Prehospital anaesthesia is carried out regularly by a small number of prehospital care practitioners in the UK. Although mostly predictable, prehospital procedures can be more difficult than those in hospital, and, in addition, peer and skilled anaesthetic assistance is usually not available. Patient safety is of paramount importance, and systems need to be in place to ensure that the highest standards are achieved.

Prehospital anaesthesia in the UK: position statement on behalf of the Faculty of Pre-hospital Care

David Lockey, Keith Porter

Prehospital anaesthesia is carried out regularly by a small number of prehospital care practitioners in the UK. Although mostly predictable, prehospital procedures can be more difficult than those in hospital, and, in addition, peer and skilled anaesthetic assistance is usually not available. Patient safety is of paramount importance, and systems need to be in place to ensure that the highest standards are achieved.

The exact proportion of patients with trauma who require early airway intervention is unclear, but is likely to be relatively small. A large proportion of patients who require urgent tracheal intubation do not receive it until their arrival in hospital, which may result in suboptimal care. Rapid sequence induction (RSI) with oral intubation followed by maintenance of sedation is the technique of choice in the emergency department, and, where resource and skill permits, in theprehospital phase. Most UK prehospital practitioners cannot and should not practice prehospital anaesthesia. Those practitioners who do not have competence in RSI or who operate outside an appropriate supporting system may make significant contributions to the management of most injured patients without this skill, and should not be in any way pressured to perform the technique without appropriate training, resource and local support. When patients with airway compromise are encountered, oxygenation should be attempted with simple airway manoeuvres, meticulous bag-valve ventilation and rescue devices (eg, the use of supraglottic airway devices familiar to the individual practitioner).

Individual competence
Prehospital practitioners should not practise prehospital anaesthesia in professional isolation. Prehospital practitioners should have the same level of training and competence that would enable them to perform RSI unsupervised in the emergency department. RSI is well recognised as a potentially hazardous intervention, and considerable time has been spent in ensuring that anaesthetists and non-anaesthetists who perform RSI in hospital can do it safely. Standards in prehospital care should be the same. Practitioners should perform RSI regularly and frequently enough to maintain competence. The definition of “regular” and “frequent” is difficult, and the competence of the individual should be assessed by the lead clinician of the prehospital scheme. In the UK, this is likely to require regular in-hospital practice.

PROCEDURAL SEDATION
There are occasions when sedation and analgesia are desirable outside hospital to facilitate extrication, splinting and other procedures. Concern has been raised in numerous documents and publications about the potential for complications when performed (in hospital) by non-anaesthetists. Prehospital sedation should not be undertaken lightly; in critically unwell patients, prehospital practitioners must be aware of the potential of sedation to lead to deterioration and the need for urgent RSI, and should be competent to perform it.

Local organisation
The local prehospital organisation (this may be an immediate care scheme, a hospital-related scheme or an ambulance service trust-related scheme) should provide the support to practitioners practising RSI:

- A named responsible lead clinician who ensures responsible competency-based practice and regular review/appraisal of practitioners.
- A clinical governance structure, which includes regular case review, audit, an adverse event reporting system, and regularly reviewed guidelines or standard operating procedures.
- Equipment should be adequate for the purpose and maintained to the same standard as ambulance service or in-hospital equipment. Minimum standards of monitoring should be the same as for in-hospital anaesthesia.
- Anaesthesia in hospital should be only conducted in the presence of an appropriately trained assistant. The same standards should apply in prehospital care. The prehospital team that provides anaesthesia should provide an appropriately trained assistant. Paramedics with a critical care qualification or with competency-based training can fulfil this role.

Summary
Although a desirable early intervention in a small number of trauma patients, poorly performed RSI can result in unnecessary morbidity and mortality. The procedure should be only performed by appropriately trained and competent practitioners working in a properly structured prehospital system. A multispeciality working party has been set up recently by the Association of Anaesthetists of UK and Ireland to consider the issues of

Abbreviation: RSI, rapid sequence induction
prehospital anaesthesia in detail, and will submit its report in
due course.
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In regard to the article by Mann et al.1, the issue of endotracheal tube (ETT) cuff inflation in response to reduced barometric pressure at altitude has been recognised for a long time. The most recent study published in 2004 used pressure transducers to examine the effects in vivo.2 The pressure effects of altitude on cuff volume are predicted by Boyle's law, which states that a fixed mass of gas will expand as ambient pressure decreases. If there is no method of venting this expansion, there will be an increase in pressure within any air filled space. Accordingly a number of authorities recommend the use of saline (incompressible) rather than air in ETT cuffs for aeromedical transport of intubated patients.2 ** This avoids the problems of gas expansion and contraction in response to changes in barometric pressure and/or the use of a relatively complex formula for deflation on ascent and re-inflation on descent.
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Clinical signs of dehydration in children

The recent Best Evidence topic report article by Fayomi1 clearly illustrates the dangers of too narrow a focus when practising evidence based medicine. Firstly, the search strategy is too narrow, as three papers1,2,4 which have studied this have been missed. All of these papers found tissue turgor time to be closely associated with the presence of dehydration, while the paper cited by Gorelick et al.2 found this sign to be very specific, but of low sensitivity.

