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ABSTRACT
Background Every day throughout the UK, ambulance services seek medical assistance in providing critically ill or injured patients with pre-hospital care.
Objective To identify the current availability and utilisation of physician-based pre-hospital critical care capability across England, Wales and Northern Ireland.
Design A postal and telephone survey was undertaken between April and December 2009 of all 13 regional NHS ambulance services, 17 air ambulance charities, 34 organisations affiliated to the British Association for Immediate Care and 215 type 1 emergency departments in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. The survey focused on the availability and use of physician-based pre-hospital critical care support.
Results The response rate was 100%. Although nine NHS ambulance services recorded physician attendance at 6155 incidents, few could quantify doctor availability and utilisation. All but one of the British Association for Immediate Care organisations deployed ‘only when available’ and only 45% of active doctors could provide critical care support. Eleven air ambulance services (65%) operated with a doctor but only 5 (29%) operated 7 days a week. Fifty-nine EDs (27%) had a pre-hospital critical care capability and none were used regularly.
Conclusion There is wide geographical and diurnal variability in availability and utilisation of physician-based pre-hospital critical care support. Only London ambulance service has access to NHS-commissioned 24 h physician-based pre-hospital critical care support. Throughout the rest of the UK, extensive use is made of volunteer doctors and charity sector providers of varying availability and capability.

INTRODUCTION
Following the NHS Next Stage Review,1 a number of highly critical reports2–5 and the appointment of a national clinical director for trauma care, the Department of Health initiated the development of regional networks for the management of major trauma.4

The trauma network is intended to encompass all elements of the patient’s journey from injury to rehabilitation—including the average 55 min pre-hospital phase.2 There is increasing public, professional and political recognition that physician-based critical care during this phase is associated with increased survival for selected patients.5–10 There is also a sense of inequity in access to, and availability of, physician-based, on-scene medical support to the ambulance service. Many consider that levels of clinical care for the critically injured should be equitable and consistent irrespective of geography or time of day or whether the patient is at the scene, in transit to hospital or in an emergency department—critical care being a clinical process and not a physical place.2–11,12 The recent recommendation from the Major Trauma Clinical Advisory Groups for 24 h deployable ‘enhanced care’ teams to provide critical care support to ambulance services reflects these views.13

To assist with the development of the pre-hospital component of the evolving trauma networks, we sought to identify the current availability and utilisation of physician-based pre-hospital critical care services across England, Wales and Northern Ireland.

METHODS
Between April and July 2009, we conducted a postal survey of all 13 regional NHS ambulance services, 17 air ambulance charities and 34 organisations affiliated to the British Association for Immediate Care (BASICS) operating in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. The survey was constructed and distributed as a freedom of information request and covered the topics listed in box 1. Follow-up was by repeat letter and, where necessary, telephone contact with the relevant clinical lead.

We then conducted a telephone survey between September and December 2009 of all 215 major (type 1) emergency departments (EDs) listed in Department of Health statistical returns. The survey was administered by one author (GN) to senior medical staff (consultant or registrar) on two separate occasions and comprised four questions about the specific capability of the ED at the time of the call (box 2).

The ability of the service and/or individual doctors to provide pre-hospital emergency anaesthesia was used as a surrogate marker of ‘critical care’ capability—defined by the Department of Health as the provision of organ support and intensive monitoring.14

Results were expressed as counts and simple proportions and integrated into maps of physician-based pre-hospital critical care provision.

RESULTS
The response rate was 100% for all surveys. Table 1 shows the aggregated information provided by the
Box 1 Topics included in the postal survey

**NHS ambulance trusts**
- Number of pre-hospital immediate care doctors available to the Ambulance service
- Number of activations of pre-hospital care doctors
- Number of scene attendances by pre-hospital care doctors for 2007 and 2008.

**British Association for Immediate Care Schemes (BASICS)**
- Exact geographical area of operational activities
- Number of doctors clinically active in pre-hospital care
- Professional background of each clinically active member and number that provide pre-hospital emergency anaesthesia and intubation unsupervised (as a measure of critical care capability)
- Availability of pre-hospital care in daylight hours and at night time
- Funding source for activities.

