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Best evidence topic reports (BETs) summarise the evidence pertaining to particular clinical questions. They are not systematic reviews, but rather contain the best (highest level) evidence that can be practically obtained by busy practising clinicians. The search strategies used to find the best evidence are reported in detail in order to allow clinicians to update searches whenever necessary. Each BET is based on a clinical scenario and ends with a clinical bottom line which indicates, in the light of the evidence found, what the reporting clinician would do if faced with the same scenario again. The BETs published below were first reported at the Critical Appraisal Journal Club at the Manchester Royal Infirmary or placed on the BestBETs website. Each BET has been constructed in the four stages that have been described elsewhere. The BETs shown here together with those published previously and those currently under construction can be seen at http://www.bestbets.org. Four BETs are included in this issue of the journal.

1. Transthoracic ultrasonography to diagnose pneumothorax in trauma
2. Early mobilisation for volar plate avulsion fractures
3. Do we need to give steroids in children with Bell’s palsy?
4. Topical anaesthetic versus lidocaine infiltration to allow closure of skin wounds in children
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Transthoracic ultrasonography to diagnose pneumothorax in trauma

Report by Usman Jaffer, Senior House Officer

Search checked by Duncan McAuley, Special Registrar
doi: 10.1136/emj.2005.026542

Abstract

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether transthoracic ultrasound can be used to diagnose pneumothoraces in trauma patients. A total of 46 papers were found using the reported search, of which four represented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results, and study weaknesses of these best papers are tabulated. A clinical bottom line is stated.

Clinical scenario

A 35 year old male is brought into the emergency department after falling from a height. He is tachypnoeic and tachycardic and has tenderness in the left anterior chest and left upper abdomen. Your department has an ultrasound scanner and this is used to assess the patient’s abdomen. You wonder whether it could also be used to diagnose a pneumothorax.

Three part question

In a [patient with chest trauma] can [transthoracic ultrasonography] accurately diagnose [a traumatic pneumothorax].

Search strategy

Medline 1951 to December 2004 using the Dialog Datastar interface. [(pneumothorax.mp OR pneumothorax) AND (ultrason$.mp) AND (wounds.and.injuries.mp) OR trauma.mp]

Search outcome

Altogether 46 papers were found of which four were relevant to the three part question (table 1).

Comments

These studies were relatively small and only two were obviously blinded. Sensitivity for pneumothorax reported varied between 58.9% and 100% and specificity varied between 94% and 100%. It is interesting to note that the study with the lowest sensitivity used CT as part of the gold standard. In such cases CT may be able to find small pneumothoraces not visible on CXR. The clinical relevance of such small pneumothoraces in the resuscitation room is debatable (unless intermittent positive pressure ventilator (IPPV) is being considered). All ultrasound examinations are known to be operator dependent. There is some variation in the ultrasonographic signs used to confirm pneumothorax.

► CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE

Rapid and accurate bedside ultrasound performed by emergency physicians can be used to diagnose pneumothorax after chest trauma. The clinical role of this in the resuscitation of trauma patients is not clear.


doi: 10.1136/emj.2005.026534

Early mobilisation for volar plate avulsion fractures

Report by Richard Body, Clinical Research Fellow
Checked by Craig J Ferguson, Clinical Research Fellow
doi: 10.1136/emj.2005.026559

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether rest or mobilisation is best for volar plate avulsion fractures. A total of 73 papers were found using the reported search, of which two were relevant to the three part question (table 2).

Search outcome

Altogether 73 papers were identified using the reported search, of which two were relevant to the three part question (table 2).

Comment(s)

There is a range of opinions about the optimal treatment for small, stable volar plate avulsion fractures. Some advocate immobilisation with aluminium splints, others advocate neighbour strapping and yet others advocate early active mobilisation. Unfortunately there are no randomised controlled trials to compare the efficacy of these interventions. The two trials that were identified suggest that early mobilisation leads to acceptable functional outcomes, which may be at least as good as following immobilisation.

There is no evidence of harm following early mobilisation. However, the available evidence is insufficient to make an evidence based recommendation for early active mobilisation instead of splintage.

Clinical scenario

A 30 year old man presents to the emergency department a few hours after a hyperextension injury to his index finger. A radiograph demonstrates an avulsion fracture at the volar plate of the proximal interphalangeal joint. You wonder whether splintage or early active mobilisation will lead to a better functional outcome.

Search strategy

OVID Medline 1966–September 2004. (volar plate.mp. OR Fingers/ OR exp Finger Joint/ OR proximal interphalangeal joint.mp. OR PIP joint.mp.) AND (exp Fractures/OR exp Fractures, Closed/) AND (exp Early Ambulation/OR mobilisation.mp. OR exp Immobilization/OR strapping.mp. OR splint$.[mp.]) LIMIT to human and English language.

Do we need to give steroids in children with Bell’s palsy?

