Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, and country</th>
<th>PRCT</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holdsworth et al, 1987, UK'</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Range of movement</td>
<td>No difference</td>
<td>Unblinded. Randomisation method not explicit. 14 patients lost to follow up. Measurements were taken at 2, 6, 12, 26, and 52 weeks; it is unclear which period the results presented apply to. Grip strength data are not reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRCT = prospective randomised controlled trial.

The role of therapeutic needle aspiration in radial head fractures
Report by Simon Carley, Specialist Registrar
Search checked by Rob Birkenshaw, Specialist Registrar

Clinical scenario
A 17 year old female presents to the emergency department after a fall onto her right forearm. She complains of elbow pain and has a limited range of movement of that joint. Radiography reveals a moderate elbow effusion and an undisplaced fracture of the radial head (Manson type 1). You wonder whether aspirating the joint is worthwhile.

Three part question
In [patients with traumatic elbow effusions] is [aspiration of the joint better than conservative treatment] in [reducing symptoms and time to healing]?

Search strategy
Medline 1966 to 3/99 using the OVID interface. [(exp elbow OR exp elbow joint OR elbow$.mp OR exp radius OR exp radius fractures OR radial head$.mp) AND (exp aspiration OR aspiration.mp OR aspirate$.mp)] LIMIT to human and English language.

Search outcome
Forty seven papers were found of which 45 were irrelevant to the study question or of insufficient quality for inclusion; the remaining papers are shown in table 3.

Comment
The studies relevant to this question are very poor in design and execution. A properly designed prospective randomised controlled trial looking at pain, mobility, time to healing, and harm (infection rate) is necessary to investigate this further.

Clinical bottom line
While aspiration may benefit patients with traumatic elbow effusions the evidence is too poor to recommend it as a routine procedure.

The role of diagnostic needle aspiration in olecranon bursitis
Report by Vince Choudhery, Specialist Registrar
Search checked by Katrina Herren, Research Fellow

Clinical scenario
A 45 year old labourer presents to the emergency department with a one day history of pain and swelling over his right elbow. Examination reveals a generally well, apyrexial man with a swollen, warm right olecranon bursa with overlying redness. You wonder whether it is necessary to aspirate and analyse bursal fluid to diagnose an treat this patient.

Three part question
In [adults with a clinical diagnosis of olecranon bursitis] does [diagnostic aspiration of the bursa] lead to [better diagnosis and outcome].

Search strategy
Medline 1966 to 3/99 using the OVID interface. [(exp elbow OR exp elbow joint OR olecranon$.mp) AND (bursa$.mp OR exp bursa, synovial OR exp bursitis OR bursitis$.mp)] OR [sepsis AND (bursa$.mp OR exp bursa, synovial OR exp bursitis OR bursitis$.mp)] LIMIT to human and English language.

Search outcome
Two hundred and nineteen papers were found of which 200 were irrelevant and 17 of insufficient quality for inclusion; the remaining papers were found in table 4.

Comment
While two studies addressed the diagnostic question alone, no studies at all could be found regarding the usefulness of diagnostic aspiration in improving outcome. The sensitivity of white cell analysis is just too low for use as a