the study period, there were 2132 positive D-dimer results. 1236 patients received reference standard investigations. A total increase of 314/1236 (25.1%) results would have been coded as true negatives as opposed to false positive if the AADD cut off point had been applied, with 314 reference standard tests subsequently avoided.

The AADD cut off had comparable sensitivity to the current cut off despite this increase in specificity; sensitivities for the diagnosis of DVT were 99.28% (95% CI 96.06–99.98%) and 97.72% for PE (95% CI 91.94% to 97.72). There were 3 potential false negative results using the AADD strategy.

Conclusion In patients with suspected VTE with a low or moderate pre-test probability, the application of AADD appears to increase the proportion of patients in which VTE can be excluded without the need for reference standard imaging. This management strategy is likely to be associated with substantial reduction in anticoagulation treatment, investigations and cost/time savings.

1522

THE COMPOSITE OUTCOME FALLACY IN THE PRIEST COVID-19 CLINICAL PREDICTION SCORE

¹Kieran Dash, ²Steve Goodacre, ²Laura Sutton. ¹Sheffield Teaching Hospitals; ²School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield

10.1136/emered-2022-RCEM2.13

Aims, Objectives and Background Clinical prediction models are often developed using composite outcomes, based on the implicit assumption that the predictors have similar associations with each component outcome. Using an example of a clinical prediction tool for adverse outcome in suspected COVID-19, we aimed to test this assumption and determine whether using a composite outcome led to suboptimal prediction of individual elements of the composite outcome.

Method and Design We reanalysed data from the Pandemic Respiratory Infection Emergency System Triage (PRIEST) study; data was collected from 20,891 patients attending 73 emergency departments with suspected COVID-19 and was used to develop a clinical score predicting a composite outcome of mortality or receipt of major organ support up to 30 days following attendance. In this reanalysis we created Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) multiple regression models to produce unrestricted prediction models for (1) the composite outcome, (2) mortality, and (3) receipt of major organ support.

Results and Conclusion Unrestricted regression models had c-statistics of 0.86 (95% Confidence Interval (CI) 0.85–0.86) for mortality, 0.78 (95% CI 0.77–0.80) for receipt of major organ support, and 0.82 (95% CI 0.82–0.83) for the composite outcome. Key variables in the clinical score (increased age, reduced performance status and reduced consciousness) predicted increased risk for mortality and the composite outcome but decreased or no significant risk for receipt of major organ support. The assumption that predictors have similar associations with individual elements of a composite outcome may not hold. Clinical prediction models may incur a ‘composite outcome fallacy’ if they are driven by predicting one element of the composite outcome but used to predict another. Further research into other clinical prediction score with composite outcomes is required.

1726

INTEGRATING ESTABLISHED CLINICAL SCORES WITH A NOVEL TRANSCRIPTOMIC SEVERITY CLASSIFIER AUGMENTS EARLY RISK ASSESSMENT IN THE ED

¹Eva Diehl-Wiesenecker, ¹Noa Galtung, ²Oliver Liesenfeld, ²Florian Uhle, ²Timothy E Sweeney, ¹Wolfgang Bauer. ¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ²Clinical Affairs, Inflammix Inc., Redwood City, USA

10.1136/emered-2022-RCEM2.14

Aims, Objectives and Background Reliable risk assessment in patients presenting to emergency departments (ED) with suspected infection is of utmost importance to support clinical decisions. Vital sign-based scoring systems such as NEWS2 or qSOFA enable a rapid first assessment of patient urgency at triage. However, their inherent high sensitivity might drive over-utilization of healthcare resources. Our aim was to evaluate if adding the result of a transcriptomic severity classifier can synergistically improve current score-based risk assessment in the ED.