**Air ambulance**
- Geographical area covered
- Number of aircraft used
- Hours of operation
- Whether a clinical service was provided in the hours of darkness (when air ambulances are usually unavailable)
- Number of days a week a doctor was present as part of the crew
- Number of doctors that provide pre-hospital emergency anaesthesia and intubation unsupervised (as a measure of critical care capability)
- Funding source for doctors.

respondents. Of the 13 ambulance services, only three knew how many doctors were available to support their service. These responses did not correlate with the number of active pre-hospital doctors reported by the BASICS schemes in the same region. Five ambulance services separately recorded requests for doctors (5720 and 4651 incidents in 2007 and 2008, respectively). Nine services recorded actual scene attendance (5008 and 6155 attendances in 2007 and 2008, respectively). These numbers reflect recorded utilisation of pre-hospital doctors with and without critical care skills as this distinction was not recorded by the services. The absence of utilisation data from 10 services contradicts the experience of BASICS schemes and air ambulance services according to ambulance service area of operations.

Eleven of the 17 air ambulance charity services (65%) operated with a doctor on one or more of their 30 aircraft but only five (29%) operated 7 days a week. In many services, doctor availability on any one day was unpredictable—with 76% relying on volunteer staffing (paid or unpaid) to varying degrees. Critical care capability is often assumed when doctors staff air ambulances but many services employ doctors who do not have these skills. It was not possible to quantify this. Only one of the air ambulance charities continued to operate routinely with a doctor into the night (using a response car and NHS-funded medical staff)—all others were restricted to daylight operations. Five-nineteen of the 215 ED (27%) had a system in place for 24 h deployment of a pre-hospital care team but only five (2%) had the skills to provide pre-hospital emergency anaesthesia. All teams reporting this capability also stated that they had infrequently or never been deployed.

**Discussion**

There are a number of limitations to this survey. The distribution of volunteer-based pre-hospital services is dynamic and thus our results may not precisely reflect the current situation. There are also artificial borders between the NHS ambulance service regions on figure 1 and we recognise that there is more flexibility in cross-cover arrangements than may be implied by our aggregation of survey responses. We also identified that BASICS organisations, air ambulance charities and EDs are, in many cases, interlinked. This may result in an over-count of the number of services and doctors able to provide critical care. There have, however, been no major organisational, policy or funding changes within the regions that would dramatically alter the overall picture of extensive geographical and diurnal variability and inequity in the provision of physician-based pre-hospital critical care in the UK. The results reflect the view expressed by the Royal College of Surgeons to parliament in 2008:

‘there is great inequality in access to, and provision of, pre-hospital critical care. As a consequence, there are preventable pre-hospital and early-hospital deaths that could have been averted had there been access to appropriate services’

Although a survival advantage has been demonstrated for severely injured patients treated by physician-based pre-hospital critical care teams operating within an organised system of care, considerable debate remains about their role and cost effectiveness.

Only in a few cases and places can critically injured patients who might benefit from such care receive it from NHS-commissioned pre-hospital critical care physician-based teams. The inequity is striking (figure 1). Only one NHS-commissioned physician-based service exists and operates (London). Five of the regional air ambulance charities provide non-NHS regional critical care.
physician-based pre-hospital critical care services during the day. The populations served by these services have access to a completely different level of care than the rest of the country. The remaining population can only access similar care when a volunteer doctor able to provide critical care is available to support their NHS ambulance trust, BASICS scheme and local air ambulance. This provision largely depends on volunteer doctors and cover is therefore incomplete, unpredictable and inconsistent. The situation is much worse at night (Figure 1B). It is unsurprising that the National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death concluded that: ‘The current structure of prehospital management is insufficient to meet the needs of the severely injured patient’.