Secondly, the focus of the question is too narrow. A number of studies, including Gorelick et al.2, has revealed that combinations of clinical findings traditionally associated with dehydration greatly enhanced accuracy, sensitivity and specificity.2,3 Accuracy, sensitivity and specificity figures of over 80% have been published, with combinations of clinical signs.3

In addition, some of these papers have demonstrated improvements in clinical care of the dehydrated patients with the use of scoring systems for dehydration based on combinations of clinical signs.

Many of the clinical signs of dehydration individually have high specificity, but low sensitivity. Combinations of signs are more accurate, especially when used by experienced clinicians, and are probably more accurate for lower levels of dehydration than classically taught.1,2 There is a tendency therefore to overestimate the level of dehydration, and this can lead to over treatment. However, there is an absence of any useful proven prospective diagnostic tools, and clinical examination is therefore still an acceptable method for determining treatment.

The usefulness of the Best Evidence topic reports have been debated in the correspondence section of this journal on previous occasions; like all medical literature, interpretation and implementation should be considered with caution, especially with regard to a wider, more strategic aspect.
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Debriefing

In the short report, Airway scope management versus Macintosh laryngoscope: a manikin study (Emerg Med J 2007;24:357–358), figure IC is incorrect. Please find the correct figure IC below. The journal apologises for this error.
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I n the May issue the paper by Bailey et al (Emerg Med J 2007;24:348–352) has cited the author of references 7, 13 and 18 incorrectly. The correct spelling for this authors surname is Fatovich.

doi: 10.1136/emj.2006.044677corr1

In the article by Doy et al reference is made to “critical incident stress debriefing”. It was suggested there was some disagreement as to its effectiveness, but nevertheless the article appeared to be recommending its use. I would, however, refer readers to the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines on post-traumatic stress disorder (www.nice.org.uk). These guidelines state that for individuals who have experienced a traumatic event, the systematic provision to that individual alone of brief, single session interventions (often referred to as debriefing) which focus on the traumatic incident should (not their bold type) be routine practice when delivering services.
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Prehospital anaesthesia is carried out regularly by a small number of prehospital care practitioners in the UK. Although mostly predictable, prehospital procedures can be more difficult than those in hospital, and, in addition, peer and skilled anaesthetic assistance is usually not available. Patient safety is of paramount importance, and systems need to be in place to ensure that the highest standards are achieved.

The exact proportion of patients with trauma who require early airway intervention is unclear, but is likely to be relatively small. A large proportion of patients who require urgent tracheal intubation do not receive it until their arrival in hospital, which may result in suboptimal care. Rapid sequence induction (RSI) with oral intubation followed by maintenance of sedation is the technique of choice in the emergency department, and, where resource and skill permits, in the prehospital phase. Most UK prehospital practitioners cannot and should not practice prehospital anaesthesia. Those practitioners who do not have competence in RSI or who operate outside an appropriate supporting system may make significant contributions to the management of most injured patients without this skill, and should not be in any way pressured to perform the technique without appropriate training, resource and local support. When patients with airway compromise are encountered, oxygenation should be attempted with simple airway manoeuvres, meticulous bag-valve ventilation and rescue devices (eg, the use of supraglottic airway devices familiar to the individual practitioner).

Individual competence
Prehospital practitioners should not practise prehospital anaesthesia in professional isolation. Prehospital practitioners should have the same level of training and competence that would enable them to perform RSI unsupervised in the emergency department. RSI is well recognised as a potentially hazardous intervention, and considerable time has been spent in ensuring that anaesthetists and non-anaesthetists who perform RSI in hospital can do it safely. Standards in prehospital care should be the same. Practitioners should perform RSI regularly and frequently enough to maintain competence. The definition of “regular” and “frequent” is difficult, and the competence of the individual should be assessed by the lead clinician of the prehospital scheme. In the UK, this is likely to require regular in-hospital practice.

PROCEDURAL SEDATION
There are occasions when sedation and analgesia are desirable outside hospital to faculty extraction, splinting and other procedures. Concern has been raised in numerous documents and publications about the potential for complications when performed (in hospital) by non-anaesthetists. Prehospital sedation should not be undertaken lightly; in critically unwell patients, prehospital practitioners must be aware of the potential of sedation to lead to deterioration and the need for urgent RSI, and should be competent to perform it.

Local organisation
The local prehospital organisation (this may be an immediate care scheme, a hospital-related scheme or an ambulance service trust-related scheme) should provide the support to practitioners practising RSI:

- A named responsible lead clinician who ensures responsible competency-based practice and regular review/appraisal of practitioners.
- A clinical governance structure, which includes regular case review, audit, an adverse event reporting system, and regularly reviewed guidelines or standard operating procedures.
- Equipment should be adequate for the purpose and maintained to the same standard as ambulance service or in-hospital equipment. Minimum standards of monitoring should be the same as for in-hospital anaesthesia.
- Anaesthesia in hospital should be only conducted in the presence of an appropriately trained assistant. The same standards should apply in prehospital care. The prehospital team that provides anaesthesia should provide an appropriately trained assistant. Paramedics with a critical care qualification or with competency-based training can fulfil this role.

Summary
Although early intervention in a small number of trauma patients a desirable, poorly performed RSI can result in unnecessary morbidity and mortality. The procedure should be only performed by appropriately trained and competent practitioners working in a properly structured prehospital system. A multispeciality working party has been set up recently by the Association of Anaesthetists of UK and Ireland to consider the issues of
prehospital anaesthesia in detail, and will submit its report in due course.
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