Report by Chetan Sandeep Ashtekar, Specialist Registrar
Checked by Manohara Joishy, Specialist Registrar, and Rohit Joshi, Clinical Observer
doi: 10.1136/emj.2005.026567

Abstract

A short cut review was carried out to establish whether steroids are indicated in children presenting with Bell’s palsy. A total of 60 papers were found using the reported search, of which three represented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The author, date and country of publication,
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phair IC, et al, 1989, UK</td>
<td>74 consecutive patients who had sustained a volar avulsion fracture of the PIP joints (size not greater than 2 x 2 mm) and attended for review between 6 and 24 months after injury. Patients with radiological subluxation were excluded</td>
<td>Retrospective comparative study</td>
<td>Time to regain normal range of movement (weeks)</td>
<td>Mean 5.7 in neighbour splintage group (range 1–16); mean 9.9 in splintage group (range 2–24)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42 were treated by immobilisation in 30° flexion with an aluminium splint for 1–7 weeks (average 3.1 weeks) and 32 were permitted to mobilise by neighbour strapping for 1–6 weeks (average 2.8 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time to regain normal use (weeks)</td>
<td>Mean 6.8 in neighbour splintage group (range 2–24); mean 10.9 in splintage group (range 3–52)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functional outcome (excellent, good, or poor)</td>
<td>31 (96.6%) “excellent” in neighbour splintage group vs 39 (93%) in splintage group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaine WJ, et al, 1998, UK</td>
<td>192 consecutive patients seen in the hand clinic with volar plate avulsion fractures. Joint dislocations and unstable joints were excluded. 162 patients (with 166 fractures) were followed up for at least 1 year and were included in the analysis</td>
<td>Prospective interventional trial</td>
<td>Functional outcome (excellent, good, poor, or fair, as assessed by an independent examiner)</td>
<td>142 patients (88.1%) reported excellent outcome (full range of pain free movement); 17 patients (10%) reported good outcome (average 10 degrees PIP joint deformity), 3 patients fair result (intermittent pain and swelling)</td>
<td>Not a controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192 consecutive patients seen in the hand clinic with volar plate avulsion fractures. Joint dislocations and unstable joints were excluded. 162 patients (with 166 fractures) were followed up for at least 1 year and were included in the analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comment(s)**

Bell’s palsy (acute idiopathic facial nerve palsy) is a non-life-threatening disorder with important functional and psychosocial effects.1–3 The aetiology of Bell’s palsy remains unclear, but many consider it to be a reactivation to viral inflammation rather than ischaemia.4 Diagnosis depends on exclusion of known causes of facial palsy such as hypertension, trauma, tumour, acute otitis media, chronic ear disease, and chronic systemic neurological and metabolic disorders.5–7 The natural history of Bell’s palsy in children is thought to be benign with a tendency towards complete resolution in many cases within two months of the onset of the facial paralysis and by six months in most cases.8 However corticosteroids have been widely used in the treatment of Bell’s palsy, as it is believed to decrease the inflammation and oedema of the nerve sheath.

Although many uncontrolled paediatric studies and case series suggested that steroids are beneficial, especially in cases with complete facial paralysis,9 other studies showed no benefit in the final outcome.10,11 We found only one randomised controlled trial done exclusively in children. This study reported a recovery rate of 80–90% in the first six months of the disease, which reached 100% by 12 months irrespective of the use of steroids.12 A recent systematic review found no positive evidence for the beneficial effects of...
corticosteroids in Bell’s palsy. Therefore they concluded that the routine use of corticosteroids for the treatment of paediatric Bell’s palsy is not recommended. Clearly there is a need for a well designed, adequately powered, multicentre randomised controlled trial to evaluate this issue.

► CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE

Currently there is no evidence to recommend the use of corticosteroids for the treatment of Bell’s palsy in children.
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Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Study type (level of evidence)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pryor GJ et al, 1980, USA</td>
<td>1.58 patients presenting to the emergency department with minor lacerations. Topical TAC versus lidocaine infiltration for anaesthesia</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Acceptability of application of anaesthetic</td>
<td>TAC was thought to be more acceptable in children under 17 yrs (p&lt;0.0001), no significant difference in adults</td>
<td>Few baseline data about patient group. Some data presented in graph form or percentage values only with significance mentioned in accompanying text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efficacy of anaesthesia in patients under 10 yrs</td>
<td>In 10-17 yrs old patients TAC was effective in 82% and lidocaine in 83% (p=0.001). In patients over 17 yrs TAC was effective in 86% and lidocaine in 92% (p=0.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time taken to repair wound</td>
<td>Significantly reduced in children under 5 yrs (p=0.003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Method of randomisation not clear from text. One error noted in results table. Some data only given in chart form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topical LAT gel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anderson AB et al, 1990, USA</td>
<td>1.51 children under 18 yrs presenting to the emergency department with skin lacerations less than 5 cm. Intradermal lidocaine versus topical TAC versus topical placebo (adrenaline plus normal saline)</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Initial anaesthesia (tested by prick)</td>
<td>TAC 89%, lidocaine 79%, placebo 17% (TAC v placebo p=0.0001; lidocaine v placebo p=0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients requiring additional lidocaine infiltration</td>
<td>TAC 18%, lidocaine 23%, placebo 60% (TAC v placebo p&lt;0.0001; lidocaine v placebo p=0.0005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete patient compliance — assessed by physician</td>
<td>TAC 80%, lidocaine 49%, placebo 43% (TAC significantly better than either lidocaine or placebo p=0.002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hegenbarth MA et al, 1990, USA</td>
<td>467 patients aged 18 yrs or younger presenting to an emergency department with minor lacerations requiring suturing. Wounds classified as head/scalp or trunk/extremity then randomised to either topical TAC or lidocaine infiltration</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Adequacy of anaesthesia for scalp/head wounds</td>
<td>TAC adequate in 80.7%, lidocaine adequate in 86.6% (p=0.159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adequacy of anaesthesia for extremity/trunk wounds</td>
<td>TAC adequate in 43.2%, lidocaine adequate in 89.4% (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infection rates</td>
<td>2.2% for both TAC and lidocaine groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smith GA, et al, 1996, USA</td>
<td>240 patients over 2 yrs old presenting to the emergency department with a skin laceration 5 cm or less that required suturing</td>
<td>Partially blinded PRCT</td>
<td>Anaesthesia by pain score by patients, parents, suture technicians and research assistant observers</td>
<td>No significant difference between TAC, bupivacaine with NA and lidocaine infiltration. All other agents less effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bupivacaine with NA v etidocaine with NA v mepivacaine with NA v prilocaine with NA v TAC v lidocaine infiltration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kendall JM et al, 1996, UK</td>
<td>107 children between 3 and 16 yrs presenting to the emergency department with wounds less than 4 cm requiring suturing</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Pain score on application of anaesthetic</td>
<td>Infiltration of lidocaine significantly more painful than application of TAC (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain score on wound closure</td>
<td>No significant difference between lidocaine and TAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptability of procedure</td>
<td>14.8% of parents found procedure painful (physician p=0.002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ernst AA, et al, 1997, USA</td>
<td>66 patients aged 5 yrs or over with lacerations &lt;10 cm</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Pain of anaesthetic application</td>
<td>LAT gel significantly less painful than lidocaine injection (p=0.001)(Mean VAS by physician for LAT = 0 and lidocaine = 1.4. Mean VAS by patient for LAT = 0, lidocaine = 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topical LAT gel v injectable buffered lidocaine with adrenaline</td>
<td>No significant difference between lidocaine and LAT gel. (Physician p=0.83, patient p=0.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain of suturing</td>
<td>Small study. Data in figures poorly labelled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smith GA, et al, 1997, USA</td>
<td>71 patients 2 yrs or over presenting to the emergency department with a laceration on the face or scalp less than 5 cm that required suturing. Topical TAC v topical mepivacaine and NA v lidocaine infiltration</td>
<td>PRCT</td>
<td>Effective anaesthesia judged by VAS by suture technician and research assistant</td>
<td>No significant difference between effect of TAC and lidocaine infiltration. Mepivacaine less effective (p=0.003 and 0.0001, respectively)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC, adrenaline-cocaine; LAT, lidocaine-adrenaline-tetracaine; NA, noradrenaline; PRCT, prospective randomised controlled trial; TAC, tetracaine-adrenaline-cocaine solution; VAS, visual analogue scale.
lidocaine infiltration] in [reducing the pain and distress of
wound closure].

Clinical scenario
A 7 year old boy presents with a scalp laceration that requires
suturing for optimal wound closure. His mother tells you that
he is scared of needles and is liable to become upset. You
wonder if topical anaesthetic would be as effective as
lidocaine infiltration in allowing pain free wound closure.

Search strategy
OVID interface on the World Wide Web: 1966–December
2004; Cochrane database of systematic reviews, edition 4
2004; Medline: [Anesthetics, Local/or Anesthesia, Local/or
Lidocaine/or Bupivacaine/or local anaesthetic.mp] OR
[Administration, Topical/or Anesthetics, Local/] AND [paedi-
diatric filter] LIMIT to human and english language and all
child <0 to 18 yrs>; Cochrane: Laceration topical

Search outcome
Medline: 46 papers of which seven were relevant to this
question (table 4); Cochrane: 8 papers, none relevant.

Comment(s)
Application of topical anaesthetic for minor skin lacerations
is significantly less painful than infiltration of local anaes-
thetic. The anaesthetic effect produced appears to be similar,
particularly for face or scalp wounds. In addition topical
anaesthetic will not cause tissue distorsion due to injection.
The possibility of improved compliance plus the reduced use
of needles will decrease the risk of needle-stick injury. Topical
agents that do not include cocaine are cheaper, do not involve
the rigmarole of dealing with a controlled drug and may be
safer to use in children. All of the studies excluded wounds
involving mucous membranes or poorly vascularised areas
and extremities. There were no adverse effects in any of the
listed papers that could be attributed directly to cocaine use
though serious consequences have been documented in
published case reports. The use of a topical agent in a gel
form rather than a liquid may reduce some of the associated
risks.

► CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE
Topical anaesthetics should be used on selected minor
lacerations in children as they have similar efficacy to
lidocaine infiltration but are less painful to apply. The ideal
combination and concentration of agents providing optimal
levels of efficacy and safety has yet to be decided.
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