Figure 1 also highlights the existence of large areas of the country in which there is no guarantee of receiving physician-based pre-hospital critical care at any time. We emphasise the word ‘guarantee’. We recognise that there are individuals and organisations within these areas who are capable of providing pre-hospital critical care support and frequently do so when available. Indeed, the differences in social and physical geography across the UK necessitate differences in the structure of pre-hospital care provision. However, the medical requirements of the critically injured are independent of their injury location or time. The NHS constitution’s principle of ‘access to services being based on clinical need’ is clearly not being applied to the critically injured before they reach hospital.

Table 1 Aggregated survey results showing variability in data collection, availability, capability and utilisation of pre-hospital critical care resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NHS Ambulance service survey</th>
<th>Doctors available (n)</th>
<th>Doctors requested (incidents)</th>
<th>Doctors attended (incidents)</th>
<th>BASICS survey</th>
<th>Air ambulance survey</th>
<th>Hospital emergency department survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Western</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Coast</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Western</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>2401</td>
<td>2869</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Pre-hospital physician-based critical care provision. (A) Daylight hours. (B) Hours of darkness (at night time the two dedicated services do not cover the whole night 7 days a week).
A nationwide development of regional trauma networks is currently underway. In order for trauma systems to function, patients must be transported safely to major trauma centres. This requires clinicians with the ability to triage and safely transport the critically injured. In hospitals and between hospitals, critical care physician-led teams exclusively carry out this role. This survey shows that outside hospital, the NHS does not provide physician-based critical care to facilitate this.

The use of volunteer doctors to fill this gap in service provision is considerable, with 6155 recorded scene attendances in 2008 and true activity levels that are likely to be much greater. The call for these doctors indicates that NHS ambulance services recognise a cohort of patients whose needs exceed their capabilities. If all 13 ambulance services had reported similar levels of physician utilisation, this would equate to nationwide crude minimum estimates of the order of 15,000 requests and 9500 scene attendances a year. The lack of data from ambulance trusts about whether these doctors were all able to provide critical care inevitably means that this may be an overestimate.

These are also small numbers in relation to overall urgent and unscheduled care demand. They do, however, represent a significant potential pre-hospital critical care workload which needs further delineation. We support the recent National Audit office recommendation that: ‘ambulance trusts should collect data on the resources dispatched and treatment provided, and link it with data collected by NHS acute trusts in order to monitor the quality and safety of care provided in the pre-hospital environment.’ We would emphasise that such data should include air ambulance activity data as well as the capability of any deployed physicians. Helicopter emergency ambulance service activity in the UK was reviewed in a postal survey in 2001 but services have evolved considerably since then and no unified capability or operational activity data source exists.

There is also considerable variation in the availability of doctors with emergency anaesthetic skills and disordered patterns of use of this capability. Pre-hospital care doctors come from a range of backgrounds, including general practice, emergency medicine, surgery, anaesthesia and intensive care medicine. There are many aspects of pre-hospital care beyond organ support for the critically injured and ill. We particularly focused on pre-hospital critical care in this survey, as it is within this domain that the balance of evidence of benefit is strongest and the inequity of access most striking.

No major ED regularly deploys a team able to provide critical care and only 15 described a pre-planned system to deploy any form of 24 h team. If neither a major ED nor an ambulance service can easily access this capability for incidents involving one or two critically injured patients then they will struggle to access deployable critical care support for larger multi-casualty incidents. This brings the recent NHS Emergency Planning Guidance on the widespread provision of major emergency response incident teams (MERIT) into sharp focus. The guidance states that:

‘The scope of incidents that would warrant a MERIT response would include any incident where ambulance personnel at scene attending an incident identify a potential benefit, following assessment and triage, of having specialist or advanced critical care at scene and decision making and critical interventions for adults and children.’

The Clinical Advisory Group on Trauma recently highlighted the obvious skills overlap between MERIT requirements and provision of accessible pre-hospital critical care. One solution may be to combine funding streams for MERIT and pre-hospital ‘enhanced care’ provision to ensure a regional 24/7 pre-hospital critical care support capability. This would meet day to day ambulance demand while also acting as the first medical component of a response to a disaster. Innovative integration of our national need for pre-hospital critical care and major incident provision could provide the economic efficiency required for development of the pre-hospital ‘enhanced care’ component of our newly developing trauma systems.
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